

NO TIME FOR SILENCE

Austin J. App

**PLEAS FOR
A JUST PEACE
OVER FOUR DECADES**

**A COLLECTION OF
ESSAYS & PAMPHLETS
PUBLISHED FROM 1946 TO 1978**

Introduction by Theodore J. O'Keefe

NO

TIME FOR

SILENCE

AUSTIN J. APP

**PLEAS FOR
A JUST PEACE
OVER FOUR DECADES**

**A COLLECTION OF
ESSAYS & PAMPHLETS
PUBLISHED FROM 1946 TO 1978**

Introduction by Theodore J. O'Keefe

No Time For Silence

Austin J. App

A Collection of Essays
and Pamphlets published
from 1946 to 1978
by Boniface Press

The Institute for
Historical Review
1822½ Newport Blvd., Suite 191
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

First Institute for Historical
Review printing August 1987

Manufactured in the United States of America

ISBN 0-939484-24-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	i
THE SIX MILLION SWINDLE	1
A STRAIGHT LOOK AT THE THIRD REICH	31
RAVISHING THE WOMEN OF CONQUERED EUROPE	75
THE BIG THREE DEPORTATION CRIME	87
THE CURSE OF ANGLO-AMERICAN POWER POLITICS	91
EXCERPTS FROM A SPEECH DELIVERED BY GENERAL CHARLES A. WILLOUGHBY	97
THE BOMBING ATROCITY OF DRESDEN	99
ANTI-SEMITISM, A PHONEY BOGEY	101
OPEN LETTER TO PRESIDENT NIXON URGING AN ATLANTIC CHARTER PEACE FOR GERMANY A PRIORITY OBLIGATION	109
RED GENOCIDE IN GERMAN VILLAGE	117
AMERICAN NUNCIO CARDINAL ALOISIUS MUENCH	123
CAN CHRISTIANITY SURVIVE WHEN JEWS CONTROL THE MEDIA AND THE MONEY?	127
GYPSIES AND THE THIRD REICH	133
WILL THE ETHNICALLY POLISH POPE JOHN PAUL II PROMOTE TRUTH AND JUSTICE FOR THE GERMAN EXPELLEES?	139

INTRODUCTION

On April 1, 1946 Professor Austin J. App of Incarnate Word College in San Antonio, Texas published a brief pamphlet attacking the victors of the Second World War for their unconscionable treatment of the women of Germany and its allies at the war's end and during its aftermath. *Ravishing the Women of Conquered Europe* was first and foremost an indictment of the mass rapes carried out by the troops of the Red Army, but its author didn't spare the United States government for propping up the beleaguered Soviet regime with lend-lease billions or for condoning the widespread sexual exploitation of German womanhood by American occupation troops.

While App's pamphlet probably would have been unwelcome reading for the millions of his fellow Americans for whom the inebriation of VE-Day had not yet been displaced by the decades-long hangover of the Cold War, a small clique of determined and influential opponents hit back at its author with tactics that have become characteristic of the enemies of Revisionism. Within several months *Ravishing the Women of Conquered Europe* was banned in Canada, a gloomy portent of the standing ban on Revisionist literature north of the border today. In the United States a chorus of journalists, most of them Jewish, smeared App as an "anti-Semite" and an apologist for Nazism. They didn't shrink from falsifying and distorting the content of App's piece, and they sought to bring subtle but intensifying pressures on App's employers. Nevertheless, within three months of publication App's bold call to conscience had sold more than 40,000 copies and excerpts from it had been inserted into the *Congressional Record*.

Shortly thereafter Professor App would establish the Mission Press (later the Boniface Press) and embark on a long and often lonely quest for justice for the land of his forefathers. Throughout the ensuing four decades App would speak out publicly against what he called "history's most terrifying peace," a peace which has left a Damoclean sword of annihilation hanging,

not merely over a still-divided Europe, but over the U.S. and the U.S.S.R., the mighty victors in history's most terrible war. During a long career as a publicist and pamphleteer, a career which ran parallel with his long service as a professor of English literature, Austin App's keen sense of justice and steadfast courage in his Western, Christian convictions impelled him to challenge the historical blackout which has shrouded much of the truth concerning the Second World War, a challenge embodied in his membership on the Editorial Advisory Committee of *The Journal of Historical Review* from its inception in 1980 to his death in 1984.

The man who devoted himself to being a "German-American voice for truth and justice" was born on May 24, 1902 in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. His parents were Catholics from Southern Germany; his Catholic upbringing as well as his German heritage were decisive factors in his outlook. Early in his childhood little August (he changed his first name to Austin in his thirties) moved with his family to a farm in Mequon, Wisconsin. The district was heavily German, and young App received a primary education that was bilingual, both in public and Catholic schools.

Like many an apt Catholic pupil of those days, App was quickly earmarked for the priesthood, and earned both his high school diploma and B.A. at St. Francis Seminary in Milwaukee. Reluctantly deciding he had no real priestly calling, the young man, who had manifested a strong literary bent at the seminary, elected to continue the scholar's path, earning M.A. and Ph.D. degrees at Catholic University in Washington, D.C. He then spent some forty years as a scholar and teacher at Catholic colleges and universities, including Catholic University, Incarnate Word, the University of Scranton, and LaSalle College in Philadelphia, from which he retired after twenty years as a professor in 1968. During his career he contributed poetry, fiction, and many articles and reviews to American periodicals. His doctoral thesis, *Lancelot in English Literature*, received critical acclaim and has become a standard work.

Although as a high-school student Austin App had boiled with anger at the onerous and inequitable terms of the Treaty of Versailles, he avoided political controversy until the eve of America's intervention into the Second World War. At that time he began to defend America's traditional policy of non-intervention in transoceanic quarrels, along with anti-interventionist patriots such as Charles Lindbergh. In September 1941 Professor App wrote his first open and circular letter, which was directed against a smear of Lindbergh by left-wing columnist Dorothy Thompson. Professor App kept up a drumfire of letters to the press throughout the war, courageously presenting the case for a just peace and against the vindictive propaganda with which the Roosevelt regime, Hollywood, and the press were paving the road to Yalta and Potsdam, Dresden and Hiroshima, Nuremberg and the Iron Curtain.

But it was the terrible Allied excesses of the war's last months and the first years of the peace that brought Austin App's indignation to the

incandescence which glows in each of the following fourteen pamphlets, which have been gathered together here for the first time. The vengeful attempt of Henry Morgenthau, America's Secretary of the Treasury, and his henchmen to seal the doom of a beaten Germany by a Carthaginian peace which would have resulted in starvation for tens of millions; the expulsion of fifteen million Germans from the lands in which they had dwelt peacefully and productively for centuries, under conditions in which more than two million of them died miserable deaths; and the partition and continuing political impotence of the German heart of Europe, justified by the vilest atrocity slanders ever devised—all these crimes App excoriated in a white-hot prose the fervor and forthrightness of which are unmatched in the Revisionist writings of the postwar period.

These ardent pleas were written over a period of thirty years, during which time Austin App never wavered in his insistence that a peace without justice was no peace at all. If App's ardor for justice led him occasionally to overstatement or emotionally charged rhetoric, his unflinching commitment to making public the facts about the Second World War led him early on to voice hard truths that more cautious Revisionists were for a long while prone to avoid. App was one of the first to challenge openly the vast exaggeration of Jewish losses during the war; his *Six Million Swindle*, published in 1973, was the first writing authored and signed by an American to present, in its essentials, the case for Holocaust Revisionism.

App, unlike many Americans who had been critical of their country's wartime role, was not swayed to subordinate his crusade to right the wrongs done Germany to the supposed exigencies of the Cold War. Offered funding for the printing of a million and a half copies of his first pamphlet on the condition that he delete references to the misbehavior of American troops, he declined. Although a frequent visitor to West Germany after the war, where he many times addressed German nationalist gatherings, in particular those of the dispossessed Germans of the eastern territories and the Sudetenland, he never accommodated himself to the *kleindeutsch* makeshift of the Bundesrepublik.

Austin App was not to be cowed by the bogey of "anti-Semitism"; confronted with Jewish smears, he trenchantly analyzed and condemned the tactics of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith and other Jewish pressure groups. A devout Catholic who could write that "The most loved and revered personages in the world are Jews, Jews converted to Christianity and Christian justice and charity," he hurled back a challenge to his Jewish critics, a challenge to mend their ethics according to the stern but loving precepts of the Sermon on the Mount.

Austin App deserves to be honored and remembered as one who hungered and thirsted after truth and justice. In the writings that follow he touched on almost every theme of World War II Revisionism with frankness and sincerity, putting his pleas for a just peace for Germany and Europe to the humble and the mighty, from the man on the street to popes

and presidents. His pamphlets, here anthologized for the first time, will serve as a memorial to his courage and decency and an admonition to Revisionists to carry on his fight to final victory.

Theodore J. O'Keefe
August, 1987

THE SIX MILLION SWINDLE

Blackmailing the German People for Hard Marks with Fabricated Corpses*

Inasmuch as the Talmudists claim to be "God's Chosen People," and press this claim with the world's worst smear-terrorism, anyone undertakes with fear and trembling the exposure of what one Christianized Jew, Benjamin H. Freedman, headlines, "Brainwashed U.S. Christians Duped by Unholiest Hoax in All History!" (*Fact for Fact*, April, 1959). He was alluding to the fervently propagandized myth that the Nazis "gassed six million Jews."

Because all defamatory propaganda ultimately does a lot of harm, usually in the long run to both the defamed *and* the defamers, a search for the truth is mandatory. It was Christ, long ago crucified by the brood of vindictive Talmudists, who first warned them against their eye-for-an-eye-ism!

CHANCELLOR BRANDT IN ISRAEL PELTED WITH EGG AND PAMPHLETS!

Suggesting the harm the six-million swindle can do we relate a few incidents during German Chancellor Willy Brandt's June visit to Israel. Brandt (born illegitimately *Frahm*, his mother having reputedly been raped by a Jew) passionately hated the law-and-order, anti-smut Nazis. During the whole war he spied for Soviet Russia† and so hated the Christian German people that in several Norwegian books he incited partisan activities against it and even urged German soldiers to "frag" their officers!

Yet, how was this frenzied anti-Nazi treated on his good-will tour to Israel, which had its hands stretched for a new round of marks to supplement the twenty plus billion dollars already blackmailed out of the West German people? The *International Herald Tribune* (June 11, 1972) headlined the visit: "Brandt Is Target Of Protesters In Jerusalem Visit":

Boys burned a facsimile of a Nazi swastika flag outside City Hall . . . About 150 demonstrators, restrained by barricades in front of City Hall, shouted, 'Go home' and 'We shall not forgive,' in reference to the Nazi killing of six million Jews as Brandt arrived for a reception given by Mayor Teddy Kollek.

*First published December 15, 1973

†It is not established that Brandt spied for the USSR in Norway.

Placards proclaimed, "You slaughtered 6,000,000 of our Brothers and Sisters. Go home!" Two other boys burned a piece of cardboard with a black swastika painted in the center. To quote the *International Herald Tribune* again:

"As Mr. Brandt arrived at City Hall, a young girl behind the barricades threw an egg at him. A security man tried to catch it, but it splattered in his hand, the yoke spewing over the back of an Israeli official. Mr. Brandt did not react and security men whisked him inside. The girl fled . . . Another youth threw a handful of leaflets at the chancellor. The leaflets bore reference to the Nazi era."

HALF MILLION SURVIVORS OF CONCENTRATION CAMPS IN ISRAEL

Over and over, *ad nauseam* we hear Communists, Talmudists, and brainwashed liberals declare pontifically that the Nazis had orders to execute all Jews. Yet the same article in the *International Herald Tribune*, which is published by the Jewish *New York Times* and the *Washington Post*, goes on to remark, "About 500,000 of Israel's 2.6 million Jews had been in a Nazi concentration camp." But if half a million Jews now in Israel survived Nazi concentration camps, then the Nazis evidently had no orders to "gas" them! Obviously, then, Hitler and the Third Reich had no plan or desire to exterminate all Jews. They had plenty of time to have executed these 500,000!

Therefore, as my first, incontrovertible point, the charge that Hitler and the Third Reich wanted to exterminate all Jews is a totally fabricated, brazen lie! Because the Jews, quite provably in Theodore N. Kaufman's *Germany Must Perish* (by sterilization) and Henry J. Morgenthau's *Germany Is Our Problem* (extermination by starvation) were barbarous enough to have plotted the extermination of a hundred million Germans, their guilt complex forced them to imagine that the Germans, too, could have been equally barbarous so as to want to exterminate Europe's six million Jews! But of course the Germans (and the Nazis) were Christian gentlemen compared to the Morgenthauist savages.¹

1. Kaufman (*Germany Must Perish*, Argyle Press, Newark, N.J. 1941) genocided as follows: "To achieve the purpose of German extinction it would be necessary to only sterilize 48,000,000 . . . which excludes, because of their limited power to procreate, males over 60 and females over 45." Morgenthau, our Secretary of Treasury (*Germany Is Our Problem*, Harper and Bros., N.Y., 1945), outlined the shrinking of Germany, the total destruction of the Ruhr; herding the population into the shrunken Germany, depriving it of all machinery and export, to depend on agriculture without machines . . . and starve to death. He boasted that in Directive JCS 1067 Allied post-war policy adopted it. Senator Henrich Shipstead, in the U.S. Senate, May 15, 1964, called it "America's eternal monument of shame, the Morgenthau plan for the destruction of the German-speaking people." In Kaufman and Morgenthau the Jews have "the honor" of producing and applauding the world's top genocidists in theory; Stalin and Ilya Ehrenburg remain the top genocidists in practice. American Christians partly thwarted Morgenthau and Kaufman!

THE SIX MILLION SWINDLE IS A BLACKMAIL FOR INDEMNITIES

The Talmudists have from the beginning used the six million swindle to blackmail West Germany into "atoning" with the twenty billion dollars of indemnities to Israel. They put enough pressure on Washington not to sign the American-German treaty for German autonomy until Adenauer agreed to such indemnities to a bastard state that had not even existed during the era of the Third Reich.

But not only has Israel blackmailed West Germany into subsidizing it, Israel and World Jewry have also blackmailed it with the figure of six million into paying pensions and indemnities to every Jew who survived Nazi-occupied Europe and millions who after the war sneaked into West Germany from behind the Iron Curtain and then . . . claimed to have suffered under the Nazis.² Claiming such indemnities has probably produced the greatest heyday in history for Jews to commit perjury for one another, and for fraud and lying and cheating on a horrendous scale. Two examples: Dr. Phillip Auerbach, concentration camp survivor, got himself appointed Bavarian Commissioner of Reparations. In 1952 he was finally caught and sentenced to two and half years in prison for huge frauds and swindles in his behalf and that of his co-racialists. He thereupon shot himself. Prof. Hans Deutsch got eighty-five million Marks from Bonn for various clients. He then asked for thirty-five million Marks for the Hungarian Havatny Art Collection which he claimed the Nazis had stolen. This luckily could be proven a lie, and he got eighteen months in prison. In reality, of course, he should have been hanged. The frauds in connection with pressuring indemnities out of Bonn cry to heaven for exposure and rectification!

EXAMPLES OF PERSONAL INDEMNITIES

One can assume that every one of the 500,000 Jews in Israel who claim to have been in German concentration camps is billing Germany for indemnities. Here are some examples: *U.S. News*, looking ". . . at some individual cases" (Aug. 10, 1964), lists a "Jewish businessman who now lives in the U.S. . . . (who) had received a total of \$32,534 in damages and gets monthly compensation of \$219.94 besides."

"The widow of a Jewish doctor, who was forced to emigrate in 1939 and died in South Africa five years later, has received \$25,020 and is entitled to \$7,080 in additional restitution payments."

2. *U.S. News*, March 15, 1965 reports: "WHERE GERMANS HELPED . . . West German 'personal restitution' to Israel individuals who suffered from the Nazis still goes on, coming to 139 million dollars in 1964," i.e., in only one year. *U.S. News* asks, "How can you tell a survivor of Auschwitz, for example, that he shouldn't spend the pension money the German Government has started giving him?"

Now there are two likely falsehoods in this last item. In 1939 her husband was hardly *forced* to leave, the German government *let* him leave . . . with his property. The Third Reich let Jews emigrate exactly as World Jewry wants Soviet Russia to let them emigrate, but for which Soviet Russia requires some \$30,000 for each emigrant! Nor is the widow *entitled* to anything from Germany, but the Jews have so blackmailed it with the six million swindle that it pays and pays and pays!

U.S. News report further: "A rabbi, forced to flee from Berlin and now living in Brazil, gets a pension of \$500.00 a month." How was he *forced to flee*? He more probably, like the Kissinger family, wanted to — and Nazi Germany *let* him — without requiring an emigration tax of some \$30,000!

Another instance:

A Jew who passed a civil-service examination in pre-war Germany but was barred from the job because he was Jewish emigrated to Israel. West Germany sends him \$187.00 a month, the pension he would have earned in following a civil-service career in Germany.

What an impudent blackmail! What would happen in the U.S. if every Jew and every Negro and every Gypsy who took and passed a civil service examination but was not hired — because a lot of others passed the examination, too — would forever have to be pensioned by the American taxpayers!

WHY BOLSHEVIKS SUPPORT THE SIX MILLION SWINDLE

Aside from the fact that most of the most vindictive Communists are also Jews (like Ilya Ehrenburg, the Soviet Propaganda Minister who exhorted the Soviet troops to rape all German women and kill any men who tried to protect them), the general run of Communists, Soviet-Russians and others, eagerly help Israel and World Jewry to propagate the six million swindle.

They have a mighty good motive. It is to cover up as long as possible the grim fact that more Jews come to grief in territory controlled by Stalin than in Nazi-occupied lands. This horrid truth Talmudic leaders well know. But they also know that from the Bolsheviks, whether in Moscow or in Warsaw, or even in East Berlin, they cannot blackmail reparations of either real or fabricated Jewish corpses. Therefore they maintain a conspiracy of silence about Jews persecuted and killed in Red-dominated lands, so as to blackmail the West Germans and in fact, all Christians more effectively.

STATISTICS ON JEWS WHO DISAPPEARED IN RED-CONTROLLED AREAS

In "Stalin's Macabre 'Relocation plan'" (*Human Events*, Oct. 6, 1973), John Boland cites how the Bolsheviks murdered 4,143 Polish prisoners of war at Katyn and packed another ten thousand in barges and sank them in the White Sea. He then reports:

Now there is evidence that thousands of Soviet Jews were similarly 'eliminated' on orders from Stalin. The exact number cannot be ascertained, because the victims were allegedly loaded in boxcars and rolled over a cliff into Russia's huge Siberian freshwater lake — Lake Baikal . . . the forcible deportation of all Soviet Jews to the 'Jewish Soviet Republic' of Birobidjan ended for many of them in being pushed over the cliff into the lake.

In this way, writes Boland, "the Jews could be liquidated without the trouble of building crematoria as Hitler did in Germany to solve *his* 'Jewish problem.'" (Incidentally, it is a defamatory cliché that Hitler built crematoria to dispose of murdered Jews; he built them to dispose of corpses in general, including especially those resulting from Allied air raids!)

Confirming *Human Events*, Rabbi Benjamin Schultz, chairman of the Jewish League (so *Die Welt* of Hamburg of September 25, 1954, reported), declared before our Congress that during the Second World War, 3,390,000 Jews on Soviet territory disappeared without a trace. He maintained that this massacre has been purposely kept secret so as not to embarrass the Soviet Union.

German historian Erich Kern, after research on Rabbi Schultz's startling statement, concludes that, even if this figure is a bit too high:

However, in any case, it is certain that about twice as many Jews were done to death in territory controlled by Soviet Russia as in that controlled by the Germans. (See: *Deutsche Wochenzeitung*, January 13, 1967).

What can be more to the advantage of the Bolsheviks than to have got rid of a few million troublesome Jews and to waltz the blame off on the Germans! And what could be more to the advantage of Israel and World Jewry than to blame the Germans, who could be blackmailed into astronomical reparations, for the Jews who disappeared in Russia, from which absolutely no indemnities could be blackmailed! However, this mass Soviet extermination, which world Jewry finds it inexpedient to recognize, may help explain the world-wide viciousness with which Jews belabor the Soviet Union for not permitting free Jewish emigration, something the Bolsheviks don't permit for anybody else either, not even for the forlorn Germans left in the Oder-Neisse territories or for East Germans who want to transfer to West Germany!

THOUSANDS OF JEWS KILLED BY NATIVE POPULATIONS BEFORE GERMANS ARRIVED

When the Germans advanced into Bolshevik territory, most Jews retreated towards Moscow in the wake of the Soviet armed forces. But it is also tragically true, but not willingly publicized, that many thousands of Jews who did not migrate east in time were massacred, *not* by the Wehrmacht, but by angry native populations *before* the Germans arrived. The Jews in general had so identified themselves with Red oppression from 1917 on, that the native population often revenged themselves at the first opportunity. What helped inflame the native fury is that the retreating

Soviets committed wholesale massacres upon persons who opposed Soviet rule, whom they had arrested when the Germans invaded but had no chance to transport to Siberia because the German advance was too fast.

Herman Raschhofer, in *Political Assassination* (Tuebingen, 231 pages, obtainable from Boniface Press, 8207 Flower Ave., Takoma Park, MD 20012, \$1.00), writes of:

Incontrovertible proof that many murders committed against the inhabitants of Lwow (Lemberg) had already taken place before Lwow was occupied by the Germans . . . responsibility for these earlier atrocities lies with the Soviet authorities (pp. 26-7).

Lwow is typical of what occurred in other cities. In Lwow alone 3,000 corpses executed by the retreating Soviets were counted *op. cit.*, p. 34).

When the native populations subsequently saw these murders, they had, writes Raschhofer, "the firm belief . . . that their Jewish neighbors had been partly responsible for the arrests of many nationalists, as Jews had cooperated with the Soviet authorities and, in particular, with the NKVD" (p. 50). The thousands of corpses of "political prisoners only, for the criminals had been released," generated anonymous "mass violence against the Jews . . . indeed a pogrom." Thousands were killed in Lwow alone, and similar violence against Jews occurred in many places as soon as the Soviets had retreated and before the Wehrmacht arrived. The latter actually tended to protect the harassed Jews.

This is a fact that is never brought out, for no indemnities can be squeezed out of the peoples behind the Iron Curtain. Hence the Communists and the Jews keep blaming the Germans for hundreds of thousands of deaths in territories controlled by the Soviet Russians, just as even at the Nuremberg Trials the Red prosecutors accused the Germans of murdering 15,000 Polish POW's, over four thousand of whose corpses were found at Katyn! One can say categorically, accusations by Reds anywhere have no validity!

POLISH PERSECUTION OF JEWS

By whomsoever Jews came to grief, the Third Reich has been blamed and West Germany has been made to pay enormous reparations. One of the nastiest slanderers, second only to World Jewry, is Red Poland. It also by magic coincidence *found* that the Nazis killed six million innocent Poles! When pressed, it lists as Poles three million Jews allegedly killed, and it includes as *massacred* all Poles who fell in combat. The Poles whine as if only their soldiers had had a right to shoot, not the Germans! There, too, speaks a guilty conscience, for the Poles have perennially persecuted the Jews, and during and even after World War II they killed many of them.

Jakob Presser, for example, in *the Destruction of Dutch Jews* (Dutton & Co., 1969, p. 544), although a Talmudic Germanophobe, writes of the Poles:

And how could anyone forget that, even after the Liberation, Jews were still being murdered in Poland, where a daylight pogrom was organized, not by professional criminals, but by young mothers, by students of Polish literature, by devout Catholics who prayed to God before the massacre? And not only did they murder the Jews but they indulged in an orgy of torture, in a veritable witch's sabbath in July 1946, barely a year after the end of the war.

Naturally, the Poles want to add these Jews to the swindle of the Six Million, and the Jews join in, because from the Poles they cannot blackmail indemnities, but from the harassed, smear-terrorized Germans they can! Prof. Harry Elmer Barnes, in explaining how paradoxical it was for the Allies to fight for Poland on the basis of the Jewish issue, wrote:

There were in Poland, in 1933, six times as many Jews as in Germany, and they were treated as badly as were the German Jews under Hitler. (*Blasting the Historical Blackout*, p. 35).

Furthermore, writes Barnes, by 1939 "Hitler's anti-Jewish program" had moderated, whereas the Polish anti-Jewish treatment had not improved to any notable extent. Jews told Barnes repeatedly, he asserts, that "I should not overlook the far more extensive plight of the Jews in Poland." No wonder the Red Polish stooges want to waltz their Jewish casualties off on the Germans!

THE SWINDLE OF THE SIX MILLION MAKES LIARS OUT OF SOME RESPECTED WRITERS

Many respected writers stoop to lying in order not to arouse the smear-terrorism of the six million fabricators. John Gunther, for example, wrote:

And never let it be forgotten that of the 3,500,000 Polish Jews who lived in that country before the war, not less than three solid millions were murdered by the Germans. The total number of Jews surviving in Poland is only between 70,000 and 80,000. (*Philadelphia Inquirer*, February 10, 1949).

Who pressured Gunther into this monstrous and illogical falsehood? In 1939 there were 12,000,000 native Germans in the Oder-Neisse territories put under Polish administration in 1945. Now there are at most a few hundred thousand. Would it be logical to say the other 11,800,000 were tortured and murdered? Of course, only 80,000 Jews were in Red Poland by 1949; its smarter ones had left that Jew-hating country and swarmed into the haven of Germany — to claim indemnities — and from there to New York and to Israel. When Gen. Frederick E. Morgan, some months after the war, said that Jews were leaving Poland in organized masses, Walter Lipshitz Winchell screamed that the statement "was an insult to six million tortured dead" (See: *Time* January 21, 1946). During "a major anti-Jewish pogrom at Kielce on July 4th, 1946," writes Richard Harwood (*Did six Million Jews Really Die?* Historical Review Press, London, 1984, p. 17), "more than 150,000 Polish Jews suddenly fled into Western Germany." Harwood adds:

Their appearance was somewhat embarrassing, and their emigration to Palestine and the United States was carried out in record time. Subsequently, the number of Polish Jewish survivors underwent considerable revision; in the *American-Jewish Year Book* 1948-1949 it was placed at 390,000, quite an advance on the original 80,000.

Nor does Gunther allude to Jews killed by the Poles, nor there very significant fact that some half of the 3,500,000 Jews allegedly in Poland in 1939 were in fact in the eastern Ukrainian Poland, which the Soviet Russians occupied in 1939. One can without far more evidence assert that more Jews died in Poland and Russia while under Red control than while under German control.

STATISTICS OF JEWS SPARED BY THE NAZIS

What has to be absolutely established before anything else is that the Nazis had no plan and no wish to exterminate all Jews. To say they had is a lie. If they had had such a plan, absolutely no Jew in Nazi-dominated territory would have survived. The Third Reich was too efficient for any Jews to escape had it wished to exterminate all Jews, but the Third Reich only wanted them to emigrate.

When I visited Germany and Austria in 1949 I found them deluged with uncouth-looking Eastern Jews. They were arrogant to all Germans, they all seemed to engage in blackmarketeering, and the German police seemed forbidden to touch them. They lied, cheated, and stole from Germans almost at will. In the currency revaluation, Germans had to surrender a hundred marks for ten new ones, but Jews including refugees from the East, got a hundred new marks for a hundred old. This huge indemnity paid to them is not mentioned in the books, but it gave the Jews a ten to one advantage over honest Germans in Central Europe!

Here are some figures of Jews who turned up from German occupied lands after the war. Every one proves that Hitler had not ordered him exterminated. *The New York Times* (March 26, 1950) reports that in March of that year 23,000 Jews had left Hungary:

... that 224,000 Jews had emigrated from the 'people's democracies' and from Yugoslavia, leaving 600,000 whom the Israeli government is still eager to have in Palestine.

The Times writes of 150,000 still left in Hungary, of 30,000 having emigrated from Czechoslovakia, leaving 15,000 there; of 30,000 having left Rumania, leaving 380,000 there; of 85,000 having left Poland, "leaving 50,000 registered as members of the Jewish community. It is believed that some thousands of others are unregistered." The *Times* writes that "... from Bulgaria 42,000 have emigrated, leaving only 4,000 or 5,000; from Yugoslavia 10,000 have emigrated."

THE THIRD REICH HAD FIVE YEARS TO "GAS" THESE JEWISH "REFUGEES," BUT DIDN'T

Had Hitler intended to exterminate all Jews he had five years' time to "gas" all 824,000 Balkan Jews, who, according to the *New York Times*, "... swarmed into the Western zones of Germany, between 1945 and 1947." And even higher percentages were very much alive in 1945 in France, Belgium, Holland, and Norway. Once Rabbi Phillip S. Bernstein, advisor of Jewish affairs to the United States Army commanders in Germany and Austria, wrote:

... the only realistic solution to the plight of Europe's 250,000 Jewish refugees is resettlement in the United States and Palestine" (*Washington Post*, October 24, 1947).

That may explain why since 1945 New York is a Jewish Sodom and Gomorrah and Washington, D.C., a half Jewish and half Negro employment agency!

Yet, in spite of all these Jewish survivors, one vindictive Germanophobe, David Borac, in *The Jewish Press* (September 1972, *Rosh Hashana* Issue, dares to write:

... the German Nazi would not rest so long as one Jew lived anywhere and even as their defeat was starkly apparent they lived for one thing, to kill as many Jews as possible before the end.

This is the kind of monstrous, barefaced lying of which only Communists and Jews are capable! Vindictive Jews seem intent on proving Christ right when He denounced Jews in language far harsher than Hitler ever applied to them, "You are of *your* father the devil ... for he is a liar, and the father thereof" (St. John, Ch. 8, V. 44).

First, David Borac lies on a specific point. Far from a policy of killing "as many Jews as possible before the war ended," Himmler in October 1944 prohibited any further execution of Jews (See: Haertle, *Freispruch fuer Deutschland*, Verlag Schuetz, 1965, p. 191). This order also indicated that Himmler, not Hitler, was in charge of Jewish policy. Secondly, David Borac, of course, commits an overall lie: if the Nazis had proposed to kill as many Jews as possible, then not one single one of the 824,000 Balkan and Polish Jews would have been alive by May 1945. Jews who spread such vindictive lies ought to strangle themselves in their own guts — and spare the world their venom!

HITLER'S "ENDLOESUNG" MEANT EMIGRATION, NOT EXTERMINATION

The Third Reich did no more plan or even think of physically annihilating Jews, other than partisans and subversives, than it thought of making stew out of them. Only a cannibal fears that a conqueror will eat him. So only genocidists, like Morgenthau, Kaufman, and Ilya Ehrenburg, wretches wallowing in the Talmud two thousand years backward of Christianity, reflecting their own plots to exterminate the German race, could hit upon the slander of accusing the Nazis of trying literally to kill them off. What

the Nazis wanted is what every civilized country has wanted and five of them put into practice, namely, to get the Jews out of their hair, all of them, to have them emigrate!

That is what the word "Endloesung — Final Solution" meant, and nothing else. Every civilized nation has wanted to get rid of them; and everyone, except so far the United States, has at one time or another expelled its Jews. Good old glorified England did it in 1290; France in 1306, 1322 and 1394, both our *dear* allies in twice destroying Germany. Hungary expelled them in 1349, Austria in 1420, Spain in 1492, Sicily in 1492, Portugal in 1497. Several German and Italian and Balkan provinces did it between 1350 and 1450, Norway and Sweden in 1814. And our dearly beloved lend-lease pal, Russia, in part expelled the present blackmailers of Germany in 1792 and 1904.

What the Nazis tried to do was, therefore, first of all, quite in tune with the historical and civilizing developments in Europe. It was neither unique nor especially National Socialistic. Only the U.S., having been until recently a huge colonizing area, has so far not expelled unassimilating Jews. They now control the media and the money — and sex education and pornography! They also furnish far more than their quota of grand-larcenists, like Bernard Cornfeld, who made and broke the IOS, and Stanley Goldblum, President, Equity Funding Corporation, the largest bank fraud in recent history. They also got us into World War II and then converted the peace into barbarism with their Morgenthau Plan. And recently, in the Arab-Israeli War, they pressured the government into rushing armaments to the Israelis, instead of getting them to return to the 1967 boundaries. It thus provoked the wretched energy crisis. In short, they subvert our international standards and our Christian culture.

WHY THE THIRD REICH WISHED TO GET THE JEWS OUT

That is also what the Jews had done in Germany during the Weimar Republic. Most objectionable was their assault on patriotism, on love of the country in which they were virtually guests. Of such Jewish anti-patriotism America got a taste during the Vietnam War! *Nation Europa* ("Bemerkungen zum deutsch-juedischen Verhaeltniss", 8630 Coburg, Germany, February 1964, 8 pages) explained that all the bloody Communist *putsches* in Weimar Germany were directed by Jews, such as Eugen Leviné, Max Levien, Tobia Akselrod, Kurt Eisner, Gustav Landauer, Erich Muehsam, and Ernst Toller. It should have added Rosa Luxemburg and Karl Liebknecht, leaders of the vicious Red Spartacus Party.

When the pamphlet by *Nation Europa* first appeared I reviewed it with two paragraphs which I here re-confirm:

But worst of all had been that all through the Weimar Republic a majority of intellectuals and writers had indulged in continual defamation of the German nation. Walter Mehring called the *Stahlhelm* soldiers of World War I "Dreck," i.e., *Dirt*. Kurt Tucholsky called the volunteers of 1914 victims of

mass intoxication: "Massenbesoffenheit." Arnold Zweig call Germans a nation of cattle and murderers: "Nation von Stimmvieh, Geschaeftsmachern, Moerder, Abruueckern, Operettenliebhabern und Amtskadavern."

It was such destructive nihilism directed by German Jews against the German nation in the Weimar era that brought resentment and sparked the National Socialistic conviction that such vicious, pro-Communitistic influences must be eliminated from public life.

In other words, long before there was a Third Reich, the Jews in Germany defamed Germany the way American Jews and liberals defamed America and our armed forces during the Vietnam War! That is why Hitler, a veteran wounded in World War I, in his *Mein Kampf* demanded that some "12,000 - 15,000 Marxist Jewish" subversives be called to account. He did not in *Mein Kampf* or anywhere else order any extermination of Jews. Mass extermination was the specialty of Morgenthau, Kaufman, Harry Dexter White, and Bernard Baruch, the genocidists of the Morgenthau Plan. But extermination had no place in German or Nazi ideology or policy! Traitors, spies, partisans, subversives and other criminals, as they should also be in America, were marked for execution. No others. That in the turmoil of war and the nightly obliteration bombings some not guilty came to grief, and that reprisals for partisan sabotage, possibly innocent themselves, were executed, quite in accordance with international law until 1949, should be obvious and self-evident.

IN WAR, CONCENTRATION CAMPS FOR "POTENTIAL ENEMIES" ARE STANDARD POLICY

The Nazis wanted, not extermination, but the emigration of Jews and Gypsies from Germany and Christian Europe. These are, historically, the only two earthly tribes whom no country ever wanted or wants, who generally come in by stealth, bribery, or pressure tactics. The Jews are considered Grand Larcenists and subversives, the Gypsies Petty Larcenists — nomadic liars, cheats, and thieves. Neither of these tribes really assimilate with their hosts. In any war they tend to supply spies and subversives against the host country (e.g., even in World War II, which we fought for the benefit of the Jews, we had the Rosenbergs and dozens as bad).

Little Germany, surrounded by enemies with ten times its own population and thirty times its size could not afford in wartime to let such potential enemies run around free. Putting most of them in concentration camps was perfectly legitimate and also completely in harmony with Anglo-American practice. The British introduced such camps in the Boer War; and the Rooseveltians rounded up all West Coast Japanese of American descent, who had not performed one act of sabotage, 125,000 of them, men, women, and children, and hauled them off into ten barren concentration camps, complete with barbed wire and watch towers, just like their German counterparts! For West Germany to have to pay indemnities to the former

inmates of those camps is an outrage. The U.S., far from paying the Nisei indemnities, compensated them only up to ten percent for the property it stole from them in 1942! If we don't refer to the Soviet Russians here, it is because they are too barbarous to belong in the same sentence with Germans, English, and Americans. In their hundred and more concentration camps, the inmates are bestialized and starved and few come out alive. Even their prisoners of war, if not murdered outright as at Katyn, were worked and starved to death. Of the 96,000 Germans, for example, captured at Stalingrad, only 6,000 ever returned, broken in body and spirit. Such acts of genocide and bestiality the Nazis never, absolutely never, committed against prisoners of war, nor against Jews and Gypsies. The Soviet Russians and Communist rulers generally are simply too barbarous, too bestial, to deserve a place among civilized nations! It is they whom America should have helped defeat, not the Germans. Sooner or later this will have to be done! As Churchill, too late realized, "We killed the wrong pig."

EXPELLING NATIVE POPULATIONS WAS MORE ALLIED THAN NAZI POLICY

Just as concentration camps for "potential enemies" were not unique with the Nazis, so the transfer of populations was more a policy of the victors than of the Nazis. The Soviet-Russians, Poles, and Czechs, with Rooseveltian approval, surpassed the Nazi transfer of thousands by fifteen millions, by the most brutal and genocidic expulsion in world history! Compared to that, Nazi pressuring of Jews to emigrate was humane. Their original plan was to settle them and give them a national home in Madagascar. Madagascar, with 227,737 square miles, four times the size of England, twenty times that of Belgium, was far more spacious than Palestine, and with only a thin population of four million would not have precipitated an atrocity like the expulsion of one million Palestinians at home there for 1800 years!

But the Polish government in 1937 considered it could not ship its three million Jews. George Bonnet of France said it could not find ships for its 200,000 Jews. So the sensible plan failed. America and England forced a few million Jews on the Palestinians, who were murdered or expelled, and Israel has in its thirty years fought more wars than allegedly warlike Germany fought in a hundred years!

At any rate the Nazis wanted the Jews to emigrate, not to expire! But nobody wanted them. Even Roosevelt exclaimed, "What would I do with a million Jews!" But was the Nazi desire to push the Jews out a unique or particularly heinous crime, one for which West Germany has had to pay billions of indemnities? Should anyone think so, then I ask: "Is it a greater crime to expel a Jew than to expel a Gentile (an East Prussian, Silesian, Pomeranian, Sudeten)? Is it worse to expel a tribe that is scattered all over, not in a solid settlement, that did not settle the land originally, but more or less sneaked in one at a time after the pioneer hardships were over and

cultivated enough so that over-reaching its natives became easy? Clearly, expelling solid populations settled there for 700 years is an incomparably greater atrocity than transferring a collection of scattered, generally rather recent settlers.

Yet the hypocritical peace dictators, who whine about Hitler's wish to settle 600,000 Jews overseas, ruthlessly drove out 15,000,000 East and Balkan Germans, mostly in the winter, totally robbed them of their farms, homes, cattle, furniture, drove them on foot into shrunken and bombed-out Germany. And on the way they starved, raped, clubbed 2,500,000 to a frightful death. That is almost twenty per cent of them, mostly innocent women and children (the men were kept and abused as prisoners of war). This allied mass expulsion is the most horrendous genocide in world history. And it was directed only as a race, at people of German ethnic nationality!

GENOCIDIC VICTORS PUBLICALLY ORDER THE EXPULSIONS

If Nazis committed an atrocity against Jews, they tried to conceal it, they kept it not only from the world, but from the German people. Not so the Unconditional Surrenderists. They committed their god-awful atrocities against the defeated German people with an air of holy, self-righteous crusaders! In the Nuremberg trial, the victorious monsters went about hanging Germans for having "forcibly deported inhabitants who were predominantly non-German and introduced thousands of German colonists" (Count 3, Section J). Note the word *thousands*, not *fifteen million*!

And while these criminal hypocrites were so prosecuting Germany, they openly, unabashedly, on August 2, 1945, in the Potsdam Pact, ordered:

That the transfer to Germany of German populations or elements thereof remaining in Poland, Czechoslovakia, and Hungary, will have to be undertaken.

This genocidal masterstroke involved several million innocent people. Worst of all, the other Balkan countries interpreted the Potsdam decree as sanctioning mass expulsions, and proceeded to expel and partly murder their German minorities, usually solid settlements 100 years old. And the Red Russians, Poles, and Czechs, inspired by the U.S. Morgenthauists, brutally expelled, robbed, and partly murdered the populations from one-fourth of Germany, the Oder-Neisse territories placed under their administration. This expulsion of 12,000,000, including the Sudeten Germans, murdering 2,500,000 of the unfortunates, represents the greatest mass atrocity in history. Compared to this, all real and alleged Nazi war crimes dwindle in size and in gravity. They were committed in time of war against "unconditional surrender"; the Allied expulsions and brutal murders of 2,500,000, mostly women and children, were committed after victory and armistice! And they were a direct consequence of the Jewish Morgenthau Plan and policy.

During the Allied, Lindemann-Plan bombing of population centers, one

little candle light in an attic could direct the bombers on their mission of genocide. Regulations against potential enemies had to be severe. When the Anglo-American bombers and Mustang snipers fire-bombed undefended Dresden on February 13, 1945, after victory was already assured, they probably burned to death in one night more innocent women and children and old men than the Third Reich executed Jews. 135,000 corpses were counted, and probably again as many burned to dust. When we add the victims of other area bombings, more German women and children, not counting the men, were bombed and *sniped* to death than Jews were executed.

A PRIORI CASE AGAINST SIX MILLION FIGURE

An extremely plausible argument, that the six million figure is a swindle, is given by World Jewry itself by its frantic opposition to all research on the figure. Israel and Jewish organizations smear-terrorize anyone who tries to investigate, so much so that the eminent scholar who wrote *The Myth of the Six Million* considered it the better part of wisdom to remain anonymous!

One can assert positively if Jews knew the figure of six million to be even nearly correct they would welcome scholarly research to confirm it to the word. Even the Gentile-controlled *Time Magazine* fears this smear terrorism too much to try to get the facts. After I had several times begged *Time* not to keep repeating the fraudulent six million figure, it answered evasively:

The six million which we quoted, as I mentioned in a previous letter, is one that is usually accepted by all government sources, on the basis of a number of affidavits similar to that of Dr. Wilhelm Hoettle (*sic*) which I quoted to you in my earlier letter. (July 27, 1949)

The fact that the only evidence *Time* and Jewish sources can present for the six million figure is an affidavit by Hoettl and some even less authentic ones should alone suffice to discredit the figure. And we must emphasize, in law and justice it is not the accused who must prove his innocence but the accusers who must prove his guilt. It is the responsibility of the blackmailing Jews to prove the accusation of six million, not of the Germans to disprove it. The most innocent man, accused of infidelity to his wife, would have a hard time proving his innocence! Therefore the law requires the accuser to prove his accusation! This the Jews have not ever earnestly tried to do. They merely keep repeating the six million accusation. If pressed for evidence, they base themselves on what one Hoettl said from hearsay about Eichmann, and what similarly one Rudolf Hoess thought he remembered Eichmann as saying. Testimony as flimsy as theirs would in domestic American courts not even convict a shop-lifter. Only at the rigged Nuremberg trials was it received and heralded as the incontrovertible truth. And of course by Ben-Gurion and Golda Meir and their stooges.

WHAT ABOUT THE HOETTL, HOESS, AND EICHMANN TESTIMONY?

The only evidence that Talmudists and their stooges ever pretend to offer for the six million is what Hoettl and Hoess, under threat of their lives, claimed to have heard Eichmann say one time. Dr. Wilhelm Hoettl was colonel in the security services, not a very high rank, a subordinate of Eichmann's, who himself said, "I was merely a little cog in the machinery." Hoettl himself claims to have been a British agent sometime during the war. The London paper, *Weekend* (January 25, 1963) confirms this. It also reveals that when Hoettl gave his affidavit he had been threatened with delivery to the Hungarian Communists. This must be interpreted as a promise of immunity if he gave sufficiently damning testimony against his superior Eichmann, but hanging if he didn't.

According to the British at Flensburg he declared he had one time heard Eichmann say that four million Jews died in concentration camps and another two million elsewhere as reprisals and so on. He was then rushed to the Nuremberg Trials, where he dutifully repeated his hearsay. But oddly enough, though the German attorney for the Defense, one Dr. Kaufmann, repeatedly requested that he be called for cross-examination, the Nuremberg lynchers did not dare expose this key evidence to cross-examination. Again, at the Eichmann trial in Jerusalem the legal lynchers were afraid to expose him to cross-examination. And during the same trial Eichmann insisted that Hoettl had twisted his casual remark and that he had in fact never named figures to Hoettl *because he could not know such figures* (See: Heinrich Haertle, *Freispruch fuer Deutschland*, Gottingen, 1965, p. 190-1).

Here lies the truth of the matter. Neither Eichmann nor Hoettl could know the figures. And Hoettl got immunity for what was almost certainly a perjured affidavit. Yet on it rests almost the whole myth of the six million. The only other testimony advanced is that of Rudolf Hoess. He was for a while commander at Auschwitz. Again, threatened with the noose if he did not incriminate his superiors, he testified at Nuremberg that two and half million Jews died there. Even Gerald Reitlinger accuses Hoess of "perverse megalomania" for this figure. To show how unreliable poor frightened Hoess had been, when he later was delivered to the Poles he reduced the two and one-half million to only 1.13 million. The Poles thereupon irately hanged him. Eichmann himself declared:

Since the war I read that 2,500,000 Jews were physically liquidated under Hoess' command. I find this figure incredible. The capacity of the camp argues against it. Many of the Jews confined there were put to work details and survived. After the war the Auschwitzers sprouted like mushrooms out of the forest floor after a rain. Hundreds of thousands of them are today in the best of health. (See: *Life Magazine*, November 28, 1960).

And, we might add, collecting indemnities from West Germany! Anyhow, threatened with the noose unless he talked, he claimed to have

heard Eichmann one day declare that he went to his grave happily because he had five million Jews on his conscience. This too was accepted as Gospel fact, without cross-examination, by the Nuremberg lynchers and World Jewry.

To show the guess work and the shameful unreliability involved, the Gentile *Time Magazine* (June 6, 1960) reported Eichmann said, "Five Million Jews"; the Jewish *Newsweek*, however (June 6, 1960), hiked the figure to "six million Jews." That is how Jewish media throw a million Jews around — when it serves their blackmail! *Life Magazine*, in its purported autobiography of Eichmann, by no means certainly authentic, quotes Eichmann as follow:

In the last days I called my men into my Berlin Office. I told them, "I will gladly jump into my grave in the knowledge that five million enemies of the Reich have already died like animals." ("Enemies of the Reich," I said, not 'Jews')." (*Life*, December 5, 1960, p. 150).

These memoirs of Eichmann are one of many frauds on this topic. Richard Harwood (*Did Six Million Really Die?* p. 18) writes:

Certainly the most bogus "memoirs" yet published are those of Adolf Eichmann . . . they are made as plausibly incriminating as possible without straying too far into the realm of the purest fantasy, and depict Eichmann speaking with enormous relish about "the physical annihilation of the Jews." Their fraudulence is also attested to by various factual errors . . .

NOT HOETTL, NOT HESS, NOT EICHMANN COULD OR DID ATTEST THE 6 MILLION FIGURE

Essentially, on this off-the-cuff remark of Eichmann's, never properly probed, the whole swindle of the six million rests. Be it noted, first, that Eichmann said *five* million, not six; secondly, he referred to "*Enemies of the Reich*," not Jews; thirdly, he was at the time talking casually, not professionally and authoritatively. Furthermore, he said far more officially, "I would like to stress again, however, that my department never gave a single annihilation order. We were responsible only for deportation." Eichmann ordered no Jews killed, nor anyone else. He could not have five million lives on his conscience, literally, only rhetorically, the way I, as a G.I., might boast that I helped kill several million Germans — "Enemies of the U.S.A." In short Eichmann could not in any way know the number of Jewish casualties, and Hoettl and Hoess did not pretend to know but, under extortion to incriminate Eichmann, attributed conflicting words to him!

HOW AFFIDAVITS WERE EXTORTED AND PERJURY ENCOURAGED

Affidavits, like those to which *Time* refers, are unreliable, often outright frauds, like the figure of six million itself. With a few heroic exceptions, all affidavits by Jews are in part or whole perjured, often well rewarded, and altogether unreliable. Affidavits from Germans, including from former

Nazis, were rather customarily obtained by threatening the witness with hanging if he did not incriminate his superior sufficiently for hanging.

After Simon Wiesenthal, one of the best known prosecutors and persecutors of Germans is Dr. Robert Kempner, who seems to exploit dual American and German citizenship. Though a Jew, he worked some years in the Third Reich as "Oberregierungsrat" in the Prussian Ministry of the Interior. When the war curtailed Jews in sensitive positions, he emigrated to Pennsylvania. After the war, he turned up as prosecutor of Germans at Nuremberg, a particularly unscrupulous one, who is still at it. His typically nasty Jewish method of extorting incriminating affidavits against the more important Nazi leaders is illustrated in the case of Dr. Friedrich Gaus, many years a minor official in the German foreign office. Dr. Kempner wanted him to testify falsely in order to get his superior Ribbentrop hanged. When Gaus complained that he could not honestly so testify, Kempner replied:

The Russians are interested in you, Mr. Gaus! For your violation of International Agreements! The only way, for you to save your head, is that you tell the truth. Or do you wish as the right hand of Ribbentrop to go to the gallows? You know the old German saying, "Captured with him, hanged with him!" Who were after Ribbentrop the most guilty in the Foreign Office? Just say it; it serves no purpose, to spare these people.

Whereupon the terrified Gaus incriminated Ribbentrop as Kempner wished — and thereby secured immunity! He was immediately released from solitary arrest and moved to the witness portion of the Nuremberg court. (See: "U.S. Anklager Kempner schwer belastet," *Deutsche Wochenzeitung*, Feb. 23, 1973).

CONTRADICTORY EVIDENCE OF GERMAN WITNESSES EXPLAINED

Readers of our media may often have been perplexed by reports that some German defendants after the war had testified to enormous atrocities, and decades later, when on trial by the Germans themselves, contradicted their former testimony. The explanation is that the U.S. prosecutors, often Jews like Kempner, extorted false incriminating testimony by every kind of psychological torture, even when they did not ordinarily, like their lend-lease pals, the Soviet Russians, resort to bestial physical tortures. We cite as an instance the case of one Judge Hoffmann. In the desperate situation in which Germany found itself, it had to punish severely, often even with death, what to us seem minor thefts and blackmarketeering. Hitler in *Table Talk*, explained this convincingly: "If in peacetime an 18-year-old youth grabs a woman's purse, I am not going to condemn him to death. Now we are in a war, we have blackouts, more women work . . . severe deterrents are necessary." (See: H.S. Ziegler, *Wer War Hitler?* Tuebingen, 1970, p. 273-4). Jews naturally were no more privileged than Germans.

One Jew, named Katzenberger (proof incidentally that even in 1942 some

Jews enjoyed relative freedom), was found guilty and was convicted by Judge Oswald Rothaug. An associate judge, one Dr. Hoffmann, concurred in the sentence with his signature. In 1946, the Morgenthau lynchers wanted a show case to suggest that the judges of the Third Reich discriminated against Jews. They induced Dr. Hoffmann to testify that the judgment he co-signed had been "legally invalid, inhuman, discriminatory." Thereupon he was set free, and Dr. Rothaug condemned and presumably hanged.

DECADES LATER JUDGE HOFFMANN RECANTED AND SAID WHY

But lately, Bonn, itself smear-terrorized into continuing the prosecutions of alleged Nazi war crimes, revived the accusation against Dr. Hoffmann. Now he vigorously denied everything he had confessed to the U.S. extortionists in 1945. When asked, how come, he insisted that the Tribunal in 1946 had:

... forced him *either* to serve as a crown witness by giving false testimony for the prosecution (against Rothaug) or else, like the victims of the prosecution to be also condemned to death. (See: *Deutsche National Zeitung*, Feb. 23, 1973).

That is how the U.S. prosecutors, who set out to "re-educate the German people," taught them how to blackmail lesser Germans into incriminating more important ones so they could hang them and besmirch the Third Reich! And plunge Germans into guilt feelings sufficient to subsidize Israel and World Jewry to the tune of twenty billions of dollars — with no end in sight! Who of us, if confronted with a threatened death sentence would be heroic enough to refuse to incriminate a former superior or colleague?

Because of the Morgenthauistic extortion applied to German witnesses, their testimony must be suspect and may not be accepted without much corroboration. Hoettl's and Hoess' and Eichmann's statements on the question of Jews executed in the Third Reich had no corroboration whatsoever! As for the testimony of Jewish survivors of World War II, one can say that, with the fewest heroic exceptions, it is peppered with falsehood and perjury! In the whole history of the world there had never been so much concerted perjury and lying as in the persecutions and defamations of Third Reich Germans since World War II.

EIGHT INCONTROVERTIBLE ASSERTIONS ON THE SIX MILLION SWINDLE

One can summarize eight factors that controvert the figure of six million, which the media repeat *ad nauseam* without any evidence.

First, the Third Reich wanted to get Jews to emigrate, not to liquidate them physically. Had they intended extermination, 500,000 concentration camp survivors would not now be in Israel to collect fancy indemnities from West Germany.

Second, absolutely no Jews were "gassed" in any concentration camps in

Germany, and evidence is piling up that none were gassed in Auschwitz. There were crematoria for cremating corpses who had died from whatever cause, including especially also the victims of the genocidal Anglo-American air raids.

Third, the majority of Jews who died in pogroms and those who disappeared and are still unaccounted for fell in territories controlled by the Soviet Russians, not in territories under German control.

Fourth, most of the Jews alleged to have met their death at the hands of the Germans were subversives, partisan, spies, and criminals, and also often victims of unfortunate but internationally legal reprisals. One reason for my denouncing the Nuremberg prosecutors as lynchers is that they hanged Germans on *ex post facto* rules of their own!

Fifth, if there were the slightest likelihood that the Nazis had in fact executed six million Jews, World Jewry would scream for subsidies with which to do research on the question, and Israel would throw its archives and files open to historians. They have not done so. On the contrary they have persecuted anyone who tries to investigate impartially and even call him a anti-Semite. This is really devastating evidence that the figure is a swindle.

Sixth, the Jews and the media who exploit this figure have never offered a shred of valid evidence for its truth. At most they misquote Hoettl, Hoess, and Eichmann who spoke only casually of what they were in no position to know or to speak on reliably. Nor do the Jews themselves credit these witnesses as reliable even when they comment on what they could know, e.g., that the concentration camps were essentially work camps, not death camps!

Seventh, the burden of proof for the six million figure rests on the accusers, not the accused. This is a principle of all civilized law. Proving true guilt is easier than proving true innocence. It is hardly possible for a man accused of cheating on his wife to prove that he did not cheat on her. Therefore the accuser must prove his charge. This responsibility the Talmudists and Bolsheviks have not accepted, and the brow-beaten Germans have rather paid billions than to dare to demand proof!

Eighth, obvious evidence that the figure of six million has no scientific foundation is that Jewish scholars themselves present ridiculous discrepancies in their calculations. And honest ones, whom we recognize by the fact that their co-racialists smear-terrorize them, and even beat them up, invariably lower the six million estimate by at least fifty percent, to three million casualties from *all* causes, not those limited to Nazi executions.

CONCLUSIONS OF A JEWISH SCHOLAR WHO WAS PERSECUTED THEREFOR

One of the most distinguished, honorable Jewish writers is Josef G. Burg (Ginsburg), now in Munich. Born in Czernowitz, Rumania, he survived a Nazi concentration camp, lived a year in Israel, and is now a bookbinder in

Munich, where he wrote three books about National Socialism and Jews. They are: *Schuld and Schicksal*, 1962; *Suedenboecke*, 1967; and *NS-Verbrechen: Prozesse*, 1968. He is understandably critical of the Third Reich. Nevertheless he is honorable and heroic enough to try to be honest. His testimony in one post-war trial caused fifteen former SS-Men to be found "Not Guilty."

In his book, *Suendenboecke* (Scapegoats,) he insisted the "Endloesung" (Final Solution) envisaged the emigration of Jews, not the "total liquidation of all Jews" (p. 74). More importantly, he investigated the six million statistic thoroughly and honestly. He found it "a legend poisoning peaceful relations" (p. 238). He comes to the conclusion that, even giving atrocity-mongers maximum rather than minimum figures, the highest "number of Jews that in the control of the Hitler regime were killed, lost their lives, or died could be no more that 3,323,000" (p. 237).

Here an honest Jewish research slices almost three million from the six million swindle. And the three million lost include those who died naturally, were killed by Allied air raids, were executed as subversives and partisans, of whom one and a half million were fighting illegally against the Germans (p. 238). International law regards partisans as armed assassins, who upon capture are subject to execution!

Burg comments cynically that while Jews whine about six million murdered, and collect a nice indemnity for them, Israel "... has at least one million, perhaps even two million, of these murdered victims very much alive here in the land, collaborating in the Israel reconstruction" (p. 233).

Josef Burg writes, "Today nobody believes any longer the six million figure. Neither the Jewish accusers, nor the Germans accusers, nor the Germans accused, nor world opinion." He says even Gideon Hausner, the fanatic Prosecutor of Eichmann in April 1961 in Jerusalem, avoided speaking of *six million* and confined himself only to "millions," which allowed plenty of variation (p. 220). But Burg also insisted:

All the statistics so far calculated by Jews and non-Jews will so long remain controversial, until World Jewry and Zionism will be in the position, and above all will be willing, to present to the public exact official statistics of losses. (p. 238)

Twenty years since the end of the war, he writes, "offered enough time to institute thorough investigations" (p. 220). I insist that they have not done this because they knew research would demolish the six million swindle. Burg says the neglect to investigate is due to the fact that "from six million dead, one can extract for more reparations than from, let's say 6,000" (p. 223).

For his own honest researches and truthfulness, Josef Burg was not honored in Israel. A few years ago, at a dinner with him in Munich, a slight, full-bearded, rabbi-like little man, he related some of the persecutions he has been exposed to by his co-racialists. He was expelled from the Jewish Culture Society of Munich. And once, bringing flowers to his wife's grave,

Jewish rowdies physically beat him up. And a Hebrew paper commented, "The thrashing he got, this fellow entirely deserved!"

OTHER STATISTICS, BY THE CONVERT, PATER DANIEL RUFEBSEN

Another honest Jew, Oswald, later Pater Daniel Rufeisen, also slices the figure of six million in half — for all Jewish casualties of whatever cause. Josef Burg (in *Suendenboecke*) gives us details. Rufeisen was an Orthodox Galician Jew. Looking like an Aryan he offered his services to the Germans during the occupation. They made him Chief of Police in a town having collection depots for Jews. His secret purpose was to help as many to escape as he could. He helped hundreds before a Jew recognized him as a Jew and denounced him to the SS. They sentenced him to die as a spy. He escaped and found refuge in a Catholic convent in White Russia. He became a partisan. After the war, having experienced Catholic charity, he became a Catholic Carmelite monk, Pater Daniel Rufeisen. As such he went to Israel and claimed Israeli citizenship. But the "democratic" state of Israel declared him no longer a Jew!

The converted Rufeisen exemplified Martin Buber's principle, which if Jewish politicians and publicists would imitate, would go a long way to dissolve what they call anti-Semitism. Buber said that he did not fight even against anti-Semitism: "One must present the truth accurately, and then everything will follow by itself" (See: Norbert Muhlen, *The Survivors*, 1962, p. 161). According to the *Neue Juedische Zeitung*, June 4, 1963, one U.S. journalist, J. Schmulewitvitch, unhappy about Rufeisen's conversion, in an interview, asked: "How could you accept the Faith of a world that permitted that one third of our people were slaughtered?" Rufeisen noted the Jewish tendency to want to blame all Christianity, not only the Nazis, for what happened to Jews, and he objected.

He replied that in 1939 there were only 16,000,000 Jews in the world, a third would amount to little more than five million. But since there were after the war thirteen million Jews around, a third could only be a little more than four million. After the interview he set out to investigate the matter of the six million. In 1963, he had calculated "the number of Jewish victims of World War II as about 3.1 million" (See: Burg, *op. cit.*, p. 237). And this figure, cutting the six million in half, we note is so worded as to cover both casualties in the Third Reich and in the Soviet Union and elsewhere!

A CHRISTIAN AMERICAN JEW ALSO FINDS 6 MILLION FIGURE "A BIG LIE"

In a similar calculation, perhaps the best known American Christianized Jew, Dr. Benjamin H. Freedman, also found the six million a figure so exaggerated as to amount to a deliberate swindle. In a statement of his, dated October, 1966, he exposed the juggling of figures of Jews in the

world, in order to try to validate the figure of six million. On February 22, 1948, probably unconscious of the implications, and therefore objective, Hanson Baldwin and the *New York Times*, after a world-wide census, reported that "there were between 18,000,000 and 19,000,000 Jews in the world."

The figure "created a panic among Zionists." So the American Jewish Committee and the Synagogue Council of America proclaimed, without evidence, that in 1945 there were only "10,000,000 so-called Jews in the world." Now, they *or* Baldwin and the *New York Times* were right, as Freedman believes; if so, it convicts the "6,000,000 murdered" as a "big lie," and must not be accepted.

JEWS CONTRADICTING ONE ANOTHER ON THE FIGURE

A certain proof that the figure of six million is, if not a calculated lie and blackmail, an irresponsible, unsubstantiated guess; is that Jews of scholarly pretensions vary enormously in their statistics. They also differ on how and when — and of course totally omit the all-important why — Jews were executed. Most say "gassed," other say "pickled in lime," others say "cremated," still others will have them starved, hanged, or shot.

In February, 1948, one Congressman, George G. Sadowski, of Michigan, dogmatized in an apoplectic frenzy (opposing the Marshall Plan):

That 10,000,000 Jews were killed, tortured, placed in slave labor camps — that all means nothing. (*Congr. Record*, Feb. 23, 1948)

And he calls Catholic and Lutheran Germany "a spawning ground for lust, for conquest." In other words, this Morgenthauistic genocidist is not merely a monstrous liar against *Nazi* Germany but against *Christian* Germany! And the figure he tries to foist upon the American people is *ten* million!

BEN HECHT SPEWS HATRED — AND FALSEHOOD

Slicing off a full four million, Ben Hecht, who gloated "My hatred is Jewish hatred," and complained that his ancestors had not hacked Jesus up and fed him to the lions, so that the symbol of the cross could not have been revered, apoplexied over WABC-TV (October 22, 1958):

... the murder of 6,000,000 Jewish men, women, and children of all ages, that murder was performed by the German people, not by the Nazis.

Notice again, this Jew does not just want to incriminate *dead* Nazis, but *live* Christians. The Talmudic savage elaborated:

... grabbing 2,000,000 women from youth to old age, raping them by the thousands, stripping them of their clothes, putting them into lime kilns, and letting the lime eat them up alive.

That the Nazis grabbed two million women is a lie, that they raped them is such a shamefaced lie that anyone pronouncing it ought to choke on a wish-

bone and die like a rat! The Germans did not rape women, even less than our American troops. It was our lend-lease pals, the Soviet Russians who raped, often, twenty times until they were dead, more women than were ever raped in the history of the world. And "putting them in lime kilns" is another fanciful invention and a lie — which not even his fellow revenge hounds repeat.

SHIRER HAS THE JEWS "SLAUGHTERED," BUT ONLY FOUR MILLION

Now comes the most widely read of the Jewish Germanophobes, William L. Shirer. In his *Rise and Fall of the Third Reich* (1245 pages, 1960), he misrepresents the Nazi "Office of Jewish Emigration," as having become "eventually an agency not of emigration but of extermination." Then he accuses it of "the slaughter of more than four million persons, mostly Jews" (p. 351). Note the discrepancy in relation to Hecht and Sadowski. Where such discrepancies exist, the figure of six million is simply a guess and swindle.

Shirer himself testifies to the discrepancies. At one point he asks himself how many Jews the "final solution" massacred, and replies:

The figure given in the Nuremberg indictment was 5,700,000, and it tallied with the calculations of the World Jewry Congress. (Gerald Reitlinger in his prodigious study of the *Final Solution* N.Y., 1953) concluded that the figure was somewhat less . . . between 4,194,000 and 4,481,000. (Shirer, p. 978)

OTHER DEFLATION OF THE SIX MILLION MYTH

My main purpose is not to establish exact statistics, which cannot be done, as Josef Burg explained, before Israel throws open its material, but definitely to explode the six million number. For many, a very convincing testimony for those with leftist leanings comes from the *Red German Democratic Report* (East Berlin, March 18, 1966):

. . . reputable historians in many countries have been unable to agree upon how many millions of Jews were killed by the Nazis. Eichmann gave the figure of six million; more recent research indicates that it may have been nearer five million.

As we noted above, Eichmann never said six million Jews were killed by the Nazis. Hoess and Hoettl, trying to save their heads by incriminating their superior, claimed to have heard Eichmann casually say something like that, which even then was only five million "enemies of the Reich," not Jews.

Another significant argument against the inflated six million figure is that the Jewish center, Yad Vashem — The Martyrs Foundation, interested in confirming the six million — confesses that after twenty-seven years of trying, it has been able to document only "2.5 million Nazi victims":

Twenty-seven years after the end of World War II, the Jews are still counting and identifying their dead from the Nazi holocaust . . . More than 2.5 million dead have been documented . . . It will be impossible to reach the

six million figure . . . But, I hope we can get to four million. (*The Sun*, Colorado Springs, Colorado, Jan. 30, 1973)

If in twenty-seven years they could not document six million dead, not even four million, it is simply because there are not that many. Even their 2.5 million figure, if limited to whom the Nazis executed, is a lie and a swindle. How the Talmudists guess and inflate, and respect everything Germanophobic, except the truth! One Walter Abeles (*Tampa Tribune*, March 27, 1970) asserts that the "Zentrale Suchstelle, in Arolsen, Germany, has a list of names, addresses of over 5,000,000 people, all Jewish, killed by the Nazis." If this Search Central has all that, how come the Yad Vashen does not have it? In short, on the matter of the six million, Jewish sources do their best to confirm Christ's words, "You are the children of Satan, the father of lies." *The Menorah Journal* (Spring, 1946) cynically commented:

As reported during the war years by Jewish news agencies, the number of Jews killed in Europe totals several million more than the Nazis ever knew existed.

LIES AND EXAGGERATIONS ABOUT CONCENTRATION CAMPS — DACHAU

The anti-German atrocity mongers have had a heyday with German concentration camps. Eisenhower's "Crusaders" captured the first one, Dachau, in April 1945. Eisenhower, in a non-publicized war crime, unceremoniously had the 300 guards shot. The Morgenthauists then built gas ovens to convince tourists that the Germans had "gassed" millions of inmates. In the summer of 1949 I had an interview with a most prominent survivor of Dachau, Coadjutor Bishop Neuhaeusler of Munich. To my shock, he insisted that there had been no gas chambers. The talk of millions he dismissed as a monstrous lie.

Soon the media also felt it had to reduce the millions and settled upon 238,000 "gassed." What proved to be the truth? Official records show that of these, 20,600 died, not by gassing, nor hanging, but from natural and other causes! That is how reliable the Jewish-controlled media have been on German concentration camps.

After similar shrinkage of alleged casualties had to be applied to the other concentration camps on German territory, the only ones Westerners could inspect, the Talmudic atrocity mongers zeroed in on Auschwitz. For it, the Soviet Russians prohibited inspection, so that both they and the eye-for-an-eye brood could have a heyday alleging and inflating its casualties. With arrogance and dogmatism that makes the doctrine of Papal Infallibility seem a display of modesty they pontificate in a range of from 2,500,000 to 4,000,000. Naturally with no effort to present evidence. One Benedikt Kautsky, with scholarly pretensions, claimed that "at least 3,500,000 were gassed at Auschwitz" (*Teufel und Verdamnte*, Zurich, 1946, p. 275). Yet another Jew, Gerald Reitlinger, in his *The SS: The Alibi of a Nation* (p. 268), found "that the total of all internees registered at Auschwitz was only

363,000 and by no means all of these were Jews” (statement in *Myth of The Six Million*, p. 77). The fact that Jewish publicists cannot even approximately agree on figures should convince anyone that they are merely guessing and inventing, and smear-terrorizing. It is probable that the truth about Auschwitz will eventually resemble that of Dachau.

THE TRUTH ABOUT AUSCHWITZ IS BEGINNING TO EMERGE

But since it is their last concentration camp with which to give the swindle of the six million a semblance of plausibility, the Talmudists cling with a psychopathic frenzy to the bloated statistics of Auschwitz. The latest demonstration of this is furnished by Simon Wiesenthal of Vienna, self-appointed bloodhound for tracking down alleged Nazi war criminals. In March 1973 the *Deutsche Buergerinitiative* (614 Bensheim, Roonstr. 8, near Frankfurt) published a pamphlet, edited by attorney Manfred Roeder, entitled, *Die Auschwitz Luege* (The Auschwitz Lie) (40 pages). It is an eyewitness account of Thies Christophersen, an attendant in Auschwitz from January 1944 to December 1944 — one year. He insists that there were no gas chambers in Auschwitz and quotes Dr. Benedikt Kautsky, referred to above, an internee for three years, as follows:

I was in the large concentration camps in Germany. But I have to testify in truth to the fact that in no camp did I ever see any gas chambers.

Christophersen also states and approves the findings of the “Canadian Anti-Defamation Committee of Christian Laymen,” namely, that

In the twelve years of Hitler’s rule (1933-45) 200,000 Jews died, of any and all causes, through killing, executions of partisans and saboteurs, through bombs that fell on camps, but also through natural causes and aging.

Personally, the figure of 200,000 casualties of Jews from all causes in the Third Reich seems to me to be about accurate.

HOW WIESENTHAL FORCED BONN TO RESTRICT ROEDER’S FREEDOM OF THE PRESS!

Though the pamphlet is a calm factual refutation of the six million figure and of variations from 2,500,000 to 4,000,000 for Auschwitz, it aroused the Talmudists to a rage. Simon Wiesenthal had the impudence to fire off a letter to the German Judiciary (Rechtsanwaltskammer), inclosing the Roeder pamphlet, and demanded that West Germany ban the pamphlet as inflammatory and offensive to the six million murdered Jews! That illustrates how Jews fear the truth, how they block honest investigation of the six million swindle, and how they try to destroy freedom of the press whenever its truth offends their interests and prejudices! And of course, harassed and smear-terrorized Bonn immediately knuckled under to Wiesenthal’s arrogant pressure tactics. But happily, attorney Manfred Roeder insists on a public trial to test whether, as in our famous colonial Peter Zenger trial, the government can irresponsibly prohibit the

publication of the truth whenever some foreign Jew demands it!

GERMAN HISTORIANS REGARDING THE SIX MILLION STATISTICS

In general, German publicists and researchers have been afraid to come to grips with this problem, justified by innumerable instances of persecutions, illustrated by Wiesenthal's tactics. Worse still, they are harassed by pro-Jewish governments and denied access to documents. Nevertheless, German scholars are as competent and accurate as any in the world. When any of them touch on this "hot" topic, they first apologetically proclaim that of course killing even one Jew is an enormous atrocity (they sound as if killing one Jew is far worse than killing three million East Germans, or a hundred thousand Palestinians), that they do not want to minimize the horrible German (collective) guilt, that they only want to re-establish reliable statistics.

Here we want to insert that numbers do matter. When millions are killed one may presume that most of them were innocent. When a few thousand are killed, one can equally presume that they were subversives and partisans. If killing one Jew is as horrendous as killing a million, then the U.S. becomes as guilty as allegedly the Third Reich, for the U.S. executed two Rosenbergs! I have yet to meet a Talmudist who to this day does not consider the two *murdered*!

What responsible German historians have dared to investigate Jewish casualties concluded that the figure of six million is a brazen fabrication, and they tend to place Jewish casualties in the Third Reich, from any and all causes, as at most 1,500,000 and those executed for good or bad reasons at no more than some 200,000.

SOME SPECIFIC GERMAN FINDINGS

In 1966, one Alfred Wiegand implored Bonn to produce reliable statistics. Bonn answered that the lack of documents makes this impossible. It added that the figure of 5,731,000, mentioned at Nuremberg, did not mean Jews killed but Jews missing at that time, that the International Search Service (ISS) in Arolsen/Waldeck, subsidiary of the International Committee of the Red Cross, can establish only 238,000 deaths. Wieland replied as follows (November 21, 1966):

Apparently the UNO and the Red Cross have some years ago calculated the number of regrettable casualties as between 250,000 and 300,000. The researches of the one-time concentration camp inmate, Dr. Paul Rassinier, calculated the number of Jews killed during World War II, based on American and Israeli immigration figures, to be one million. (See: *Deutsche National Zeitung*, "Six Million und die Wahrheit," June 14, 1968)

But Bonn is too afraid of the anti-Semitic smear to try to set the record straight! It remembers Dr. Adenauer's describing the frightful danger of

incurring Jewish hate! Also, that this hatred got the Son of God crucified!

And so we have only some courageous individual German exposures of the myth. In March 1966, the Anti-Defamation League *Bulletin* nastily attacked a German paper “. . . for urging a study of the number of murdered Jews in order that the long-refuted six million figure can be banished.” And *Newsweek* (February 2, 1959) reports the rage of the Zionists and Reds because a German court claimed incompetence to imprison a German who had denounced the figure of the six million “as an immense lie.”

In 1961 the late editor of *Nation Europa* (Coburg), Artur Ehrhardt, submitted to the German Attorney General, Dietrich Zeug, in Ludwigsburg, his calculation that “as a maximum only one million to a million and half Jews died during the time of the Third Reich” from any and all causes — plagues, hunger, bombing, in short, through war induced evils, fewest through executions.” He estimated that “the number of innocent Jews killed as reprisals and otherwise can at most be 350,000” (See: Emil Aretz, *Hexen Einmal Eins einer Luege*, Hohewarte, 1973, p. 26). For publishing this objective calculation, the Talmudists pressured the German Judiciary to arrest Ehrhardt for spreading defamations against the Jews. Ehrhardt, however, insisted on having his calculations submitted to research. As a result, the action, after a few months, was quietly withdrawn. The highly reliable *Deutsche Wochenzeitung* (Rosenheim, Oct. 5, 1973) considers Ehrhardt’s figures the most reliable so far, and regards the government’s withdrawal of its suit as tacit confirmation. And so do I, the author of this pamphlet.

OTHER GERMAN FINDINGS

In “The Lie of the Six Million,” the important independent *Deutsche National-Zeitung* (Munich, Jan. 21, 1966) asks plausibly, if six million Jews were murdered, how come that up to June 30, 1965, a total of 3,374,500 were very much alive and demanded indemnities from Bonn for alleged harm suffered from the Third Reich? It also asks, where, if the Nazis killed six million, all the millions of Jews who after the war emigrated from Europe to Israel, and to America, had come from? The number of surviving Jews, over three million, registered for reparations is one of the most incontrovertible evidences that six million were not executed.

An important German post-war historian, the late Dr. Peter Kleist, intelligence officer during the war for Eastern Affairs, wrote:

The sober figures indicate, that the mass killings could only have included tens of thousands, at most a hundred thousand of Jews. Statistics of millions . . . belong in the realm of legend and propaganda. (See: Emil Aretz, p. 392)

Author Emil Aretz (p. 36) concludes that if the number should really be as high as 300,000, which he seriously doubts, it would be bearably criminal and tragic enough, without an inflation to six million. That is how most Germans feel.

Another top German historian, Erich Kern, an SS officer on the Eastern Front (one of his several books, *Der Grosse Rausch*, 1948, was published by Scribners as *Dance of Death*, 1951, 256 pages) in his article, "Internationales Rotes Kreuz weigert sich Zahlen zu nennen" (Red Cross refuse to give figures) (*Deutsche Wochenzeitung*, Jan. 13, 1967) wrote:

Impossible more than 1,500,000 Jews could have died under German occupation — through pogroms by the East Europeans, in partisan warfare, through plagues, hunger, and cold — and also in part through criminal policies . . . The number of these especially regrettable casualties can at most be estimated at 350,000.

In short, German investigators find that, not six million, but absolutely fewer than half a million Jews died of any Nazi action. One can confidently assert that more civilian men, women, and children were killed in a few hours in the criminal area bombings of Dresden, Hiroshima, and Nagasaki than Jews died of Nazi policies.

WHY THE SIX MILLION MYTH MUST BE EXPLODED

The swindle of the six million must be exposed and exploded, not only because it is used to blackmail astronomical indemnities out of the Christian Germans, but because the Talmudists and Communists also use it to defame all German Christians, the Vatican, Christians generally and even Christian America. They use this swindle to make all Christianity feel guilty. *Globe Magazine* (May 27, 1967) carried an article "Beyond The Deputy's Silence," which begins, "You don't have to be a Christian to shove Jews into the gas chambers but it helps."

The article continues:

How could Christian Germany — and Christian civilization, one billion strong — have allowed the horrors to go on, year after year for 12 years, until for 6 million Jews, it was too late?

It goes on that Pope Pius was "leading the greatest of Christian churches, while Catholics, not the Nazis, were murdering 6 million Jews." Note, we Christians, not Nazis are blamed! Another quotation:

And thus 6 million Jews were slaughtered in cold blood during the peak of Christian civilization, by baptized Christians, in a Christian country full of ancient churches and cathedrals — and the slaughter was tolerated by a world imbued with 2,000 years of the Christian ethic.

Trying to impute the fraudulent six million casualty, not so much to National Socialism, but to Christianity, is a constant, if often disguised ploy of the Talmudists. The reason is twofold: first, Jews hate Christianity because of its testimony to Christ; secondly, they cannot squeeze reparations out of *dead Nazis* but they can out of *live Christians*, if they inject them sufficiently with guilt feelings.

U.S. GUILT FEELING SUPPLIED ISRAELI ARMS WITHOUT A *QUID PRO QUO*

A secret, unacknowledged guilt feeling caused our government to supply Israel in the Arab-Israel War of 1973 with arms to slaughter Arabs without the *quid pro quo* of first requiring Israel to return to the 1967 boundaries, and so plunged us and Europe and Japan into a fuel crisis. Our arms delivery was a crime and really an act of aggression against the Arabs — due entirely to Jewish pressure. The talk about Israel being an important bastion of U.S. security is hogwash and hypocrisy. Israel is a millstone about America's neck and we and Germany are its feedtrough.

And if any politician questions our one-sided military support of Israel, a dozen Talmudic media scream, "What about the six million Jews gassed? Would you rather have Arab oil than dishonor their memory!" Whereupon our politicians knuckle under and jump in to slaughter Arabs who rightfully want their land back.

No, the figure of six million is a brazen swindle. It must be refuted, exposed, and abhorred — not only for the good of the Germans, but for the good of all of us, even for the vindictive Jews. A lie and a swindle in the long run hurt everybody!

A STRAIGHT LOOK AT THE THIRD REICH

Hitler and National Socialism, How Right? How Wrong?*

Decades after Unconditional Surrender, an objective look at the Third Reich and National Socialism is long overdue.¹ Three recent trips to Germany have convinced me that any German policy which is patriotic and national — as truly German as we want American policy to be truly American — one which is firmly anti-Communistic and yet not slavishly subservient to the Western victors, will be denounced as neo-Nazi. Yet unless Germany can soon generate such a policy into office, it seems destined to fall under the domination of Soviet Russia.

GREEN LIGHT FOR SOVIETS TO INVADE

Indeed, the United Nations Charter (in Articles 53 and 107) reserves to Soviet Russia the right to invade West Berlin and West Germany whenever it can allege a revival there of neo-Nazism *or* militarism. Every time anyone accuses anything in West Germany or in Austria as *neo-Nazi* he is flashing a green light to Soviet Russia to invade these *former enemies*. When in 1968 West Germany faintly protested the Red invasion of Czechoslovakia, Moscow pointed to Articles 53 and 107 and convulsed Bonn with terror and silence. And blackmailed it into ratifying the Red theft of the Oder-Neisse territories — one fourth of Germany — and to sanction the expulsion of its fifteen million inhabitants (which includes the Sudeten Germans) and the murder of 2,500,000! And in the Moscow-Bonn treaty, West Germany had to endorse Articles 53 and 107!

*First published June 15, 1974.

1. In their foreword to *Horrido: Fighter Aces of the Luftwaffe*, (Ballentine, 1970), authors Trevor J. Constable and Col. Raymond F. Toliver write, "A quarter of a century after the end of the Second World War, the time has come to dispel many myths and inaccurate views concerning the Luftwaffe fighter pilots which have their roots in wartime propaganda" (p. xv). What they rightly say of the Luftwaffe is even more true of all of Germany, of the Third Reich, its leaders, its people!

Yet a nation whom the victors do not allow to be nationalistic cannot long remain a nation; it will go the way the Soviet Zone of Germany and Czechoslovakia have gone — right under the noses of the Anglo-American champions of democracy! The world-wide Communistic conspiracy and a lingering Morgenthauism have succeeded in labeling all German patriotism as *neo-Nazi* and to keep representing the Third Reich as monstrously evil, and National Socialism as the most Satanic governmental philosophy and system in the world!

IT IS HIGH TIME TO TAKE AN HONEST LOOK

The time is overdue to investigate what validity this judgement has and who is behind it. According to some signs, what is not totally evil is National Socialism, and what *is* totally evil is the propaganda of the Unconditional Surrenderists! For example, I was jolted when the very respectable German paper, *Deutsche Zeitung: Christ und Welt*, “War Hitler ein grosser Man?” (April 18, 1969) dutifully denies that Hitler was great, complains that he ruined Germany, but dares to cite as one small, positive accomplishment of his that he “broke up the class structure of bourgeois Germany and created a condition of social equality.” In other words, National Socialism, it appears, was an instrument for *social democracy*, and this is really the most Christian element of democracy! This would represent a huge hunk of good in National Socialism!

GENERAL WEDEMAYER ALSO FOUND GOOD ASPECTS

While absorbing this revelation in the anti-Nazi *Deutsche Zeitung*, I happened to re-read *Wedemeyer Reports* (Devin-Adair Co., 1 Park Ave., Old Greenwich, Conn. 06870, 497 pages, hardback and paperback). In it General Albert C. Wedemeyer, a Nebraskan American, whom the U.S. Army sent to study in the German *Kriegsakademie* from 1936 to 1938, who therefore is one of the few Americans who saw Nazi Germany when it was in peaceful operation, before it was distorted by war, made the following observation:

— There were some aspects of the Third Reich which seemed good at the time — for example, the public works program; encouragement of the arts, music, and sciences; the building of roads and communications; and cultural opportunities such as travel abroad for underprivileged people at government expense. (p. 38)

In other words, German National Socialism, the Third Reich, which Talmudists, Communists and our media continue to represent as the very epitome of concentrated evil had in reality some good in it! I cannot help adding here that it also suppressed the greatest contemporary American, Swedish, Danish and West German shame — and that of the Weimar Republic — pornography! Nor did it tolerate the effects of pornography — prostitution, perversion, sodomy! It frowned upon divorce, encouraged

marital fidelity. And whatever else Hitler might have been, he was not an adulterer like Roosevelt, who two-timed his wife, with two of his secretaries! Nor like Wilson, whose adultery caused the Jews to blackmail him into appointing Brandeis to the Supreme Court and to railroad the U.S. into World War I!

THE THIRD REICH NEEDED NO BARBED WIRE TO KEEP ITS OWN PEOPLE IN

Indeed, upon a moment's reflection, we realize with a start that Nazi Germany before the war certainly had nothing like the Berlin Wall to keep its citizens in, nor a barbed wire entanglement — no Iron Curtain — around its frontier, through the middle of Europe, all hideous barbarisms which characterize our Lend-Lease pals, the Communists! We also recollect that until 1939 people could come and go to and from Germany very much as in the U.S. and Great Britain! But *never* to and from Soviet Russia, or its satellites, like the Soviet Zone of Germany, hypocritically called the *Democratic German Republic*.

Most of all, Jews could leave Germany at will — with their belongings — and without paying a head tax! In contrast, Soviet Russia, which the media continue to represent as a kind of Utopia compared to Nazi Germany, does not let Jews — nor any other ethnic groups, not even the remaining Oder-Neisse Germans — go and come as they please. And they charge huge head taxes for the Jews they do let out! In reality, Hitler permitted them, even encouraged them, to emigrate, precisely what Jews bitterly complain that Soviet Russia denies them!

Yet, these same Jews continue to call National Socialism intrinsically evil, but Communism, but for a few *ad hoc* inconveniences, relatively good! In short, the Third Reich was a relatively open and exposed society, like our own, while Soviet Russia and its satellites are barbed-wired in like huge concentration camps!

WILL HITLER LIKE GOLDWATER BE REHABILITATED?

Ever since our media have ganged up to represent President Nixon as liar, thief, and dictator, a veritable Hitler, they also begin to talk of Senator Barry Goldwater as the American ideal of a senator. Recently Walter Cronkite pontificated benignly over Goldwater:

If Goldwater now sounds like the voice of moderation and reason, in this current of public confidence perhaps it's because . . . he seems to plead no special cause, right now, except frankness and honesty . . . Once . . . he was ridiculed as an anachronism . . . are the times catching up to Barry Goldwater? (*National Review*, November 9, 1973)

Goldwater was not only called an "anachronism," he was denounced as a Hitler, a Fascist, and a racist. If "the times are catching up to Barry Goldwater," and rehabilitating him, perhaps the times will by and by catch up with Adolf Hitler, too? That is why I am writing this booklet!

Here is what a few of the great U.S. publicists, who in 1964 still denounced Hitler as an abomination, said of Goldwater. Martin Luther King croaked, "We see dangerous signs of Hitlerism in the Goldwater campaign." If he wins, there will be an "outbreak of violence" by Negroes. George Meany, who is especially down on Nixon now, announced "a parallel between Senator Barry Goldwater and Adolf Hitler." Jackie Robinson said he believes he now knows "how it felt to be a Jew in Hitler's Germany." Drew Pearson, one who didn't believe in the Devil but knew Hitler was the Devil, perceived "The smell of Fascism . . . in the air" at the San Francisco Convention. California's then governor Pat Brown found that "Goldwater's acceptance speech had the stench of Fascism . . . All we needed to hear was 'Heil Hitler.' "

And of course C.L. Sulzberger, of the *New York Times*, which to ruin Nixon, made a monster out of the Watergate peccadillo, found that:

. . . in January 1963 a dangerous group of financial supporters including racists and labor-baiters began to push Goldwater's candidacy . . . the kind of business backing behind the Nazis in the early Thirties.

James Reston, another pundit of the *New York Times*, reminded Goldwater "that most of the extremist tyrants of history, from Caesar and Napoleon to Hitler and Stalin, acted in the name of liberty and justice." (We are indebted for these quotations to *National Review*, November 9, 1973).

IF HITLER WAS LIKE GOLDWATER, HE MUST HAVE BEEN PRETTY GOOD!

We see that in 1964 the top American opinion-makers equated Goldwater with Hitler, and the Republicans with Nazis and Fascists! And within a few months they so defamed Goldwater that he sank from a Republican hero to a "monster" few dared to name and fewer to vote for! Now, if the moral cripples in our media can do this to a now revered American politician, can anyone doubt that they could and would do worse to a foreign politician with whose country they tricked us into a war? If they could make Goldwater look like Hitler, and the Republican Party like Nazis and Fascists, then one *cannot* accept their defamations of Hitler nor of National Socialism. *Our top opinion-makers during and after World War II, and our top media, outside the "Chicago Tribune," were liars, moral cripples, and prostitutes of Roosevelt and Morgenthau — and largely still are!*

What they have been saying about Hitler and National Socialism, like what they said about the Kaiser in 1919 and Barry Goldwater in 1964, is the crudest, the dirtiest, the vilest character-assassination! Only moral cripples would stoop to it, and only a brain-washed public would believe it.

THE U.S. MEDIA AND THE PUBLIC HAVE TRADITIONALLY BEEN UNFAIR TO OPPONENTS

The top U.S. media, possibly because they are dominated by Jews, as their smearing of Goldwater in 1964 and of President Nixon now, and of

President Herbert Hoover in 1928, shows, have no tradition of fairness to anyone they hate. They are smear-terroristic liars and slanderers. But, sad to say, they have also in wartime subverted much of the public to frenzy of prejudice. Even in our Civil War, where Americans fought against Americans, Americans of the North were told and came to believe that Choctaw County stunk with dead bodies of murdered slaves, and that Southern belles (really sisters of the belles of the North) had worn necklaces strung of Yankee eyeballs! And Northern politicians, like Thaddeus Stevens, said of the Southerners, fellow Americans,

Humble the proud traitors, strip them of their bloated estates . . . desolate the section . . . reduce them to hopeless feebleness.

If Yankees could believe that Southern girls wore necklaces of Yankee eyeballs, would they not even more readily believe that Germans made lampshades out of the skin of prisoners, or that they boiled Jews into soap? If in 1918 they wanted to hang the Kaiser on a sour apple tree, as the monster criminal of World War I, a world leader now universally recognized as one of the most decent and moderate of all War leaders, certainly less dishonest and less vindictive than Wilson, Lloyd George, Clemenceau, and General Foch, is it not likely that for possibly no more justification they would want to hang Hitler, and did in fact at the Nuremberg Trials hang Germans no more guilty than the Kaiser, or Hindenburg, or Ludendorff? Clearly that judgement American pundits and the media and as a consequence, much of the public screeched about the German leaders and people after World War II deserves not to be credited — and needs to be studied honestly and be revised. This — and only this — I am trying to do in this booklet.

WOULD HITLER LIKELY ESCAPE THE VILE GERMANOPHOBIA OF WORLD WAR I?

Have you ever heard that Hitler “burned books” and that therefore the Third Reich must be destroyed, its cities bombed, its workmen’s homes destroyed by fire bombs, its churches bombed to bits, and a maximum of women and children massacred, as in Dresden? Well, in World War I, after Wilson appointed George Creel as director of public information, really as the government prostitute for publicizing false atrocity stories against the Central Powers, such as that Germans went around slicing the arms off Belgian babies, the allegedly fair-minded American public outlawed the German language from the schools:

German music and literature, German church services, the German language, the activities of all German societies . . . came under the ban of superpatriots . . . The “Hun” language was banished from the curriculum . . . German books were thrown out of libraries, sold as trash . . . or burned in the public square with patriotic ceremonies. (Wittke, *German Press*, pp. 268-9)

Is it likely that a public that could be propagandized into such a frenzy of

hatred of an enemy long since recognized as marvellously chivalrous, would not be whipped to a worse paroxysm of hatred and vilification during and after World War II, when in addition to the George Creel type of slanderers the Third Reich and the German people have been exposed to the likes of the Jewish Communist Ilya Ehrenburg, who ordered all German women raped, and Jews like Theodore N. Kaufman (*Germany Must Perish*), who wanted all German men castrated, and Secretary of the Treasury, Henry J. Morgenthau (*Germany Is Our Problem*), who wanted all Germans starved to death?

HATE PEDDLERS MUCH WORSE IN WORLD WAR II THAN IN WORLD WAR I

Is it really likely that when such genocidic barbarians swayed Allied propaganda and policy, that Hitler and the Third Reich and the German people could have been given an honest and fair treatment? Obviously when such monsters of slander and vilification and genocide were the darlings of our media fairness to the Third Reich — to Hitler, to National Socialism — has had no more chance than the proverbial snowball in hell! I am writing this booklet only to try to start setting the record straight — no more. I don't want to defend the Third Reich, nor National Socialism, I just want truth and justice for them. Though it be Utopian to hope so — in as much as even after 2,000 years it still does not grant truth and justice to Jesus of Nazareth — I am most eager to have the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai Brith accept my modest and sincere intention!

CRUSADERS FOR "DEMOCRACY" PERMIT EVERY TYPE OF GOVERNMENT EXCEPT NATIONAL SOCIALISM

The one thing the self-acclaimed "Crusaders" for the destruction of Germany proclaimed over and over again was and is that every people has the right to choose what form of government it wants but that no outside power or unpopular armed clique may force its type of rule on a people. In the third Article of the Atlantic Charter, the top hypocrites of the world, Roosevelt and Churchill, preached "the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they will live!"

But what did they do? As soon as they had forced Unconditional Surrender on the hapless German and Austrian people, the peace dictators violently, without a plebiscite, tore Austrians from Germany and told them they must adopt the Rooseveltian New Deal as their form of government or be starved to death. And again the Western victors, without consulting the German people, told the West Germans under their occupation, that if they do not wish to be exterminated as Nazis or Nazi sympathizers, they must adopt the Rooseveltian New Deal and nothing else.

IF ANGLO-AMERICANS COULD FORCE THEIR GOVERNMENT ON GERMANY, COULD NOT MOSCOW IMPOSE ITS FORM OF GOVERNMENT?

Is it possible that the Anglo-American peace dictators did not realize that if they claimed the right and duty to force their form of government on the German and Austrian people, under their occupation, Soviet Russia would feel justified to force Communism on its zones of occupation? Could our diplomats have really been so stupid and blind! Or did they secretly, barbarized and bolshevized by Morgenthauism, want to deliver the German people to the Reds? Morgenthau wanted U.S. troops to leave Europe and let Europeans occupy all of Germany, which in effect meant handing over to the Soviet Russian rapists and burglars. Morgenthau was flanked by Communist Harry Dexter White, and he by Alger Hiss. John Barron (in *KGB*, New York, 1974, p. 188) lists among his catalogue of Red spies in our foreign service, such characters as Ullmann, Reno, Coplin, Gold, Greenglass, Julius and Ethel Rosenberg (whom the media now glamorize), Sobell, Perl, Soble, Wolston, and Alfred and Martha Stern. And such as Klaus Fuchs and Philby for Britain.

No wonder that with characters like that the Anglo-Americans forced the New Deal on West Germany in a way which invited Soviet Russia to force Communism on the eastern half of Germany — and on Poland, the Baltic States, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Romania, Bulgaria, and Yugoslavia! That is the right “of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they want to live” which the “holy” Rooseveltian “Crusaders” inflicted on Europe — and on China, North Korea, and North Vietnam!

SINCE THE VICTORS SO FAVORED THE TOTALITARIANISM OF COMMUNISM, BY WHAT LOGIC DID AND DO THEY PROHIBIT NATIONAL SOCIALISM FOR THE GERMAN PEOPLE?

If “liberated,” and all other nations can choose the form of government they want, just why can’t the Germans choose National Socialism, in preference to the atheistic, barbarous, abominable totalitarianism of Bolshevism the victors not only permitted to them but forced upon them? And upon a dozen unfortunate Baltic and Asiatic peoples?

Let me again explain for the benefit of the Morgenthauistic smear-terrorists, I do not recommend National Socialism or Fascism, I do not advocate it for Germany or for Italy. What I do declare solemnly is that since the Potsdam pact any impulses of patriotism in West Germany, of honorable nationalism, no matter how timid, are immediately denounced and destroyed by the American, British, Israeli, and Soviet press as “neo-Nazi.” And in a fright the bulk of the German press, which the Rooseveltians after the war handed over to exclusively liberal, socialistic, pro-Communistic, virtually treasonable so-called Germans, immediately clagues in unison with the Germanophobic international press and chokes

off any German patriotic movement. This is what, for example, it did to the NPD (National Democratic Party). This was an absolutely harmless but patriotic and national German party, and its chairman, Adolf von Thadden (whose sister had been executed by the Nazis) was about as anti-National Socialistic as it is possible to be. But he and the party were patriotically German, they wanted a Germany with some national pride, and most of all they wanted to get rid of the Communistic savages in the eastern part of Germany, one half of the total, and reunification in the boundaries Wilson's Fourteen Points and the Rooseveltian Atlantic Charter had guaranteed to the vanquished!

GERMAN PATRIOTISM SQUASHED, CALUMNIATED AS "NEO-NAZISM"

For this the same type of moral cripples who in 1964 equated Goldwater with Hitler screeched day and night about the revival of National Socialism in Germany and howled to have it crushed, even if necessary by having Soviet Russia invoke Articles 53 and 107 and march into West Germany!

It is because of these very articles that I insist it is necessary to put National Socialism in proper perspective. I solemnly declare that since the Potsdam pact the victors have not allowed Germany to be patriotic. They have not allowed it to have national pride. They have not allowed it to have a modern army with modern weapons — and an army without modern weapons is a swindle. It is an invitation to the Soviet Russians to march in.

Any but a Morgenthauist ought to realize that a Germany that is denounced as "neo-Nazi" at every breath of patriotism has no alternative, and only one choice — to go Communist, to seek its reunification from Soviet Russia, rather than from a United Western Front. At the first sign of a real depression, and as in people's hearts the desire of a reunified Germany grows (but which they may not express), the German people can only turn to Communism. The West which encouraged the Berlin Wall and accepted the Iron Curtain without a protest, and which calls all real patriotism "neo-Nazi," which staffed its chief papers with virtual traitors, cannot seem to honest Germans as an alternative! Hope remains only if it quickly stops denouncing patriotism as National Socialism and Fascism!

I saw the German dilemma once. In 1931, Germany suffered from the worst depression I ever experienced or read about. The Communists, mostly with Jewish leaders (e.g. Rosa Luxemburg, Karl Liebknecht, Kurt Eisner), were aggressively and ruthlessly advancing their Red barbarism. The only opposition to them was Hitler and his followers. It was clear to me, then a young man, that the German people, to whom America and the West had refused self-determination for Austria, the Sudetenland, Danzig and the Corridor, South Tyrol, and only one alternative, Communism *or* National Socialism.

May I here add that it was at that time impossible to oppose the Red barbarism energetically without being denounced by Communists and Jews

as "anti-Semitic." I may add, *that* still is the case. It happened to Lindbergh, to Father Coughlin, and to Senator Joseph McCarthy!

THANKS TO HITLER, PART OF EUROPE IS STILL FREE

It may take another hundred years, or a Third World War with the Communistic monster which the Rooseveltians created, before it will be realized that it was Hitler and his National Socialism to whom, from Spain to England, Western Europe must be grateful for having preserved them at least until now from the Red barbarism. Because the Rooseveltians knocked the sword out of Germany's hand at Stalingrad, half of Germany, all of Poland, the Balkans, the Baltic Republics have since 1945 suffered the frightful agony and tyranny and totalitarianism of the Bolshevik savages to which the Anglo-Americans at the Potsdam Pact betrayed them! That it was Hitler who saved Spain, the western anchor of freedom, from the Bolshevik take-over is already admitted.

AGAIN THE GERMAN PEOPLE MUST BUT MAY NOT CHOOSE PATRIOTISM AND FREEDOM

Luckily, as by and by even our journalistic moral cripples will admit, Hitler and his National Socialists and Mussolini and his Fascists in those so critical years for Europe won. But what makes me tremble is the fact that again the German people, normally, has only the choice of throwing off the unpatriotic phony democracy of the Rooseveltians forced upon it in 1945 *or* to let Soviet Russia engulf it. The present German government, that of Brandt, Wehner, and Bahr, all former Communists and, according to all appearances still more Communistic than German, in the last two years have sanctioned and ratified the Communist robbery of the Oder-Neisse territories, one-fourth of Germany proper, have betrayed the Sudeten Germans to the Red Czechs, have endangered West Berlin, are paying huge indemnities to the Communist countries to reward them for the most atrocious mass crime in all recorded history, the expulsion, and total robbery, and clubbing to death of 20% of the East Prussians, Pomeranians, Silesians and Sudeten Germans. A government that does that is not for Germany, it is for Soviet Russia!

And the Brandt-Wehner-Bahr collection of former Communists could afford these frightful betrayals of German rights because they knew that Washington, with a co-racialist of Bahr as Secretary of State, Henry Kissinger, and President Nixon bludgeoned into serving Zionist and Morgenthau interests rather than American and Western ones, would be happy if West Germany served "detente" by betraying all of Eastern Germany and all the satellite and enslaved nations!

GERMANY BEING BETRAYED HELPLESSLY TO SOVIET-RUSSIA

The government imposed upon Germany by the Rooseveltians, never truly independent of U.S. Morgenthauism, is, with the additional impulse

of the prevailing phony “detente” betraying Germans to Soviet Russia. Had the NPD not been smear-terrorized out of influence some years ago, this would not be happening.

But the frightful condition is that, whereas in 1931 Germany was allowed to choose an alternative to Bolshevism, in 1974 it is damned as “neo-Nazi” if it chooses patriotism and nationalism — and therefore has no alternative. Its only hope for reunification is surrendering to Soviet Russia!

And let me make it absolutely clear, any patriotic impulse in Germany, any effort for an adequate defence, any sense of national pride is immediately damned by the Bolshevik, Israeli, and American media as “neo-Nazi” deserving of another Nuremberg trial!

To try to expose this suicidal Germanophobic hate propaganda I am writing this booklet. *I am not writing it to glorify National Socialism, much less “neo-Nazism,” I am writing it to prevent German patriotism and anti-Communism from being smear-terrorized as “neo-Nazi.”*

JUST WHAT IS WRONG WITH NATIONAL SOCIALISM THAT IS NOT TEN TIMES WORSE IN BOLSHEVISM?

But to achieve this so critically important fairness in the West, I seem to be able only to do it by asking *just what is so wrong in National Socialism that is not ten times more wrong in Bolshevism?* Just why at Potsdam did the Western victors tell the Germans on pain of destruction not ever to tolerate or embrace National Socialism, but to be very open-minded about Communism, and in fact ordered the defenseless Germans to accept it as their system of government for the eastern half of Germany!

Why this insane foaming-at-the-mouth hatred of National Socialism? Harry Elmer Barnes, before World War II the great darling of Jews and Liberals, wrote:

In short, there is no unique or special case against Nazi barbarism and horrors unless one assumes that it is far more wicked to exterminate Jews than to massacre Gentiles (*Blasting the Historical Blackout*, May, 1963, p. 35).

Morgenthau, whose plan envisaged the starving to death of the German race, when the Nuremberg Trials were proposed against Germans, “. . . would have preferred simply to shoot the arch criminals”; furthermore he wanted “. . . all members of an organization like the SS (to) be found guilty of a crime against humanity collectively, and punished without further trial” (John Morton Blum, *Morgenthau Diaries*, Years 1941-45, p. 397). This genocidist, who arrogated to himself the moral superiority to shoot Nazis on sight, said to his Communist assistant, Harry Dexter White:

The only thing you can sell me, or I will have any part of, is the complete shut-down of the Ruhr . . . Just strip it, I don’t care what happens to the population . . . I would take every mine, every mill, and factory and wreck it . . . Steel, coal, everything. Just close it down . . . I am for destroying it first and we will worry about the population second. (p. 354)

NO NAZI EVER AS GENOCIDIC AS MORGENTHAU

This is one of the Allied monsters who wanted to kill all National Socialists and all “neo-Nazis!” Had any German, any National Socialist, ever spoken anything as inhumane as Morgenthau spoke on the Ruhr, the Allied hypocrites would have hanged him forthwith. I maintain that no National Socialist, no German before, during, or after World War II ever wanted anything so genocidic, or savage as Morgenthau! Yet, the holy crusaders, who forbade National Socialism forever and ever, did not move a finger to try and hang Morgenthau! What right had the likes of him to condemn the National Socialists? He was not civilized enough to have the right to kill a jackal in the act of killing a lamb! And that pretty well goes for most of the frenzied slanderers of National Socialism! Harry Elmer Barnes wrote:

Extremely severe sentences were imposed on Germans convicted at Nuremberg of “plotting” evil deeds, and it is doubtful if anything more evil than the Morgenthau Plan was ever plotted. (*Blasting The Historical Blackout*, p. 33)

There wasn’t! The Morgenthau Plan, which Roosevelt made official American policy, and which was in effect until 1947, was positively the most genocidic peace plan in all recorded history. And genocidists of this stamp presume to condemn German National Socialism from the face of the earth!

Father Daniel Lyons, S.J., writing in *Twin Circle* (October 12, 1969), commenting on how the press favorably discussed Ho Chi Minh, “the Joseph Stalin of Vietnam,” added that Roosevelt, too, often praised Stalin, and adds, “Stalin killed more people than Hitler by far, but it was not until Khrushchev denounced Stalin that the U.S. press stopped praising him.”

STALIN AT WORST MERELY PUT MORGENTHAU TO WORK

The genocide that Morgenthau plotted on paper (and which we Christians of America managed to keep from full implementation), Stalin put into action. He deliberately starved six million Ukrainians to death, he murdered 2691 priests and 5409 monks, he tore down or converted 15,000 Russian churches to stables at worst and museums at best,² he murdered 15,000 Polish officers, P.O.W.’s at Katyn and elsewhere, he slave-labored perhaps two million German P.O.W.’s to death (of 96,000 captured at Stalingrad only 6,000 returned alive!), he looted and plundered for decades the half of Germany under his administration, and he put under Soviet tyranny half of Germany and seven satellites, his soldiers raped a million German, Austrian, and Hungarian women, and he organized the most bestial mass

2. See D. Konstantinow, *Die Kirchen der Sowjet-Union*, Munich, 1973, pp. 16, 17, 50.

atrocities in all recorded history, the expulsion of 15,000,000 Oder-Neisse and Sudeten and Balkan Germans, the total robbery of all of their possessions, and clubbing and raping to death of some 20% of them.

To equate such a monster of satanic evil with Hitler is almost as blasphemous as equating Jesus of Nazareth with Genghis Khan! Or his Communism with Hitler's National Socialism!

WHY ROOSEVELT AND CHURCHILL HATED HITLER AND "LOVED" STALIN

Yet the holy "crusading" top hypocrites of the world, Roosevelt, Churchill and their entourage did not with one word suggest Stalin should be tried as a war criminal, nor did they then or since ever suggest that the Communistic system of government must forever be banned, that it may not be imposed on half of Germany or on the seven or more satellite nations brutally betrayed to the Soviets in the Yalta and Potsdam Pacts!

Someday history will acknowledge what Harry Elmer Barnes wrote in his review of George N. Crocker's *Roosevelt's Road To War* (Regnery, 1959):

The great crime of our age was the British plotting and launching of the war and the American support of and entry into that war. The only adequate bulwarks against Communist expansion were Nazi Germany, Fascist Italy, and Japan. When they were destroyed or defeated there was nothing left of sufficient power to contain Communism.

Supporting this is Dr. Kurt Glaser's sentence, "Nach Stalingrad kaempften die deutschen Truppen fuer Europa — After Stalingrad the German Wehrmacht fought for Europe" (*Der Zweite Weltkrieg*, Wuerzburg, 1965, p. 124).

And Roosevelt, without the slightest provocation, knocked the sword from German hands and Unconditional Surrenderized Germany into rubble! Why was he so determined to destroy Germany? To quote Dr. Barnes again in his review of A.J.P. Taylor's *Origins of World War II*, where in effect Taylor absolved the Third Reich for responsibility for the outbreak of the war, Barnes wrote:

There is little evidence that he [Roosevelt] was deeply disturbed by Hitler's anti-Jewish policy; he was much more annoyed by the fact that Hitler's "New Deal" had succeeded in spectacular fashion while his own had failed to bring prosperity to the United States. (*Blasting the Historical Blackout*, p. 30)

NATIONAL SOCIALISM IS NOT LIKE, IT IS THE ANTITHESIS OF BOLSHEVISM

Even Conservatives often talk as if Bolshevism and National Socialism were essentially alike. Many clergymen are prone to this error. Liberals among themselves have a soft spot for Bolshevism and a frenzied hatred of National Socialism and Fascism. They do recognize these as antidotes to Bolshevism. But when they meet a conservative or a clergyman who fears Communism they quickly tar both with the same brush, as if to say "after

all Bolshevism is only a milder form of Fascism." But the criminal leftists who kidnapped Patricia Hearst did not call Mr. Hearst a Communist, they called him "The corporate chairman of a fascist media empire" (*Time*, February 25, 1974). Even many Germans, seeing how the West appeased and glorifies Communism, want to suggest that it is after all a step-brother of National Socialism. For example, the patriotic "Vereinigung Mitteleuropaeischer Foederalisten," in its *Manifesto*, April, 1969, says, "Future historians will find no essential difference between brown and red Jacobinism" (p. 5).

SUPERFICIAL RESEMBLANCES OF ALL GOVERNMENTS, OF ALL DICTATORSHIPS

The error of those who equate the two is to forget that all systems of governments in part resemble one another. In fact all governments have a chief executive, whether called dictator or not; they have a police force, more or less efficient or severe; they have tax collectors; they have prisons or concentration camps (even the Rooseveltians had the latter); they have a secret service, a spy system; and if state and church are both dedicated, there will be some tension between them. One could go on. Soviet Russia, the Third Reich, and the U.S. had many things in common. That did not make them similar!

Another error is judging a political system while it is at war, while, as in the case of the Third Reich, it was frantically struggling against Unconditional Surrender. For example, when the victors saw any concentration camps it was during the collapse of the Third Reich, when for at least three months it was in chaos, when bombing and strafing had destroyed its system of transportation. Even the civilian population and the army then had suffered from inadequate food. Naturally those in concentration camps during those weeks were not fed as well as the Nisei in the Rooseveltian concentration camps in the unendangered and unbombed West! Things were so chaotic in Germany by the time of surrender that even after Eisenhower had captured Dachau, he kept everybody locked in, 32,000; shortages were so bad that according to Nerin E. Gun (*Day of the Americans*) while Americans controlled Dachau, 300 internees starved to death each day, "even more than under the Germans." (p. 198).

ATROCITIES IN WAR NO GAUGE OF A POLITICAL SYSTEM

The tendency to condemn German National Socialism for all eternity because of alleged atrocities (not even true ones) committed in the extremities of war, of Unconditional Surrender, is perhaps the worst fallacy of the victors. It is in fact itself an atrocity! Did not Roosevelt put 120,000 totally innocent and undicted Nisei into concentration camps during the war? Does that make the New Deal forever a criminal form of government? When Eisenhower's troops took Dachau, they peremptorily, without warning or trial, murdered the 300 guards there, men whose duty had been

no different from that of our guards, including the chief, Milton Eisenhower, in our own concentration camps (See Gun, p. 66). Did this Rooseveltian atrocity invalidate our political system?

I cite Dachau here several times because that is the German concentration camp about which the most grotesque and exaggerated Allied atrocity lies were spread! And in 1949 I visited Dachau myself, and became aware of the frightful Jewish-Bolshevik manufacturing of atrocities (which had since crystallized into the unblushing, oft-repeated swindle of the Six Million Jews "gassed!"). Pastor Edward Winter, a German Lutheran chaplain, in December 1947 was assigned to Dachau. In his published experiences he writes:

... precisely in this camp (Dachau) I was again and again reminded of and shocked by the brutality of many "Christian" Americans. When, for example, the SS-Lazarett was incorporated from Munich to Dachau, which had really nothing to do with the Dachau concentration camp, these Americans shot to death the total medical personnel, over eighty persons. Among them were several nuns and a few amputees. They were shot in their beds without any judicial hearing. (*Deutsche Wochenzeitung*, October 6, 1973).

Paster Winter puts *Christian* Americans in quotation marks. That might mean that they were in fact not Christian but Talmudic! But for this the Pastor could have been jailed had he spelled this out! My first comment is, is it any wonder that the Rooseveltians and the Morgenthauists keep complementing the Bolsheviks in their day by day hammering away at alleged, *not proven*, German atrocities? It is not an obvious defamation scheme to cover up their own, quite provable, atrocities? Was that not the purpose of the Nuremberg Trials, as it also was the purpose of the "Sole Guilt" clause in the abominable Versailles Treaty? Is it any wonder that Jews try to cover up Deir Yassin, where they hacked to pieces 250 Palestinians and threw them in wells, in a literally far more bestial atrocity than anybody has even tried to accuse the Third Reich of?

The atrocities I cited here are of course merely a fraction of the totality of atrocities committed by the victors, especially, of course, by our lend-lease pals the Bolsheviks.

ATROCITIES OF THE VICTORS MORE NUMEROUS AND MORE BRUTAL THAN THOSE OF THE THIRD REICH

The victors have good reason to cover up their mass atrocities by *inventing* Nazi atrocities, like the most repeated and effective one, that of the 6,000,000 Jews "gassed." At least 500,000 of those "gassed" in German concentration camps are now in Israel drawing huge reparations from West Germany! God knows how many of those "gassed" are running around in New York and helped cause the Energy Crisis by blackmailing Nixon into rushing several billion dollars worth of the latest weapons to help Israel clobber the Arabs! And then, in their media (*New York Times*,

Washington Post, Newsweek, crying themselves hoarse because the Arabs did what we in June did with soybeans, curtailed their sale of oil!

ATROCITIES NOT PECULIAR TO NATIONAL SOCIALISM

Even if the wartime atrocities alleged against the Third Reich were factual (which they are not), they still would not be valid grounds for rejecting National Socialism. But in the light of the atrocities of the victors, all alleged and real Third Reich atrocities dwindle to fractions of the enormous, historically established mass atrocities of the victors.

Upon being made victorious by the U.S., the present French "democratic" government rounded up and murdered at least 120,000 patriotic Frenchmen. DeGaulle was president during this massacre, and Jews and Communists controlled the press. The victims of the government of Marshal Petain, when France surrendered, simply felt compelled to form a government acceptable to the Germans. This is exactly and precisely what the Germans on both sides of the Iron Curtain had to do and did, when the Anglo-Americans and Soviets won. Therefore the French execution of 120,000 ranks with one of the worst mass murders in history, worse than that of the French Revolution, worse than any Germans ever committed on themselves or anyone else!

Does this mass atrocity make the democratic French system of government forever abominable and interdicted?

THE WORST SPECIFICALLY ANGLO-AMERICAN ATROCITIES

It had been said that when the Americans and British finally invaded Germany and saw what their indiscriminate bombing had done to the beauty that had been Germany, the savage destruction of, not so much factories, but the workingmen's quarters (according to the Lindemann Plan), the rubble made of much of the world's finest architecture, the destruction of more churches, of world famous cathedrals and domes, than any vandals of history except the Bolsheviks had ever destroyed, and most of that after the war was really won, after the Germans had pathetically indicated they would surrender (if only Unconditional Surrender did not include the raping of their women, as Ilya Ehrenburg, the Jewish Soviet Propaganda Minister was ordering the troops to do), then the Anglo-American invaders, presumably, had enough decency to reflect in effect as follows:

My God, what unnecessary barbarism we have been guilty of here in Germany. How can we ever explain it even to our people, when they start coming over here and see it. After all the bulk of them are not Jews, whose Morgenthauism instigated all this, but Christians!

There is only one salvation for us. To manufacture and harp on a mountain of atrocities, especially against the Jews (who control the media). And to publicize this properly we must organize an overpowering spectacle of alleged atrocity trials, for which Nuremberg, the scene of the great Leni Riefenstahl

documentary of 1934 is just right. And we must operate on a few ironclad rules:

One, we make our laws as we go along; the words *ex post facto* will not be tolerated;

Two, this means we will absolutely prohibit the defense from using the argument that the victors had committed the same deeds;

Three, we will institute a system of blackmail against any German subordinate, threatening him with execution or delivery to the Bolsheviks if he does not testify so as to make his Chief a war criminal;

Four, we will on no account contradict any charges of our fellow prosecutors; if for example, in the unhappy Katyn matter, where the Soviets obviously murdered 15,000 Polish POW's, if they accuse the Nazis of this crime, we will act as if this were a true charge;

Fifth, in short, our only object is to hang as many Germans as we plausibly can, and to blow up real and phony Third Reich atrocities to such monstrous proportions that Allied crimes will not be adverted to, and the dust of deception be thrown forever into the populations of the world, including most importantly, the Germans themselves. The latter must be deluged with a guilt complex which will induce them to pay reparations to Jews and Israel for the next 100 years!

When the victors, including Israel, if they do not "delete any tapes," will finally open their archives to historians, the way the German archives were thrown open, what I put in stark terms above will be confirmed. What I asserted above is what actually was done by and with the Nuremberg Trials; and I insist, injustices like that do not just happen, they are planned that way!

Harry Elmer Barnes has termed the strategy of the victors to justify their crimes by "incessantly portraying the allegedly unique abominations of the Germans . . . the new smotherout vintage" ("Public Stake In Revisionism," *Rampart Journal*, Summer, 1967, p. 32). In the smotherout technique, the victors try to justify their own crimes by accusing the Germans of being guilty of such "brutal outrages" as proved them "such degenerate gangsters" that the Allies "were justified in resorting to any degree of plotting and duplicity . . ." (*Blasting the Historical Blackout*, p. 32).

IMPORTANT TO INSIST THAT ALLIED CRIMES WERE TEN TIMES WORSE THAN THOSE OF THE THIRD REICH

When I call the crimes of the victors ten times more numerous and more vicious than those of the Third Reich, I mean this *literally* — and would be willing to stake my life on the truth of it. Dr. Barnes enumerates the worst Allied crimes, and adds that it will ill become the victors to harp on alleged German ones:

Only those committed by the Germans have been subjected to searching investigation and given world-wide publicity . . . Even assuming that all the charges ever made against the Nazis by anybody of reasonable sanity and

responsibility are true, the Allies do not come off much, if any better.
(*Blasting the Historical Blackout*, p. 32)

In fact they come off shockingly worse! Nobody had ever even accused the Nazis of sniping civilians down in the fields and streets from the air! In his article on "Apocalypse at Dresden" (R.H.S. Crossman, *Esquire*, November 1963), writes that after the phosphate and fire bombing of undefended Dresden:

... scores of Mustang fighters diving low over the bodies huddled on the banks ... in order to shoot them up ... Other Mustangs chose as their targets the serried crowd that blacked every road of Dresden ... they have become the symbol of Yankee sadism and brutality."

Above I mentioned how German guards were murdered at Dachau. I do not here enlarge on what even Col. Lindbergh lamented, how Eisenhower's "crusaders" liberated (stole) the rings, wrist watches, cameras, radios from the already impoverished German population. And valuable art works from homes and museums; and even Hitler's two autos! But if Rommel's men had done it in France, we would hear about it every day. I personally am only too glad that our "crusaders" did not brutally rape a million German girls as our Lend-Lease pals did; I am glad they only starved them first, (until 1947, while the Morgenthau Plan was in force), and then seduced them with a candy bar!

You may recall the hue and cry that was made when some phony Allied atrocity monger alleged that Ilse Koch had made lampshades out of Jewish skins. The charge was a shameful lie! But not a lie is the charge (which Lindbergh in his *Journal* attests to) that our G.I.'s carved letter openers out of the bones of fallen Japanese! Is the United States or the New Deal branded as a criminal regime for that? If Ilse Koch had indeed made such a lampshade, would that in any way be more of an "atrocity" than carving letter openers out of "Jap" skeletons? America has a long way to go if it wants be even-handed in its judgements regarding World War II!

WORSE AND MORE IRRESPONSIBLE CRIMES OF THE VICTORS

A far more irresponsible Anglo-American crime was, after German surrender, when military necessity could not be alibied, the dismantling of what German factories remained — and shipping them to Russia. This implemented the blueprint of the Morgenthau Plan (JCS 1067, JSC 1779), whose real object was the shrinking, the division of Germany, and the depriving the people of machinery, so that they would starve to death — yet could not be said to have been directly massacred!

One can hardly imagine a barbarism more calloused, in the face of the rubble of ruins in Germany, than to dismantle, to destroy, what few remaining German factories were left — and give them to the savages that raped a million German women. I don't see how the All-just God will ever forgive the dismantling. First, to say that the Marshall Plan made up for it

is false. If there had been no dismantling the Germans would not have needed the Marshall Plan. Secondly, for three to five years the Germans were not only humiliated and robbed by the dismantling, they also suffered the kind of mass starvation on account of it, which drove German girls into seduction and prostitution to keep themselves and families alive. Dismantling was a major war crime, one the Germans in the territories they conquered, like France, did not commit!

THE NASTIEST ANGLO-AMERICAN MASS ATROCITY

But the nastiest, the most heartless, the most inhumane Anglo-American mass atrocity has been labeled by our own State Department as "Operation Keelhaul." Because of the conviction that the Third Reich, that National Socialism was a humane and liberating force, millions of Russians, Ukrainians, Cossacks, and Balts surrendered to the Germans, and over a million asked to fight in German uniforms for the liberation of their countries from Bolshevism. The Germanophobes hate to admit that the German Wehrmacht really had more of a United Europe in its ranks than any army before. But for Roosevelt and Morgenthau, a basically free, united Europe would have been created. Now half of Europe is behind the Iron Curtain, surrounded by barbed wire — a mass concentration camp!

Anyhow, Stalin was convulsed with fury at those who preferred Nazi Germany to Bolshevik Soviet Russia. And the Anglo-Americans were unhappy about it, too. Their strategy was to induce with promises of security these Soviet Union people in German uniforms or arms factories to let themselves be captured by the "holy and crusading" Western Allies.

THE TREACHERY OF THE "LEAFLET OPERATION"

Under the Psychological Warfare Division-labeled "Leaflet Operation," the Rooseveltians dropped six *billion* leaflets over German lines, urging the Soviet Russians in German uniforms to surrender to the West, solemnly promising them good treatment, and denouncing "as lies the Nazi propaganda that Soviet nationals fighting or not fighting with the Germans would ultimately be forcibly repatriated to the Soviets if they ever surrendered or deserted to the Americans" (Julius Epstein, *Operation Keelhaul*, 1973, p. 28).

To the everlasting Anglo-American shame, the so-called lying Nazi propaganda was proven right, and the legend on the six *billion* leaflets a lie and a treachery! When General Vlasov with his army of some 850,000 Russians in German uniforms and other Russians had fought his way out of Czechoslovakia westward and on May 7, 1945, tried to surrender to the Americans, trusting the leaflets, they were disarmed, and forcibly delivered to Stalin, who on August 2, 1946, hanged all the generals and sent the others to Siberia to slave labor camps, from which few returned alive.

Julius Epstein declares:

There is no need to demonstrate that the forced repatriation of non-Vlasov men in German POW camps, taken over by the Americans and the British, was illegal and utterly inhuman, contrary to every rule of war. As for the forcible repatriation of Vlasov and his men, it must be considered illegal . . . (p. 27)

This illegal inhumanity, this brutal atrocity, was committed, not by the National Socialists, but by the arch-democrats, the self-appointed "re-educators" of the Germans. As Solzhenitsyn courageously said, it was an Allied, not a Nazi atrocity! The Anglo-Americans, by clubbing, shooting, even drugging forcibly repatriated millions of people to their death or slavery in Soviet Russia whom they had solemnly pledged to grant asylum!

BRITISH AND AMERICANS CLUBBED THE COSSACKS BACK TO SIBERIA

The Western Allies also even more treacherously and brutally denied asylum to the Cossack contingents in the German Army, General von Pannwitz's division. The trusting Cossacks were so stunned by the treachery that they went on a hunger strike, "We prefer to starve rather than return to the Soviet Union" (Epstein, p. 79). They refused to board the trucks for their repatriation:

British soldiers with pistols and clubs began using their clubs, aiming at the heads of the prisoners. They first dragged the men out of the crowd and threw them into the trucks. The men jumped out. They beat them again and threw them onto the floor of the trucks. Again, they jumped out. The British then hit them with rifle butts until they lay unconscious and threw them like sacks of potatoes in the trucks. (See Epstein, *op. cit.*, p. 78)

The Eisenhower "Crusaders" treated the Cossacks no less brutally. And when the Soviets received them they murdered the leaders and enslaved the rest. But the Rooseveltians were not content only to club the European Soviet Russians back to the barbarians of Moscow, they also clubbed, abused, and drugged the hundreds of POW's, Soviet Russians, captured in German uniforms, out of American POW camps, e.g., Ft. Dix, New Jersey; Rupert, Iowa; Winchester, Virginia; Doleville, Alabama; and Toronto, Canada. When the Eisenhower "Crusaders" tried to deport one group of 200 to Soviet Russia, they:

. . . were ready to fight for their lives. First, they refused to leave their barracks when ordered to do so. The military police then used tear gas, and, half-dazed . . . [they] were forced to board a Soviet vessel. (See Epstein, p. 103)

In desperation the 200 started to destroy the ship's engine. They were taken from that ship, and resisted boarding another. Then a sergeant:

. . . hit upon the idea of doping the prisoners. Consequently he mixed barbiturates into their coffee. Soon all the prisoners fell into a deep, coma-like sleep. [Thus] the prisoners were brought to another Soviet boat for a speedy return to Stalin's Hangmen. (Epstein, p. 104)

The Third Reich was never guilty of such broken pledges and treachery. Do those guilty of this despicable "Operation Keelhaul" really have the right to denounce German National Socialism as a monster of evil among the world's governments? The Morgenthau Rooseveltians, who threw all the German archives open to a thousand sniffers trying to find some Hitlerian, National Socialistic order for the "gassing" of Jews — utterly in vain, of course — have still classified the "Operation Keelhaul" as top secret, after nearly 30 years, to prevent scholars from seeing and revealing its moral turpitude!

BUT THE CHIEF WESTERN CRIME WAS ABETTING THE SOVIET MONSTER ATROCITIES

While the Morgenthau Plan makes America and Britain the top *theoretical* war criminals of all recorded history — there is no more genocidal plan in existence — practically in actual commission, no Western crimes, whether Anglo-American or German, can be compared to the utter bestiality and barbarism of the mass atrocities committed by or under Communistic direction (the shock and protest of us Christian Americans prevented the final and full implementation of the Morgenthau Plan).

Even to mention Anglo-American or Nazi German crimes in the same sentence with those of the Soviets is a vile slander. It is like equating the Thief on the Cross with Moloch!

SOVIET RUSSIANS MASS RAPISTS OF THE WORLD

The nastiest atrocity in war is rape. It is also the most authentic. With other alleged atrocities, one has a right to ask why they were committed. This question should especially be asked in the case of alleged crimes against Jews. Perhaps there was a military necessity! But there is never a military necessity for rape. It is barbarism and savagery undisputed. This is an atrocity the German Wehrmacht did not commit, nor the SS. In fact, no large army in the world has been more decent in that respect than the German Army. In contrast the Soviet Russians have been the foulest mass rapists in European history. And it was an official, non-repressed atrocity.

The Jewish Propaganda Minister Ilya Ehrenburg kept broadcasting to the troops as they approached Germany:

Kill. In Germany, nothing is guiltless. Neither the living nor the yet unborn . . . Crush forever in its den the fascist beast. Violently break the racial pride of the German woman. Ravish them as booty. Kill, you gallant Red soldiers!

It took a vindictive Jewish Communist to give the most beastly directive in history: "Rape the German women as booty!" It matches the most genocidal book in history, Theodore N. Kaufman's *Germany Must Perish* — castrate all Germans; and the most genocidal official peace plot in history, also by a Jew, the Morgenthau Plan! It is no wonder that Jews and Israelis try to distract from their genocidal plots by manufacturing the swindle of the Six Million!

In line with the Morgenthau spirit and Ilya Ehrenburg's directive, when the Red soldiery captured the first German village, in East Prussia, Nemmersdorf, they murdered every last German villager. And they raped to death every German woman and girl from eight to eighty. And that in the most bestial manner recorded in history! They stripped several of them, crucified them to barn doors, and then raped them to death! (See *Red Genocide in German Village*, p. 6, Boniface Press).

BESTIAL RAPE FROM BERLIN TO VIENNA AND BUDAPEST

Leaders of civilized countries fear the propensity of their soldiers to theft, murder, and particularly rape; and try to prevent these. Soviet Russia, under its Jewish Propaganda Minister Ilya Ehrenburg is both the first professed atheistic country in the world — and probably also the first that virtually commanded its army to rape! The mass rape stretches from land to city, from Koenigsberg and Breslau, to Berlin and Vienna.

Time Magazine (April 1, 1966), reviewing Cornelius Ryan's *The Last Battle*, said how among the 2,000,000 women left in Berlin, when the Red Army approached "the fear of sexual attack raced through the city like a plague." When the Reds had taken the city:

Rape, plunder and suicide became commonplace . . . all told, the number of rape victims in Berlin — ranging from women of 70 to little girls of ten — will never be known, although Ryan reports estimates from doctors that run from 20,000 to 100,000.

Having been raped is one thing a woman does not like to talk about — therefore the figure of 100,000 should certainly be doubled or tripled. Some women were raped from forty to seventy times — many until they were dead.

When the Red savages took Vienna, a harmless city of song and waltz, according to Cardinal Griffin, 100,000 women were raped many times. John Dos Passos, as a correspondent for *Life Magazine* (January 7, 1946), reported:

The Viennese tell you of the savagery of the Russian armies . . . Even in the working-class districts the troops were allowed to rape and murder and loot at will.

Cardinal Mindszenty (*Tablet*, March 2, 1946) reported that in Budapest:

Bishop William Apor of Gyoer, and 53 priests were killed by the Russians when he tried to protect a group of women and children who had taken refuge in his palace.

Here alone the Reds killed some ten times more priests than the Nazis executed for what they believed to be treason! (See *Ravishing the Women of Conquered Europe*, Boniface Press).

Will any honest man claim that in the face of this Red bestiality the U.S. should allow and encourage Communism in half of Germany and a Communist Party in West Germany, but should forever under pain of death

and destruction forbid the Germans ever to allow a National Socialist party, or a "neo-Nazi" one! At the Potsdam meeting, only a manure pile of the most shameful hypocrites the world had every seen could have favored Communism over National Socialism!

THE CRIME OF SLAVE-LABORING GERMAN POW'S

The frantic concern of Americans for the speedy return of American POW's from the North Vietnamese, and that of the Israelis for the return of their POW's in Syria, shows that a top mark of civilized warfare is the speedy return of prisoners of war as soon as an armistice is signed. Did the holy crusading victors, the self-appointed "re-educators" of the Christian German people, speedily return the millions of German prisoners of war? No, they did not! They made sure to get their own men home, even in "Operation Keelhaul" repatriating by force those who did not want to return. But with regard to German prisoners the "re-educators" of Germany retrogressed into the barbarism of 500 years ago. In recent centuries only the crusading Unconditional Surrenderists have been degenerate enough to do it. This happened when our government, according to Senator James O. Eastland, was "under the control of Mr. Baruch and Mr. Sidney Hillman and Mr. Morgenthau" (March 26, 1946). In September, 1944, Morgenthau and Roosevelt, at the Quebec Conference, bribed Churchill to insist on "forced labor outside Germany," for German POW's.

This from genocidists and hypocrites who thought it noble to acquiesce in Communism but crucify everything National Socialistic! At Yalta Stalin Promptly demanded "10,000,000 such slaves to work in Russia after the war for an indefinite period" (*Peace Action*, May, 1945).

ALLIES HAVE NO ALIBI FOR ABUSING GERMAN POW'S

This Morgenthau-inspired Allied paganism of employing German POW's as "forced labor outside Germany" resulted in the starvation and abuse-unto-death of possibly several million German POW's. Britain, more so France, less so but also the U.S., got into the act of slave-laboring German prisoners of war instead of living up to the Geneva and Hague rules of land warfare. But of course, Christians at their worst are not as barbarous as Communists and Jews at their average. The real savage and abuse-unto-death of German prisoners of war occurred in Soviet Russia as prescribed by the Jewish U.S. Morgenthau Plan.

Nor can the Allies use as an alibi that Nazi Germany treated its POW's badly or in violation of the Geneva Conventions of 1929 and 1932. On March 17, 1945, our War Department declared, "For the most part, the Germans have abided by the Geneva Convention regarding the prisoners of war." George C. Marshall, when an American Legion Commander demanded brutal treatment of German POW's, on January 5, 1945,

answered that we are bound by the Geneva Conventions "and our soldiers in German hands receive generally reciprocal treatment" (*National Legionnaire*, February 1945).

AFTER UNCONDITIONAL SURRENDER, ALLIES ABUSED GERMAN POW'S

As soon as the "wicked" Nazis had freed Allied POW's, the Allies, all of them, started abusing, starving, over-working their prisoners of war. The U.S. kept only 300,000 and, to its everlasting shame, delivered 100,000 a month to the French for abuse. They had also refused to accept the surrender of millions of German soldiers, in order to betray them, instead, to Soviet Russian slave labor!

On June 1, 1946, Pope Pius XII for the second time deplored "the hundreds of thousands of men still held prisoners." Their rights "cry to heaven . . . and demand that an end be put to this regime of prisoners of war and concentration camps." Christians in this country agreed — and protested. The *Chicago Tribune*, in an editorial, "Uncle Sam: Slave Dealer" (February 20, 1946), wrote:

The United States, by turning over the prisoners [to France and Britain] becomes a common slave dealer . . . There has never been in the history of Christian civilization a parallel to the actions against its conquered enemies of which this nation has been guilty.

WHAT RIGHT HAVE THESE ALLIED SLAVERS TO CONDEMN NATIONAL SOCIALISM

I ask, do the slave-laboring, POW-trading Allied barbarians of 1945 have a moral right to judge German National Socialism, to ban and forbid it in every form for all eternity? I say they do not have that right. They have more of a duty to hang themselves as war criminals!

Our army, its leaders generally having been civilized, on V-E Day "informed its German prisoners that the Geneva Convention called for their repatriation as soon as feasible after the end of hostilities" (*Newsweek*, May 21, 1945). That is what our army and our Christian citizens wanted and would have done. What prevented this humanity was the tribalists that keep screeching the lie that the Germans "gassed" 6,000,000 of them. It was the Jews who kept screaming for abusing German prisoners of war, for keeping them from home, for slave-laboring them. Walter Winchell, the most influential of all the Jewish genocidists at the time, lumping all German POW's together as Nazis, screeched:

Sending back healthy Nazis to live in Germany is an insult to living and dead American soldiers. Those Nazi prisoners deserve to be put behind bars for the rest of their ignoble lives — like all vicious and hardened criminals. (*San Antonio Light*, May 30, 1945)

THE VOICE AND POWER OF THE TALMUDISTS

There is the voice of the Talmudists, the barbarians of the Morgenthau Plan! These were the voices that made America guilty of vindictive peace making, in comparison to which all the peace-making, in comparison to which all the peace-making of the National Socialists was reasonable and humane. After this Jewish blast from Winchell, the Army quailed and started to abuse its 300,000 German POW's and to slave-labor them. Only after September 6, 1946, did Secretary of State James Byrnes, a Christian, not a Morgenthauist, declare that the U.S. is finally "taking prompt steps to return German prisoners of war in our custody . . ."

But our slave-laboring for a year and a half signalled a green light to the top barbarians of our time, the Soviet Russians to labor to death millions. One year after Unconditional Surrender, a Berlin AP Dispatch, May 18, 1946, reported that 1,500,000 German POW's were still missing in Soviet Russia! Whereas 99% of American POW's in Germany were returned safe and sound in a matter of weeks, that is what Morgenthauism, as Winchell wanted it, encouraged Moscow to do!

THE BIG THREE AT POTSDAM DECREE EXPULSION!

Aloisius Cardinal Muench, then Bishop of Fargo, North Dakota, wrote, "The forced migration of millions of people is the greatest crime of this age. There is nothing in all history to equal it." Even Churchill, fellow conspirator of it, at last called it a "tragedy on a prodigious scale." That was the total robbery and the bludgeoning to death of 20% and expulsion from lands they and their fathers had cultivated for seven to eight centuries! It was the greatest mass atrocity in history — and it was precipitated by Truman, Attlee, and Stalin at the Potsdam Conference! Truman and Attlee, however, merely confirmed what Roosevelt, Churchill and Stalin had conspired at Yalta!

Ironically, at the very time the Nuremberg legal lynchers were prosecuting Germans who allegedly, "forcibly deported inhabitants who were predominantly non-German and introduced thousands of German colonists," the Big Three at Potsdam ordered:

That the transfer to Germany of German populations or elements thereof, remaining in Poland, Czechoslovakia, and Hungary, will have to be undertaken.

This enforced, and shockingly brutal "transfer" involved *millions* of innocent Germans (against whom no charges were ever levelled), in comparison to a relatively orderly transfer by Nazis of, not *millions*, but by the Allies own admission, of only *thousands*. Such a transfer, really an *exchange* of *thousands*, has long had international sanction. It especially happened a lot in the Balkans after World War I. It involved a transfer, and exchange, of habitat and of property, not a total robbery!

But the Potsdam order opened the sluiceways to the most massive, totally

unprincipled robbery, expulsion and murder in the pages of history. It precipitated the robbery and expulsion of 15,000,000, not *thousands*, and the bludgeoning, raping, and freezing to death of 2,500,000 men, women, and children from East Germany and Czechoslovakia, plus a half million from the Balkans, chiefly from Tito's Yugoslavia!

WHO MADE THE ATROCITY OF MASS EXPULSION ACCEPTED PRACTICE?

After World War I, such mass expulsions were not even thought of. When the Versailles peace dictators handed the Germans of Danzig and the Corridor to Poland they did not even dream of expelling these ancient German natives; nor when they handed a quarter of a million South Tyrolese Germans over to Italy, nor three and a half million Sudeten Germans to Czechoslovakia, they did not for a moment think of instituting a mass expulsion atrocity which even surpassed the worst committed by pagans.

Who put this atrocity principle into their heads and made it acceptable? Why the same Talmudists who try to cover up their atrocity tracks by yammering that the Nazis "gassed" 6,000,000 Jews! Their conspirational blueprints for atrocity against the Germans, affecting mostly not the Nazis but the Christian Germans, are so frightful, so brutal, so genocidal to millions and millions of innocents that they have to try with lies and deceptions, and half-truths and swindles, as that of the 6,000,000, to cover their tracks. They were at the bottom of every mass atrocity that made the peace of Yalta and Potsdam, as *Time Magazine* called it, "history's most terrifying peace"! It is the peace which in the Atlantic Charter, in 1941, had been prophesied as the most inhumane!

MASS EXPULSIONS A MORGENTHAU POLICY

The Potsdam official order for the mass atrocity of total robbery and expulsion got its birth and its sanction in the Morgenthau Plan. In this Morgenthau, Baruch, and Communist Harry Dexter White demanded that the millions of peaceful inhabitants of the Ruhr, Germany's most industrialized area, be totally robbed, their mines and factories destroyed, and then clubbed into Southern Germany, there, by implication, to starve to death. At Quebec, Morgenthau got Roosevelt to make this plan official, and both bribed Churchill, who at first resisted, into adopting it. In England Morgenthau got Eisenhower to approve it, salving his conscience by passing all Germans off as "paranoiacs"! According to Robert Welch (*The Politician*, p. 26) it was "just one man, James Forrestal (whom the Communists later, either directly or indirectly murdered)," who "prevented Eisenhower and his Communist pushers" from destroying Germany forever with the Morgenthau Plan.

POTSDAM CONFERENCE OPENED GATES TO GENOCIDIC EXPULSIONISTS

The Potsdam Conference elevated brutal expulsions to acceptable policy. The Bulgarians, Rumanians, Hungarians all jumped on the bandwagon to totally rob, drive out, their German minorities, some of them like the Siebenbuerger Saxons there since Columbus discovered America, the majority at least as long as American Independence. The most bestial was Tito of Yugoslavia, who had hundreds of thousands bludgeoned to death during the genocide. Perhaps that is why in Jewish and liberal circles Tito is ever glorified! Compared to his bestialities anything that can be alleged against Hitler is boy scout stuff!

More massive and almost as bestial was what Benes, another leftist idol, and Masaryk did to the 3,500,000 Sudeten Germans, whose ancient lands had in the Munich Pact, properly, if belatedly, been incorporated into Germany. They therefore were German citizens. But Benes and his Reds not only totally robbed all of them, drove them into Bavaria and Austria with only a suitcase of belongings, but murdered most bestially 250,000 of them. They drove women into the river to drown, ripped babies apart and hurled the parts at them, shot down men or clubbed them to death. What the Czechs did to the Sudeten Germans is alone far worse in numbers and brutality than anything the Nazis are accused of having done to Jews!

ODER-NEISSE EXPULSION WORST MASS ATROCITY IN HISTORY

As soon as the Potsdam Pact gave them the green light the Poles drove out the several million Germans in the areas given them after World War I, mostly in violation of Wilson's principle of self-determination. They totally robbed and murdered thousands of them.

But once feeling that the Morgenthau Plan and Potsdam Pact had elevated genocidal expulsions to respectability they and the Soviet Russians also proceeded to expel the 9,000,000 Germans, who, since time immemorial had developed East Prussia, Pomerania, West Prussia, and Silesia. These lands were German, they were only put under Russian and Polish administration; they were so indisputably totally German that even the hypocrites who committed the Versailles Treaty did not question their total Germanity! Nor did the expulsionists make a contrary claim, nor accuse them of any wrongdoing. They expelled them merely on racist grounds, for their ethnic Germanism!

All the wrongs done to American Negroes in 300 years, or to Jews in 1000 years of German history do not equal the genocide, the barbarity, the brutality, the inhumanity of these Oder-Neisse expulsions. The genocidists plausibly believed their genocide sanctioned by the American Morgenthau Plan and Truman's signature on the Potsdam Pact!

DRIVEN INHABITANTS ON SHORT NOTICE TOTALLY ROBBED, 20% KILLED IN THE PROCESS

The inhabitants of the Oder-Neisse territory were on short notice ordered to the market place, told to leave doors open and cows and horses unattended, and take along only a small suitcase of clothes. At the market place they were ordered to trek on foot towards Western Germany. In often the bitter cold of winter, scantily dressed, they trekked on foot. And on the way Poles and Russians would fall upon them, take from their suitcases what suited them, often ripping clothes from their bodies. Often the genocidal beasts would rape women and children and in one way or another murder 20% on the way, a proven 2,100,000 of them. This horrendous number of murdered victims does not include those maimed and disabled for life. The Oder-Neisse expulsion of nine million Germans from their anciently settled homes is the most numerous crime of racism, of genocide, in all recorded history. It surpasses ten-fold whatever wrongs Jews may have suffered from the Nazis. And most Jews who suffered were in some way guilty — as partisans, or spies, or saboteurs — whereas the German expellees were not charged with any wrongdoing.

Jews who keep caterwauling about the wrongs the Nazis did to them should reflect that this most massive genocidal atrocity in history against the East Germans must in all honesty be held to have been instigated by the Germanophobic Jewish publicists and politicians, like Ilya Ehrenburg, Henry Morgenthau, Harry Dexter White, Bernard Baruch (who vehemently supported the Morgenthau Plan), and Theodore N. Kaufman, to name the worst ones. The Jewish media, the *New York Times*, the *Washington Post* and the rest, supported the Germanophobic genocide. These papers, unbelievably, even were sympathetic to Theodore N. Kaufman's book demanding the sterilization of German males, so as to exterminate the German race in two generations!

THE POTSDAM PACT PROHIBITS A GERMAN ARMY AND NATIONAL SOCIALISM

The Potsdam Pact, whose signers on the basis of their expulsion order alone, ought to have been hanged as war criminals, forbade German militarism and German National Socialism with brimstone and hellfire. And they threatened prison for every member of the National Socialist Party. Justice Robert H. Jackson, chief U.S. prosecutor at Nuremberg, even wanted 7,000,000 German soldiers tried and presumably hanged for membership!

On July 17, 1945, Truman, Churchill, Attlee, and Stalin met near Potsdam to dictate the fate of Germany. Because they had forced the German people to surrender unconditionally, they acted as if the Germans had no rights, apparently not even the right to object to the rape of their women. The four did not even give lip service to Wilson's open covenants

openly arrived at.” Such high flown idealism had served Allied statements only to trick and swindle the American people into both the first and second “crusades” against the German people. After the trick had succeeded, they changed their policy to killing as many Germans before an armistice, including sniping women and children down from the air, as they could. After armistice their policy was to starve, drive from their homes, seduce or rape as many as possible, and hang as war criminals as many leaders as the Christian world would tolerate!

THE VANQUISHED HAVE NO RIGHTS, NOT EVEN TO AN ARMY, OR THE PARTY THEY WISH

So at Potsdam the great “democratic” dictators of the peace proceeded on the principle that the vanquished have no rights and that the victors are morally commissioned by their genius, not by God — for the Stalinists were virulent atheists — to tell the Germans how forever they must be governed and what form of government they may have, as if they were little children! The government they *forced* on the German people, *democratically*, must forever suffer “complete disarmament and demilitarization” and never have any “industry that could be used for military purposes” (the Morgenthau Plan!) and must concentrate on “the development of agriculture and peaceful domestic industries” (also the Morgenthau Plan) — in short they prescribed knitting and potato growing for a country since 1945 half the size of Texas with six times as many people! In short, it was the Morgenthau Plan couched in hypocrisy, to exterminate the German people by starvation!

HYPOCRITICAL MOUTHING OF IDEALS

Ad nauseam are repeated such phrases as “equal rights for all citizens without distinction of race, nationality or religion.” And this properly translated means, “Don’t ever criticize Jews and be sure to give them every possible advantage!” But forbidden to all the German race were “all types of aircraft and sea-going ships” and the “production of metals, chemicals, machinery.” Here again is the Morgenthau Plan showing through — proposing to starve the German race out on a cow pasture!

But where that parcel of peace-dictating war criminals — New Dealers, monarchists, and rank Bolshevistic atheists — displayed their *self-righteous idealism* was in their condemnation of National Socialism and their prohibiting it to the German people in all eternity on pain of even a more genocidal treatment than they were already meting out to the German race.

THE HYPOCRITES TAKE AIM AT NATIONAL SOCIALISM

The holy crusading peace dictators at Potsdam state their purpose in occupying Germany as follows:

To destroy the National Socialist party . . . to dissolve all Nazi institutions, to ensure that they are not revived in any form, and to prevent all Nazi and militarist activity or propaganda.

They mean to control German education so as "completely to eliminate Nazi and militarist doctrines." And all "Nazi leaders, influential Nazi supporters . . . shall be arrested and interned." Apparently for such National Socialists "equal rights without distinction of race, nationality or religion" were not to be granted: they were to be arrested for no other reason than for holding a political philosophy, one intrinsically anti-Communist.

At the same time "all Nazi laws which . . . established discrimination on grounds of race, creed or political opinion shall be abolished." Yet, these hypocrites, while pretending to protect "political opinion," want to arrest anyone who holds the political opinion of "National Socialism"!

THE SKUNK IN THE WOODPILE APPEARS IN THE WORDS ON GERMAN "MILITARISM"

But what has made the development of a truly self-reliant, independent Germany virtually impossible is the prohibition "completely to eliminate Nazi and militaristic doctrines" and "to ensure they are not revived in any form." This means that the victors could at any time label anything truly patriotic and self-reliantly German as "neo-Nazi." It should also be clear that if the Western Allied arrogated unto themselves the power to enforce their sort of "democracy" on the helpless West Germans, the Soviet Russians would take that as a green light to subject the Soviet zone Germans to their own abominable form of Bolshevism!

America's business was to insist that the Germans had the right and duty to assume whatever form of government they wished, including, absolutely, also National Socialism or what is called fascism! It should also be obvious that as long as America can tell Germany what type of government it may have and what sort of political parties it may have, so long is West Germany its satellite, just as the miscalled German Democratic Republic is Soviet Russia's satellite!

NEITHER GERMANY NOR THE THIRD REICH UNIQUELY MILITARISTIC

The whole clamor against German militarism only masks the Allied determination to keep Germany weak. And it has nothing to do with National Socialism. After WWI, the Allies also prohibited a German navy and army (except for a token force of 100,000). National Socialism had not been born then. After WWII, the Rooseveltians also prohibited an army and a navy to Japan (not because Japan was National Socialistic, but because it, too, was strong).

To deny an army and a navy and an air force to Germany (or Japan) is first of all a monstrous crime. Secondly, it is an even greater stupidity. On

September 26, 1973, Congressman Daniel J. Flood of Pennsylvania said in Congress:

The historic functions of Germany and Japan have been to serve as dikes against Russian expansion in Europe and in the Far East, respectively. WWII destroyed those two great bastions against Soviet expansion in what eventually became one of the most extensive series of territorial conquests that the world has ever known. It was aimed at setting up a global system of socialistic republics.

In Europe, the donation of 10 formerly independent nations, through secret agreements by pro-Red forces in Washington, assured Soviet domination in East Europe . . .

Congressman Flood puts his finger on the right spot when he blames this stupidity on the "pro-Red forces in Washington"!

SELF-DEFEATING STUPIDITY

One proof of a stupidity (and of a crime) is that it proves to be unenforceable or impractical. Hardly had the Rooseveltians forced permanent total disarmament on Germany, when the Communists started re-arming their Soviet Zone and instigated the Korean War! And the U.S. which in 1945 had threatened to execute any German with a gun, by 1949 practically threatened West Germans with concentration camps *unless* they would agree to re-arm! Not of course, to re-arm effectively, so as really to defend themselves and liberate their enslaved lands, no, only sufficiently to become infantry for the U.S. and NATO, in short, only sufficient to be eligible as future war criminals! Modern weapons, which must include nuclear weapons, they are still denied. That is why, and it is the only reason, why West Europe cannot defend itself and needs a contingent of American troops stationed there!

The long and short of it is that a Germany that may not have a modern army, navy, and air force is an invitation to Soviet Russian domination. And it also was so before Hitler re-armed Germany.

THE TRUTH ABOUT GERMAN OR NATIONAL SOCIALIST MILITARISM

Neither Imperial Germany nor National Socialist Germany was uniquely militaristic; neither had as large a peace-time army as its nearest neighbors, France and Russia. What the Allies like to mistake for militarism is the bravery and patriotism of the German Army, which in a war fights more efficiently than its opponents. When 5,000 Israelis defeat 25,000 Arabs, the media do not attribute it the Israeli *militarism*, but instead moon about the wonderful heroism and efficiency of the Israeli soldiers. But when Germany with 4,000,000 men throws back 15,000,000 French and Russian and British, it is due to robot *militarism*!

It is forgotten that peace-time U.S., before WWI, had no conscription, and a very small army, but once in war and mobilized only the German

army could match it as a fighting force. Did that make America a militaristic robot? Obviously not. It merely proved that a highly civilized nation with sufficient patriotism can in a few months convert into a powerful military machine. So it was with Hitler's Third Reich. Who can build the best cars and the best expressways can also build the best tanks and shoot the straightest! And to deny a highly civilized country a modern army, navy, and air force is to court and deserve a world war!

NATIONAL SOCIALISM, THE THIRD REICH, WAS NOT AS MILITARISTIC AS MOST OF ITS NEIGHBORS

For the peace dictators to try to equate National Socialism with militarism reveals their dishonesty and their hatred of a strong Germany. But it is no more right to prevent Germany from being as strong as its intrinsic virtues make it than it would be to clobber America because it claims to be the strongest nation on earth. To check German strength was the basic and criminal cause of both world wars.

When Hitler in 1939 decided to liberate the Danzigers by force — after a wait of 20 years for allied justice — he had an army much smaller than that of France, and less than half of that of Soviet Russia. And Britain and America had each three times as powerful a navy and as much of an air force.

Even during the first three years of the war Hitler did not mobilize Germany as fully as France, Russia, Britain, and America mobilized. Albert Speer (*Inside The Third Reich*, Macmillan, 1970, pp. 220-21) complains that even as of April 1943, Hitler did not permit the drafting of women for industry. More of them were employed in 1918 than in 1942!³

It seems literally true that Hitler was a man of architecture and art, not of armaments and war. He was not eager to mobilize, but reluctant.

WHO BEARS MOST GUILT FOR WORLD WAR II?

The victors, in desperation to find actual German atrocities equal to those of the victors themselves take refuge in the accusation, more easily made plausible without proof, that Hitler and National Socialism are "solely guilty" of WWII. At Versailles, without a shred of proof, they accused Imperial Germany of the same thing. The scoundrels and hypocrites thus

3. According to *Deutsche Wochen Zeitung* (February 8, 1974), President Nixon in a conference with his closest advisers, indicated he had read Speer's memoirs and called attention to Speer's technique of mobilization. Columnists Evans and Novak got wind of this and professed shock! Translating the German version back to English, they wrote, "According to the unwritten law of American politics it has always been a sacrilege, to praise Hitler-Germany on the public stage in any manner whatsoever." What a devastating comment on American fairness, on our freedom of the press, on our decency to a defeated enemy! It also should prove that this booklet, *A Straight Look at the Third Reich*, is long overdue!

try to justify their own very provable guilt and mass atrocities!

To blame the Third Reich they absolutely reject causes, and want to concentrate only on who fired the first shot. When the Israelis fired the first shot in 1967, the journalistic lepers quickly exonerate them by alleging Arab threats. But the fact that after 20 years, the Poles, with the connivance of Britain and America, refused to give self-determination to the Danzigers, they refuse to recognize as justifying Germany finally to walk in and free them by force. It was a justifiable "police action," not a world war! To blame the war on the first shot is like blaming the police for firing first when they surprise a gang robbing a bank!

Once and for all, Hitler was morally not only justified in finally resorting to force to liberate Danzig, but had the duty to do so, which every leader has to come to the aid of his oppressed fellow countrymen. Inasmuch as Roosevelt's treachery eventually caused him to fail in his gallant attempt, Hitler was strategically wrong. One has no right to use force, if there is not prospect of success. But that is a matter of hindsight. The truth is that in WWII the Third Reich fought for justice, and the Allies fought to prevent justice — and their own Wilsonian self-determination! In Hitler's later pre-emptive attack on Soviet Russia, he was far more justified than the Israelis in their allegedly pre-emptive attacks on Egypt in 1956 and 1967. Hitler then also fought to protect Europe more than just Germany. Let who denies this look at the map that resulted when Roosevelt knocked the sword from Hitler's hand at Stalingrad — with 11 billion dollars worth of lend-lease trucks and armaments! Half of Europe and half of Asia now squirm and languish under the Communistic terror!

NOR WAS NATIONAL SOCIALISM INTRINSICALLY RACIST

In addition to alleged *militarism* the Potsdam Pact for all eternity bans National Socialism for its racism, that is, in reality for its confrontation with Jews. While it is true some National Socialists often condemned Jews by lumping them into a common racial denominator, the easily provable fact is that National Socialism wanted to be rid of its Jews, have them emigrate, not essentially on racial but on ideological grounds. It considered Talmudic Jews to be subversive, pro-Communistic, anti-German.

Hitler himself clearly so expressed it. He told the Nobel Prize winner Max Planck:

I have nothing at all against Jews themselves. But the Jews are all Communists, and these are my enemies — it is these that I am fighting . . . all Jews stick together like burrs . . . It is up to the Jews themselves to draw a dividing line between the different kinds. But they have not done that, and therefore I must proceed uniformly against all Jews. (See "Max Planck and Adolf Hitler," *Bulletin of American Association of University Professors*, Autumn, 1959, p. 439)

In other words, his attitude is not racial, it is not anti-Semitic, it is anti-

Talmudic, just as Christ was when he called such Jews liars and the offspring of Satan!

The most conclusive proof that Hitler and National Socialism were not racist, were not in the true sense anti-Semitic, is that the Third Reich treated Christianized Jews preferentially. The National Socialist Third Reich carefully distinguished between Talmudic and Christianized Jews! Even Talmudic Jews admit this. Philip Freedman (*Their Brothers' Keepers*, N.Y. 1957) inadvertently shows that the Nazis were not anti-Semitic but anti-Talmudic. He writes:

In view of the fact that baptized Jews were exempted from the Nazi-like decrees, 4,500 Jews embraced Christianity. (p. 73)

On February 27, 1943, when 10,000 Jews were to be deported from Berlin:

The Christian wives of those arrested were able to wring concessions from the Nazis, who released the men. (p. 93)

The Rooseveltians did not similarly spare Christian Nisei and Issei from West Coast concentration camps!

EVEN PHONY "BAPTISM" SAVED JEWS FROM CONCENTRATION CAMPS

On July 12, 1944, the Nazis ordered that Jewish converts could "found their own organization, Union of Baptized Jews. More than 70,000 received membership certificates . . . and thus were saved from deportation" (p. 86). Freedman further reveals:

One tactic that proved successful was the veritable epidemic of "mercy baptism". . . Another was mixed marriages . . . After 1941 conversions were administered on a mass scale with both parties tacitly agreeing that the convert could renounce his vow after the war. (p. 105)

Not surprisingly the government eventually "placed a deadline on conversions." It will be recalled that the whole tragedy of the Spanish Inquisition was caused by such phony conversions!

In Lwow (Lemberg) after the Nazis occupied the city, Freedman reports that "no less than 4,000 Jews attempted to evade the German net by baptism" (p. 125). Even Drew Pearson, a congenital liar and German hater, married to a Jewish wife, reported that from Nazi-occupied Romania, Jews "could leave as Christians, but not as Jews. So overnight . . . Bishop Roncalli made them Catholics" ("Pope a Rebel", December 5, 1959).

It is important to emphasize that Jews saved by Baptism were saved from being *interned in concentration camps*. There was never a question of their being intended for "gassing." Only the guilty imagination of the victors and Talmudists could suppose the National Socialists to have been as genocidic as they themselves proved to be. The Nazis had more right to put Jews in concentration camps as "potential dangers," than Roosevelt had to put Nisei in concentration camps!

HITLER AND THIRD REICH BASICALLY CHRISTIAN

Furthermore, the fact that Hitler and the Third Reich gave immunity to baptized, to Christianized Jews, should once and for all demolish the vile slander that National Socialism was intrinsically against Christianity. It was not. National Socialism was fundamentally, positively Christian. In a conference with Friedrich Christian Prinz zu Schaumburg-Lippe, Hitler declared that a confrontation between religion and politics is damaging to both, that the State needs "religious citizens as the foundation for a moral and clean society," but that "it is unchristian to abuse religion in the interest of politics or economics." Futher:

It is Christian teaching which gives the people the necessary firmness of belief . . . His party therefore did not wish to place boundaries too narrow but espoused 'positive Christianity' . . . a Christianity not confessionally tied down . . . To know this Christianity as strong, was for him of the greatest importance. (Quoted from *Wer War Hitler?* by H.S. Ziegler, Tuebingen, 1970, pp. 150-1)

It is pitiful how American clergymen, Protestant and Catholic, preached that America must help the Bolsheviks pulverize Germany because the Third Reich and Hitler were anti-Christian. It shows how brainwashed even sincere people can become. Soviet Russia was openly atheistic, it totally destroyed or converted to garages or museums thousands of Christian churches, the Third Reich, none. Yet these Christian clergymen, either brainwashed or prostituted, thundered against the Third Reich and glamorized the Soviet Union!

BETWEEN A STRONG REGIME AND A STRONG CHURCH ALWAYS SOME TENSION

Certainly there was often tension between the Nazi government and the Christian, especially the Catholic Church. And Monsignor Neuhaeusler and Pastor Niemoeller were put into the Dachau concentration camp. But the Soviets murdered some 7,000 priests and bishops! And would not Roosevelt have put Father Coughlin into prison if he had not been able to browbeat his bishop to forbid him to write and preach! And he did in fact incarcerate come such noble clergymen as Lutheran Pastor Kurt Molzahn of Philadelphia!

The Catholic Church never flourished more than in the Third Reich. And when the bishops protested a projected government policy of euthanasia the "wicked" Nazi government listened to the bishops and rescinded the policy. Has our Supreme Court and our government so far rescinded its inhumane, shameful policy of abortion, in line with our bishops' protest? No. On the contrary they keep saying the Churches, Catholic and Protestant, have no business advising the government!

No, the tension between the National Socialist government and the Catholic and Lutheran Churches was the normal tension between Religion

and Politics, when both are active, dedicated, and aggressive. It is the old tension between what is God's and what is Caesar's. At the moment the very Catholic government of Spain, Franco's, is having a quarrel with a Basque bishop and the Vatican! It is a family quarrel. And that and no more summarizes the tension between Hitler, National Socialism, the Third Reich, and the Catholic and Lutheran Churches. Throughout the war, the Third Reich subsidized Lutheran and Catholic schools. Has our "democratic" government done the like for Christianity?

I cannot help reflecting that, except for the brainwashed clergymen, the liberals who profess to deplore Hitler's "persecution" of the Church did in fact in their hearts hope that Hitler would liquidate the Church the way their beloved Soviet Russians liquidated the Christian church there! Part of their hatred of Hitler and National Socialism is probably subconsciously attributable to the fact that under Hitler the churches flourished as never before!

THE BALANCE OF ALLIED AND NAZI ATROCITIES

So brainwashed and propagandized the American and British public have been that if you asked them which side committed the most atrocities, the Germans or the victors, they would bridle up and deny that there were any Allied atrocities at all, but the most abominable atrocities in history by the Germans. Yet what is the balance sheet?

As we have indicated above, here is a summary:

RAPE: the *victors*, mostly the Soviet Russians, raped one million German, Austrian, and Hungarian girls and women; the *German armed forces*, virtually none.

SLAVE-LABORING PRISONERS OF WAR: the *victors* slave-labored some 2,000,000 German POW's, a majority unto death; the *Germans*, none.

EXPULSION OF NATIVE POPULATIONS: the *victors* drove out 15,000,000; the *Germans*, a few thousand, and not by expulsion, but by exchange.

TOTAL ROBBERY: the *victors* totally expropriated the Oder-Neisse, Sudeten, Volksdeutsche farms, homes, cattle, furniture, everything, billions and billions of dollar's worth; the *Germans* virtually no robberies of civilians and only internationally legal requisitions otherwise.

MURDER OF CIVILIANS: the *victors* clubbed, raped, abused to death, 2,500,000, expelled Oder-Neisse and Sudeten Germans, and another half million or more Balkan Germans; the *Germans* virtually none except in internationally legal reprisals for assassinations and partisan sabotage, as at Lidice and Rome, numbering at most in the thousands.

COLD-BLOODED MURDER OF POW OFFICERS: the *victors* (the Soviet Russians) murdered 15,000 Polish officers at Katyn and elsewhere,

not as reprisals but cold-bloodedly, and then at the Nuremberg Trials attributing these murders to the Nazis; the *Germans*, none.

FORCED REPATRIATION OF CIVILIANS AND POW'S: the *victors*, namely the Anglo-Americans, clubbed, shot, drugged some 2,000,000 prisoners, who had fled Soviet Russia into the more civilized Nazi Germany, back into the Soviet Union, where their leaders were shot and the rank and file slave-labored. The name for this atrocity is "Operation Keelhaul"; the *Germans*, not guilty of "Operation Keelhaul."

DISMANTLING: the *victors*, even though they had made rubble out of one-third of Germany by area bombing, demolished whatever factories were left and transported the dismantled parts to their own lands, mostly Soviet Russia; the *Germans*, (in their occupied territories), not guilty of dismantling.

THEFT FROM OCCUPIED CIVILIANS: the *victors*, Americans, with a pointed gun or threat, took from German civilians watches, cameras, rings, paintings, anything they could carry and mail, and the Soviet Russians robbed all these things and everything else they could haul off by railroad, even church bells; the *Germans*, in their occupied territories, were correct.

CONCENTRATION CAMPS: the *victors* had at least 10 times more concentration camps, and mostly bestially inhumane ones (Soviet Russia), even the Rooseveltian U.S. had ten for Nisei and Issei, ostensibly for "potentially dangerous" persons; the *Germans* also had such concentration camps, not a fourth as many or as brutal as the victors had and they were not death camps as alleged, but work camps, including Dachau and Auschwitz. Concentration camps are not more intrinsically evil than jails and prisons.

FOREIGN OR CONSCRIPTED LABOR: the *victors*, right after armistice, made a scramble for German scientists and conscripted them to work in the U.S., Britain, and Soviet Russia; the *Germans* during the war recruited foreign labor from their occupied territories, just as West Germany now has recruited nearly 3,000,000 foreign workers (*Gastarbeiter*). The Nazi use of foreign labor during the war was perfectly legitimate. The U.S. hired Mexican labor during the war! In general the Germans treated their foreign labor essentially like their own workers, as best as wartime conditions permitted.

ATROCITY BALANCE SHEET

STRAINS ALLIED "SMOTHEROUT" STRATEGY

As I said above, the allies, shocked by their own wanton destruction of Central Europe, decided with war crimes trials and otherwise so to harp on and blow up alleged Nazi atrocities that the public would excuse their own barbarity and say, "What if Hitler was right at Danzig, what if Roosevelt tricked the U.S. into the war by the backdoor, the Nazis were so bestial that

this was justified!" This has been Allied strategy. And still is. That was the purpose of the Nuremberg Trials, of the Eichmann trial, of the order to West Germany to continue the trials against Germans but on pain of everlasting damnation not ever to try Allied war criminals nor ever to use as a defense that the Allies did likewise, or worse!

This became obviously difficult to carry off as more and more evidence indicated that the Allied war crimes and atrocities were ten times more numerous and more bestial than any alleged against the Nazis (e.g., rape). As a consequence they resorted to speculation and to fabrication.

SPECULATION AS AN AID TO THE "SMOTHEROUT"

Since the Allied war crimes were so much worse than those of the Third Reich, the Allied hypocrites resorted to speculation as to, not what Hitler actually did or said, but what he would have done had he won. To stupefy the gullible clergyman they speculate that he would have wiped out the churches. There is not a shred of evidence for this speculation. But there is a lot of evidence that the churches would have flourished as hardly ever before. Another speculation is that he would have gone on to conquer the world. This is a damnable, preposterous imputation. Hitler made it clear throughout his career that he merely wanted to correct the Versailles Treaty and establish a united Germany in the boundaries corresponding to Wilson's self-determination. Even at the height of his victories, he offered to withdraw to Germany's rightful boundaries as soon as the Allies agreed to peace. The Israelis, it will be noted, plunged the whole world into an energy crisis rather than withdraw to the proper boundaries of 1967! In line with his correct policy, Hitler did not annex Czechoslovakia, he merely extended a "protectorate" over it, as the U.S. in Latin America has done often.

Another speculation is that he would have dictated an unjust peace and dismembered nations and shifted boundaries in violation of self-determination. If Hitler had been the devil incarnate he couldn't have committed more violent dismembering, more brutal territorial robbery than the victors did. No doubt that is why their conscience inspires that speculation. In that respect alone the victors created what *Time Magazine* called "history's most terrifying peace."

The sober, for Americans unpleasant, truth is that while Hitler was victorious he arbitrated the most just boundaries in the Balkans that Europe had ever known. Hitler was the fairest, the most honorable arbitrator of boundaries in the history of Europe. To speculate that he would have reverted to Allied criminal practices had he finally won, is an exercise of hypocrisy and satanism worthy of the culprits of the most massive atrocity in history, the expulsion of the Oder-Neisse and Sudeten Germans!

THAT LAST RESORT OF LIARS, FABRICATING A MASS ATROCITY

Not finding the Nazis guilty of real war crimes at all commensurate with the monstrous ones of the victors, they resorted to the only alternative open to hypocrites and liars, namely, to fabricate a mass atrocity. This they did with the legend of the six million Jews "gassed," four million in Auschwitz and two million elsewhere. This is a 95% fabrication and swindle. They have not even attempted to offer one shred of historically valid evidence for this. Auschwitz, for example, had a total of no more than 450,000 inmates and no "gas chambers." Not even at the Eichmann trial were they shameless enough to talk of 6,000,000 Jews killed by the Nazis, even though they base the whole flimsy slander on the hearsay that Eichmann once to his subordinates Hoettl and Hoess spoke of 5,000,000 victims in *general*, not specifically of Jews (*Time*, June 6, 1960, hikes it to six million!). Eichmann, even had he said it, could not know the true figures. He himself never killed a Jew; he merely organized the transportation of Jews to concentration camps in the east, just as someone, presumably Milton Eisenhower, organized the transportaiton of 112,000 Nisei from California to Colorado, Nevada and Utah!

In short the fabricators of the 6,000,000 swindle have never come up with any valid proofs whatsoever. And they smear-terrorize anyone as an anti-Semite, who does investigate this myth in a scholarly way. The more we study the question the more it appears that more women and children were bombed and sniped to death in 24 hours in Dresden by the Allies than Jews — if we except those legitimately killed as partisans and saboteurs — were executed by the Nazis.

JUST WHY THE PAROXYSM OF HATE AGAINST NATIONAL SOCIALISM

We ask again, just why at Potsdam and in our media is National Socialism (and what these call neo-Nazism) hated and prohibited with orgiastic frenzy, but Bolshevism treated benignly? Why is a Communistic party tolerated, if not encouraged, in West Germany, but a National Socialist party prohibited for all eternity?

Certainly much of this vindictive hatred is due to Jewish eye-for-an-eye-ism, not for Jews executed by the Third Reich, but for the Third Reich's unabashed wish to have Jews emigrate from Central Europe to a national home of their own such as Madagascar! Jews consider anyone's dislike of them such an insult that for it they were chiefly responsible for plunging the world into WWII, for making the peace the most genocidic and unjust in history, and for keeping up an incessant hate and slander campaign against all things German. And to blackmail Germany into astronomical reparations for this alleged "insult" to Jewish self-esteem! Of course, not adverted to is the sad fact that all civilized nations (except atheistic Soviet

Russia) secretly would gladly be rid of their Jews, and that Jews themselves accuse all nations of anti-Semitism, not the least our Christian America. For example, in December, 1960, the Jewish Anti-Defamation League and the University of California undertook a study of anti-Semitism. This they defined as anything critical of Jews, right or wrong. And what did they come up with? With the terrifying information that one-third of the American population is *intensely* anti-Semitic, and another one-third moderately anti-Semitic.⁴

If this is the case in the American “democracy,” then should not our “democracy” be as violently prohibited for all eternity as the Potsdam peace-dictators damned National Socialism lock, stock, and barrel? It is important to note that Jewish world-wide hatred against the Third Reich was as violent in 1933, before a hair of any Jew had been singed in Germany as it is now. The world-wide boycott of German goods was introduced in 1933! Therefore the whole propaganda about the six million Jews killed by the Nazis is merely to try to work Gentiles and Christians up to a genocidal hatred of things German which the Jews of the world have had since 1933.

POWER POLITICS, ENVY OF SUCCESS, REAL CAUSE OF HATRED AGAINST NATIONAL SOCIALISM

Except for the vindictive hatred of the Jews, the hatred of National Socialism can only be understood as power politics, as fear and envy of a system that proved more successful socially and industrially than the Bolshevik and even the masonic “democracy” of the victors. Hitler and National Socialism in a few brief years converted the “democratic” corpse of Weimar Germany into the “corporative” dynamo of the Third Reich. And the hypocrites who clubbed the German people into accepting the Versailles Treaty — democracy by dismemberment and the hunger blockade — never forgave!

As Harry Elmer Barnes, quoted previously, wrote, Roosevelt was really little “disturbed by Hitler’s anti-Jewish policy; he was much more annoyed by the fact that Hitler’s ‘New Deal’ had succeeded in spectacular fashion while his own had failed.” There is the secret why America, England, France and Russia hated German National Socialism — and still do — and, so as not to be shamed by comparison again, eternally prohibit it!

4. According to *National Review* (“The New Anti-Semitism,” March 29, 1974), the Anti-Defamation League of B’nai Brith had found a new crop of Anti-Semites, in place of Lindbergh, Gerald L. K. Smith, Forrestal, etc. Among the new ones are: “Evans and Novak, Senator J. William Fulbright, Dean Francis B. Sayre of Washington’s National Cathedral, the American Friends Service Committee, Father Dan Berrigan, and, would you believe, the *Christian Science Monitor*.” Why, because they “have occasionally had political criticism to make of some aspects of Israeli or U.S. policy in the Middle East.” The ADL reacted “to political difference of opinion with . . . defamation.” If such Americans can be smeared as Anti-Semitic, then German National Socialists and any patriotic Germans would be so smear-terrorized. And if America has such influential Anti-Semites should its “democracy” not also be destroyed forever and ever?

In November 1936, Churchill said to General Robert E. Wood, "Germany is getting too strong, and we must smash her." And in 1938, Bernard Baruch, later a chief promoter of the genocidal Morgenthau Plan, said to General George C. Marshall, "We are going to lick that fellow Hitler. He isn't going to get away with it." (Both quotes in *Blasting The Blackout*, pp. 24, 25). Get away with what? Why converting Weimar Germany from a graveyard of unemployment and despair to the joy and prosperity, the autobahns and the enthusiasm, of the Third Reich!

NATIONAL SOCIALIST GERMANY THE WORLD'S MOST EFFICIENT REGIME

The undeniable, terribly sobering truth is that Hitler and National Socialism were possibly the most successful regime in history. That the U.S. and Soviet Russia and the British Empire after 6 years were able to defeat it and Morgenthauize it does not contradict this. It merely proves that nations twenty times as large and as militarized as another can finally crush it: an elephant can crush the best zebra!

We speak of the miracle of West Germany's recovery after the war. And indeed it was a "miracle." But it occurred during a world-wide boom, it had the good will and the Marshall help of America, and most of all it was not handicapped by a world-wide Jewish boycott. On the contrary, the revival of Germany from 1933 to 1939, from bust to boom, happened during a world-wide depression (which even Roosevelt's "democracy" could not correct), it had to contend with an international Jewish boycott (this before one hair of a Jew had been singed and no "six million" fabrication existed!), and it started from the bottom of the whole country at a deadstill from unemployment and Communist rioting (sparked mostly by Jews).

Within even a few years, unemployment was ended, expressways were built, and the whole country was booming! From depression it had changed to hope and joy! It became in fact the most prosperous country at that time in the world!

HOW DID HITLER AND NATIONAL SOCIALISM CREATE THIS MIRACLE RECOVERY?

Some of the amazing success may be due to Hitler's charismatic personality, but even Hitler could not have done it without a healthy governmental philosophy and an efficient political system.

Dr. Burton Klein (*Germany's Economic Preparation for War*, Harvard University Press, 1959), according to the review by Harry Elmer Barnes, testifies to this recovery miracle as follows:

Germany in August, 1939, was a more powerful and impressive political unit than had ever been known in this area in the history of Europe. Germany reached at that time the "apex" of its power, whether the system was a desirable one or not. (See Barnes, *Revisionism and Brainwashing*, p. 29)

Surely it is ironic that the peace dictators peremptorily prohibited the one system of government that had surpassed all others in efficiency! What other motive than power politics and envy and a determination to keep Germany a doormat of the victors could it have been? And is still?

Some have said National Socialist prosperity was due to rearmament. But the same pundits, when adverting to the tragedy that living standards in Soviet Russia are pitiful, still at the level of 1913, attribute this to resources spent on arms. And when it is noted that Israel can continue only with the help of enormous gifts from America and billions of reparations from Germany, it is quickly added that this is due to the drain of military preparedness against the Arabs! But when Hitler's Germany was unprecedentedly successful economically and industrially, lo and behold, the pundits attribute it to its alleged "militarism."

ECONOMIC SUCCESS NOT DUE TO REARMAMENT

This should have crippled the Third Reich economically; yet in spite of its modest rearmament it enjoyed a startling economic boom. Dr. Klein debunks "a fundamental charge against Hitler . . . the assertion that National Socialist Germany was a purely military economy." Dr. Barnes, reviewing Dr. Klein's book, asserts:

" . . . this book devastatingly refuted the indictment of National Socialist Germany as a military industrial camp which had been designed solely to wage war. France and Britain each spent as much (or more) for armament as did Germany, and combined they spent much more." (*Revisionism and Brainwashing*, p. 4)

And Soviet Russia, of course, was a military colossus, quantitatively, compared to peacetime Nazi Germany.

No, there was something in German National Socialism intrinsically effective and superior to Bolshevism, and even to virtually all of the "democracies" set up at gunpoint by the victors after WWI, and certainly, to nearly all of them (except so far West Germany and Japan) set up after WWII. Most of them are now Soviet Russian satellites, totalitarian dictatorships, surrounded by barbed wire! Even Italy, still in the West, has had 36 different governments in 31 years, all since the U.S. gun-pointed "democracy" upon it and prohibited "fascism" forever and aye!⁵ (*Wall Street Journal*, March 11, 1974)

PERHAPS "CORPORATIVISM" ACCOUNTS FOR SUCCESS OF THIRD REICH

It is ironic that the much maligned National Socialism was economically and socially ten times more successful than any Bolshevik regime, but even

5. In March, Secretary of State Kissinger got some flak when he said "that there have been rarely, fully legitimate governments in any European country since World War I" (See *Time*,

more so than most "democratic" ones. It did not, like Communism, need to close off its borders. Its citizens did not want to escape en masse, not even the Jews wanted to leave. Oddly enough, the so-called other rightist, "fascist" regimes, like Mussolini's Italy, Franco's Spain, Salazar's Portugal, and presently Brazil, Greece, and Chile (since the overthrow of Allende the Communist) have open borders!

But not only that. They also were or are relatively successful economically, socially, industrially. Whereas Communist Chile in a few years had nothing but chaos and inflation, the so-called fascist take-over is already turning from bust to boom. The same thing happened in Brazil.

CORPORATIVISM SEEMED TO ENERGIZE NATIONAL SOCIALISM AND FASCISM

We therefore must reluctantly conclude that some principle in National Socialism and in so-called fascism seems to be energizing, stimulative. The answer probably is "corporativism." This was the preferred political system among Catholic theorists — until the victors prohibited saying a good word for it. In a corporative society, capitalism and labor cooperate, employees and employers in the same industry are organized mutually. And private property is state supervised but secure. In Communism on the contrary, private property is abolished, even to small farms. And the so-called proletariat is organized to hate industrialists and private employers. Communism preaches the hatred of classes; National Socialism (and it seems, fascist governments in general) put owner and worker into the same "club," make them partners, not antagonists.

In so-called "democratic" governments, labor unions, too, tend to be antagonistic to employers and owners. Unrestrained labor unionists, at the voting booth, far outnumber employers — and if trained to confrontation, can wreck not only any industry but the whole country. That is how the Weimar Republic was convulsed into strikes and chaos, and England and Italy now. And union power and unreason seem to be endangering "democracy" in our U.S.A.

It is often remarked how until under the Socialists Brandt, Wehner, Bahr,

March 25, 1974, p. 31). These countries generally all had "democratic" governments forced on them virtually at gun point by the Wilsonians and Rooseveltians. Most of them are totalitarian barbarisms now, dominated by Soviet Russia. And the more totalitarian they are, the more they call themselves "democracy." That is an ominous fact about "democracy," that it lends itself to verbal appropriation by Communism! Incidentally, Germans never made as much of a "religion" out of National Socialism as Americans for decades have made out of "democracy." But recently there are some misgivings about the wise benignity of "democracy!" *Wall Street Journal* entitled an editorial, "Democracies in Trouble" (March 19, 1974). And columnist Major General Thomas A. Lane entitled an article, "Is Democracy Failing?" It surely has failed wherever the U.S. forced it on others by threat and command and similarly suppressed native forms!

German labor and industrialists worked and prospered in marvellous harmony. One can plausibly speculate that this harmony was due to the lingering memory and influence of the corporativism of the Third Reich. Communism is essentially a system of mutual hatreds; democracy is endangered by the divisiveness of unionism and the encouraged antagonism between labor and industry; National Socialism (and fascism) arranges occupations and trades and industries into equivalents of the ancient guilds, it puts workers and work-givers into the same "guild," into partnerships. This makes for a common interest, and mutual effort. That may be the essential reason why National Socialism was so astoundingly successful (and in Italy and Spain fascism was relatively, too).

It also seems to be the intrinsic, the under-the-skin reason why the victors have such an insane hatred of National Socialism (and fascism) and want to ban it forever from the earth. It is power politics and envy at their worst; it is stupid and criminal. And it is tragic and dangerous at best.

ALLIED EQUATING NATIONALISM WITH NEO-NAZISM (OR FASCISM) TERRIBLY DANGEROUS

Not letting sovereign peoples choose what form of government they wish, even if fascist, is obviously criminal. It is also unutterably stupid. If the form of government desired were totally evil, it will, like the Red regime of Chile, if unassisted by armed foreign tanks, quickly collapse. If it has much virtue then no combinations of victors and power-political scoundrels can forever suppress it.

The terrible danger in our time is what I have stated at the beginning and the reason for my writing on this subject. It is that the way National Socialism is condemned and banned in the Potsdam Agreement encourages the Communists, the Talmudists, and the power-political enemies of a united, strong Germany to label every German notion of patriotism and nationalism as "neo-Nazi." This, first, effectively gives Soviet Russia, on the strength of UN Articles 53 and 107 the green light to invade West Germany. Secondly, since hatred of National Socialism appears to be chiefly an American and Jewish fetish, the German people increasingly have come to despair of American help in effecting the reunification of Germany; thirdly, if their patriotism and nationalism is denounced and banned as "neo-Nazi," the German people have no alternative but to favor or pretend to favor Communism and Soviet Russia in the hope of eventual re-unification through them.

This is a real danger. It is a plausible one, it is also for the German people a virtually necessary strategy — if the Western victors don't soon stop equating German patriotism with National Socialism: if in fact, they talk about permitting all peoples to adopt a government of their own choice, but hypocritically tell the Germans on pain of destruction not to choose a rightist government. Only rightist governments can save Europe, can

reunite Germany; to denounce rightist movements as "radical" or neo-Nazi," and to prohibit them, is criminal and stupid. Even if any peoples of Europe choose National Socialism or fascism, it is none of our "damn" business no more than it is theirs to object to our brand of "democracy," Watergate and all!

RAVISHING THE WOMEN OF CONQUERED EUROPE*

Because of repeated requests, this article on mass Red rape of German women, first published as a lone, voice-in-the-wilderness protest thirty years ago, is herewith off-set printed again, verbatim, except for this first page. It is still valid, though if written now, a few items would be omitted and others worded differently. Its facts, however, still need to be publicized, for the assortment of Reds, Morgenthauists, and Unconditional Surrenderists, who keep urging more and more atrocity trials for the Nazis, never protested, and still keep a conspiracy of silence around, the most shocking of all atrocities committed by our Lend-Lease Pals, the Soviets.

But in flickers here and there, the terrifying facts shimmer through. On April 1, 1966 *Time Magazine*, in its review of Cornelius Ryan's *The Last Battle* (Simon and Schuster), should shock the Red appeasers with the following passages, which may well serve as an introduction to this republished "Ravishing the Women of Conquered Europe." They read as follows:

Berlin (as the Reds approached in 1945) had become virtually a city without men. Out of the civilian population of about 2,700,000 . . . roughly 2,000,000 were women. Small wonder that the fear of sexual attack raced through the city like a plague . . . doctors were besieged by patients seeking information about the quickest way to commit suicide, and poison was in great demand.

When the Reds had the city, this terror became unspeakable reality. *Time* says:

The later waves of Soviet soldiers went wild. Rape, plunder and suicide became commonplace. Soldiers entered the Haus Dahlem, an orphanage, maternity hospital and foundling home, and repeatedly raped pregnant women and those who had recently given birth. All told, the number of rape victims in Berlin — ranging from women of 70 to little girls of ten — will never be known, although Ryan reports estimates from doctors that run from 20,000 to 100,000.

In short, a fate worse than death, the biggest mass rape in Christian history, is what our Second Crusade and Unconditional Surrenderism occasioned for the women of half of Germany, of Austria, and of Hungary, as this article illustrates.

*This article was first published April 1, 1946.

THE FOULEST ATROCITY OCCUPATION TROOPS CAN COMMIT IS RAPE: SAD TO SAY, IT IS AN ALLIED ATROCITY, NOT A GERMAN ONE

Our fellow re-educators of Germany, our Russian allies, entered Danzig, March 24, 1945. A 50-year-old Danzig teacher reports that the following day her niece, 15, was raped seven times, her other niece, 22, fifteen times. A Russian officer told the women to seek safety in the Cathedral. After they were securely in, our brothers-in-arms entered and, playing the organ and ringing the bells, kept up a foul orgy through the night, raping all the women, some more than thirty times. (See *Nord-Amerika*, Dec. 6, 1945, p.1). Surely, that's a wonderful time — for the fellows helping us try the Germans at Nuernberg!

Dr. O., a Catholic pastor of Danzig, declares, "They violated even eight-year-old girls and shot boys who tried to shield their mothers."

THE RUSSIANS PRACTICE UNCONDITIONAL SURRENDER LITERALLY

A Russian general said to Lieut. General Ira Eaker, commander of the Mediterranean Allied air forces, "We've decided just to kill all the German men, take 17,000,000 German women and that will solve it" (*San Antonio Light*, June 14, 1945).

And these beloved fellow-liberators of ours are doing very well indeed. They know why one wants Unconditional Surrender and why Americans cried for a "harsh" peace against the Germans, and they understand the spirit of the Morgenthau Plan: In Vienna alone they raped 100,000 women, not once but many times, including girls not yet in their teens, and aged women! So reported the Most Rev. Bernard Griffin, British Archbishop (now Cardinal) after a more or less official tour to study conditions in Europe (*NC Report*, Oct. 18, 1945).

A Lutheran pastor, in a letter of Aug. 7, 1945, to the Bishop of Chichester, England, describes how a fellow pastor's "two daughters and grandchild (ten years of age) suffer from gonorrhea, result of rape" and how "his mother, eighty-three years of age, died of consequences of rape," and how "Mrs. N. was killed when she resisted an attempt to rape her," while her daughter was "raped and deported, allegedly to Omsk, Siberia, for indoctrination" (see *Tablet*, Oct. 27, 1945). Indeed, the re-educators of Germany are having a wonderful time! And the Morgenthau Planners and the "harsh" peace boys are leering with joy!

NEITHER OLD OR YOUNG OR NUNS ARE SPARED

The day after our noble fellow-crusaders conquered Neisse, Silesia, 182 Catholic nuns were raped. "In the Diocese of Kattowitz sixty-six pregnant nuns were counted." In one convent when the Mother Superior and her assistant tried to protect the younger nuns with outstretched arms, they

were shot down. The priest who reported this said that he knows "several villages, where all the women, even the aged and girls as young as twelve, were violated daily for weeks by the Russians." (See *Nord-Amerika*, Nov. 1, 1945).

"WE ALLIES ARE NO MONSTERS!" AREN'T WE?

"We Allies are no monsters," said Churchill to the Germans in January, 1945. "This, at least, I can say on behalf of the United Nations, to Germany . . . Peace, though based on unconditional surrender, will bring to Germany and Japan immense and immediate alleviation of suffering and agony." (*Time*, January 29, 1945).

"Oh, yeah!" as a newsboy would say. Since that time the Allies who are no monsters have raped more Christian women than have literally ever before been raped in the history of the world. They have put Germany on a 1300-calorie starvation level; they have looted twelve million people of their homes, goods, food and even clothes and driven them from their homelands; they have kept or taken one-fourth of their farmland and their ships and their factories and most of their farm implements and then told them to live by farming; they have abused and starved to death more German babies than there ever were Jews in Germany; and finally they raped and debauched hundreds of thousands of German, Austrian, and Hungarian girls and women from eight to eighty. They brought to their death five times as many Germans in one year of peace as died during five years of war! Yes, yes of course, "We allies are no monsters!"

BEVIN: "IT WAS THE MOST AWFUL SIGHT"

After British Foreign Secretary Ernest Bevin saw what the Allies were doing to "some 15 million German 'displaced persons,' " that had been looted and driven from their homes he cried in Parliament, "I felt, my God, that is the price of man's stupidity . . . It was the most awful sight." (*Time*, Nov. 5, 1945, p. 30). But he is wrong in calling it "man's stupidity" — it is the Big Three lust for injustice; it is their lust for a *harsh*, power-political peace, that's what it is. It's a *crime*, not a stupidity!

Time magazine (Oct. 2, 1945, p. 27), describing the mass expulsion "of at least nine million Germans from East Prussia, Danzig, Silesia, Pomerania and the Sudetenland" says, "it is a tale of horror, old men starving on the roads, young girls raped in boxcars." And the bold crusaders who are doing this raping have the shamelessness of trying whole classes of Germans as war criminals!

Sylvester C. Michelfelder, a Lutheran Pastor, just returned from Germany describes in *the Christian Century*:

Bands of irresponsible bandits in Russian or American uniforms pillage and rob the trains. Women and girls are violated in sight of everyone. They are stripped of their clothes.

Yes, the holy reeducators of Germany are having a wonderful time!

THE POPE PROTESTS THE VIOLATION OF GERMAN WOMEN

So much so that "His Holiness Pope Pius XII in a letter to Cardinal Michael von Faulhaber lamented . . .

. . . the base injuries and misadventures which German women and girls have had to suffer (NC, Vatican City). (see Dubuque *Witness*, Jan. 10, 1946.

The Vatican radio (AP, London, April 27, 1946) charged that in the Russian occupation zone of eastern Germany cries for help are going up from "girls and women who are being brutally raped and whose bodily and spiritual health is completely shaken . . ."

Imagine what the whole crusading American press and radio commentators would have made of this, had the Pope said it of French women during the German occupation! But unfortunately for them, the Pope couldn't say that because *those 'wicked' Germans committed less rape than ever our "dear boys."* So our holy crusading press and radio commentators keep screeching about the concentration camps (where no women were raped) and are silent about the vast and worse concentration camp our Morgenthau Plan had made out of all of Germany, Austria and Hungary.

THE LUST, LIQUOR AND LOOT OF OUR OWN ARMIES

Though to compare the conduct of our own armies with the bestiality of the Russians would be sacrilegious, our armies, too, are reported having a "wonderful time," debauching conquered women. John Dos Passos (*Life*, Jan. 7, 1946, p. 23) quotes a "red-faced major" as saying, "'*Lust, liquor and loot are the soldier's pay.*'" A serviceman writes, "Many a sane American family would recoil in horror if they knew how 'Our Boys' conduct themselves, with such complete callousness in human relationships over here" (*Time*, Nov. 12, 1945).

An army sergeant writes, "Our own Army and the British Army along with ours have done their share of looting and raping . . . This offensive attitude among our troops is not at all general, but the percentage is large enough to have given our Army a pretty black name, and we too are considered an army of rapists" (*Time*, Sept. 17, 1945).

RE-EDUCATING THE GERMANS WITH RUBBER PROPHYLACTICS

The implication of these letters must make the gods of hell guffaw, for it is to be remembered that these boys were lendleased into the war in order to teach the Germans Christianity and to bring them and the world the Four Freedoms and the Atlantic Charter! As soon as the government had lendleased them into the war to bring the Germans Christianity it issued its "modern crusaders" (as even Archbishop Spellman called them) "50,000,000 prophylactics a month" (*Time*, Sept. 3, 1945), and then subjected them to "shocking indoctrination . . . in practices held by decent

citizens to be immoral," as Bishop Michael J. Ready charged (*N.C.W.C. News*, Columbus, Ohio, Oct. 30, 1945).

Equipped, therefore, with fifty million immoral prophylactics and graphically instructed in their use, the modern crusaders swarmed in to teach the Germans Christianity — and to have a wonderful time. Their government furthermore instructed them that marriage with the inferior-blooded Germans was absolutely forbidden but having illegitimate children with German women (whose husbands and boyfriends were being conveniently held as slave laborers) could count on allowance money (see AP Dispatch, Berlin, Sept. 12, 1945. "German-American Marriages Forbidden").

THE ANGLO-AMERICANS FAR MORE DECENT THAN THE RUSSIANS

A good time was thus assured for the noble conquerors — provided only German women would prove "cooperative." Quite sincerely and gratifyingly it can be said that for the Americans and the British to have a "wonderful time" much depended on the "cooperativeness" of the German and Austrian women. As we have seen, this is not needed for the Russians. They simply rape any woman from eight years up and if a German man or woman kills a Russian soldier for anything, including rape, "50 former Nazis would be killed for each incident" (*Time*, June 11, 1945).

Nor was "Fraulein" lack of cooperativeness an obstacle to a good time for all of the troops under General Eisenhower's command. According to testimony given in the Senate on July 17, 1945, when the French colonial troops under his command entered the German city of Stuttgart, *they herded German women into the subways and raped some two thousand of them*. Even a *PM* reporter "reluctantly confirmed the story in its major details" (see *Peace Action*, July, 1945). It will be remembered that one of the chief charges for which Yamashita was hanged by our crusaders was that troops under his command had in their last desperate days, after American troops had severed his line of communication with them, raped twenty-five Manila "beauties."

THE GERMAN ARMIES THE MOST DECENT ARMIES OF THE WAR

In Stuttgart, *troops under Eisenhower's command*, with whom his communications were not severed, raped more women in one week in one city than troops under Hitler's command seem to have raped in four years in all of France. For such literally seem to be the facts, however discomfiting to decent Americans. The bewildering fact is emerging that the German troops of occupation, 7,000,000 of whom, according to an AP Nuernberg Dispatch of February 28, 1946, Justice Robert H. Jackson, chief U.S. prosecutor, wants outlawed and presumably executed as war criminals, were the most decent large-quantity troops of World War II.

That they did far less raping and looting than the Allied troops can no longer be questioned.

In their behavior toward the women of conquered territories, the German troops seem actually to be the most correct and decent in the whole history of warfare. William Shirer, in his *Berlin Diary*, on June 17, 1940, in the first flush of German occupation, described how many French women had fled Paris for fear of the Germans. He wrote:

It seems the Parisians actually believed the Germans would rape the women and do worse to men . . . The ones who stayed are all the more amazed at the very correct behavior of the troops — so far. (p. 412)

After four years of German occupation, Frederick C. Crawford, President of Thompson Products, on January 4, 1945, in a "Report from the War Front," where with others the War Department had taken him on an inspection tour, said:

The Germans tried to be careful in their dealings with the people . . . We were told that if a citizen attended strictly to business and took no political or underground action against the occupying army, he was treated with correctness. (p. 5)

In short, wherever Americans have been able to investigate for themselves, they have found that however ruthless the Germans were with resisters and saboteurs, they were uncommonly Christian and decent towards the women of the conquered. They seem to have committed no more rape in conquered territories than American troops committed right here at home and in fellow-allied countries.

However, one can insist gratefully, in the matter of rape, American (and British) occupation troops in Germany have not been notoriously bad. In that respect it seems true to say what Novelist John Dos Passos said of looting:

When Americans looted they took cameras and valuables but when the Russians looted they took everything. And they raped and killed. (*Life*, Jan. 7, 1946, p. 24).

GERMAN WOMEN TREATED AS FAIR OBJECT OF DEBAUCHERY

The nasty charge that seems justified is that the American government and army authorities and too many of the soldiers seem to regard German women as fair objects of insult and debauchery, deprived of respect and rights by unconditional surrender. There is too much of the spirit typified by one Allied Military Government head in Munich who said, "Rights? You have no rights. You're conquered, ya hear?" (*New Republic*, July 16, 1945, p. 65). And by Admiral Bull Halsey's after-dinner remark to Washington newspapermen regarding Japanese women, "I hate Japs. I'm telling you men that if I met a pregnant Japanese woman, I'd kick her in the belly."

The troops seem to treat German women so regularly and insultingly as prostitutes that if their wives were brought they would have to wear

uniforms because "The GIs did not want their wives mistaken for Fraeuleins by other occupation troops" (INS, London, Jan. 31, 1946). If even in France "some Frenchmen began carrying truncheons at night to protect their wives and sisters from GI insults," according to *Time* (Nov. 19, 1945), one can imagine how the re-educators act in Germany, where one serviceman writes that he shivers "at the apparent absence of basic human decency displayed by so many GIs" (*Time*, Nov. 12, 1945). " 'That's the way it is,' said the captain, ' Americans look on the German women as loot, just like cameras and Lugers'" (*N.Y. World Telegram*, January 21, 1945)!

MORGENTHAU POLICY TO GERMAN WOMEN IS: SIN OR STARVE!

The fact is that our Morgenthau-minded government, directly after unconditional surrender, destroyed and looted Germany's factories, took away Germany's shipping, ripped the country into uneconomic sections, directed the territorial robbery of one-fourth of Germany's arable land; approved the expulsion of twelve million people to the rump state; and justified the looting of tools and machinery as reparations — so that the starvation of the people became inevitable and must be called deliberate. It is hard to escape the conclusion that this planned policy of starvation was to some extent leeringly done to make German women the easy prey of the occupying army's debauchery. At any rate, that is what the American Morgenthau-Potsdam policy has accomplished.

So scholarly and careful a man as Dr. George N. Shuster, president of Hunter College, writes after a visit to the American zone,

You have said it all when you say that Europe is now a place where woman has lost her perennial fight for decency because the indecent alone live. (*Catholic Digest*, Dec. 1945, p. 82).

Our government, along with the Russian and the British, has so stifled German trade, industry and agriculture, that one can almost assert that the only German mothers who can keep their young children alive are those who themselves or whose sisters become mistresses of our occupying troops. By our official admission we have brought Germany down to a daily food level of from 700 to 1500 calories, which is less than an American breakfast, and which leads to sure gradual death.

DETERMINED STARVATION POLICY FORBADE EVEN PRIVATE RELIEF

Some of our own senators have declared this starvation planned and deliberate. In fact, so determined are our policy makers to starve the conquered that they have callously prohibited even private charity. "For the first time in the history of Christian nations," writes Bishop Aloisius Muench of Fargo, North Dakota, "powerful governments are making the

exercise of Christian charity impossible through official regulations" (*One World in Charity*, Lent, 1946, p. 1).

Beginning April 1, after much humanitarian pressure, the government is permitting, *not welcoming*, relief of 2000 tons a month. That is equal to two ounces a person, not a day, or a week, but a month! It is hypocritical token relief to hush up the Christian-minded and thereby smokescreeningly to secure for a fatal while longer, the Morgenthau starvation of the conquered, which certainly and, it seems, calculatingly drove the conquered women, while their men are kept as slave laborers, into satisfying the lust of the occupying soldiers to the point of making rape superfluous.

The *Christian Century* reports, "the American provost marshal, Lieutenant Colonel Gerald F. Beane, said that rape presents no problem to the military police because *a bit of food, a bar of chocolate, or a bar of soap seem to make rape unnecessary*. Think that over, if you want to understand what the situation is in Germany" (Dec. 5, 1945). Dr. Shuster says, "Except for those who can establish contact with members of the armed forces, Germans can get nothing, from soap to shoes" (*op. cit.*, p. 83).

No wonder "young girls, unattached wander about and freely offer themselves, for food or bed . . . very simply they have one thing left to sell, and they sell it . . . as a way of dying it may be worse than starvation, but it will put off dying for months — or even years" (L.J. Filewood in the *Weekly Review*, London, Oct. 25, 1945). Upon these desperate starving women, our occupying troops, lendleased into the war to make Germans Christian, are allowed to pounce like lustful wolves. Of German women, Harry Flannery (*Assignment to Berlin*, 1942, p. 113) said that in spite of the fact that one or two Nazi leaders suggested to German girls that to be the unwed mother of a soldier is no shame, the German birthrate remained largely a family birthrate "since the Germans are basically a moral people."

Even the notorious Nazi unwed mother (*Lebensborn*) policy seduced only 250,000 German girls to become "victory chippies" (*Reader's Digest*, May, 1946, p. 7).

Now, however, after a year of Allied occupation and starvation, Maj. Claude M. Eberhart, venereal disease control officer, declares:

Food shortages, as they grow worse, will increase the promiscuity of girls, who will become more willing to sell themselves for chocolate bars and the necessities of life which soldiers can provide. (AP, Frankfurt, April 25, 1946).

Said a hollow-eyed German soldier, finally released, "I come home and find my family's house gone. American bombing. I find my girl living with American officer for the food he can give her," ("We Are Bungling the Job in Germany," *Reader's Digest*, Feb. 1946, p. 88).

THE LIBERATORS BRING V.D. TO GERMANY, NOT CHRISTIANITY

Because of "the striking number of higher-rank officers in residence with mistresses of vanished Nazi big-wigs," even some discerning GIs called our rule in Germany and Austria "the government of interpreters and mistresses" (*Time*, Oct. 15, 1945). During the first six months of the American occupation, according to Dr. G. Stewart, in a health statement added to the Eisenhower report, venereal disease jumped to twenty times its former level in Germany! Truly, the Christianity-bringers are having a wonderful time debauching the deliberately starved women of the conquered nations! After unconditional surrender the G.I. venereal disease rate began to rise from 48 per thousand to 128 per thousand (*Time*, Sept. 3, 1945). By December, according to Maj. Eberhart, "the average number of soldier cases throughout the theater was 225 per thousand" (AP, April 25, 1946)!

BUT THE RUSSIANS BRING A LITANY OF LUSTFUL TERROR

Nevertheless, in spite of this deliberately planned or encouraged, and certainly tolerated debauchery of German and Austrian women by occupation troops under American command, the American and British occupation cannot be compared to the shocking beastliness of the Russian occupation. Women in the American and British zone at least are usually given the heroic choice of death by starvation to violation. Not so the German, Austrian, and Hungarian women in the Russian zone. Not even nuns are spared. Monsignor Mathew Smith, fact-minded Catholic columnist, returning from the recent consistory of cardinals in Rome, declared bitterly, "*Hundreds of nuns have been violated*" by "*the Russian barbarians*" (*Listening In*, March 15, 1946). Imagine how the American press would have screeched, even the war-time religious press, if the Germans had violated nuns! But they didn't. That atrocity was left for our fellow-prosecutors of the Germans.

The Nazis killed saboteurs and spies. The Russians killed girls and nuns who resisted rape! In Silesia,

. . . many girls and women were violated . . . and a great number of them lost their lives in the struggle to defend themselves against attacks. Among those killed were nuns and a number of priests who sought to shield the women and Religious. The names of 42 priests who have been massacred are known. (MC, Paris, Dec. 10, 1945).

THE RUSSIANS VIOLATE WOMEN WITH OUR CONNIVANCE

When, with our help, the Russians took Berlin they perpetrated the worst orgy of rape in history of Christian Europe. Our armies carefully waited outside so as not to interrupt this orgy of rape and loot! And this abuse of German women became the order of the day. It went on right under

President Truman's eyes as the Potsdam conference where he gleefully decided that :

The German people are beginning to atone for the crimes of the gangsters whom they placed in power and wholeheartedly approve and obediently followed. (Dept. of State Bulletin, Aug. 12, 1945, p. 208).

What gangsters? Have the abettors of the most beastly and large-scale raping in history a right to call anyone else gangsters? The Swiss paper *Weltwoche* (Jan. 11, 1946) relates how when recently three theatergoers, an American, a German, and a Russian called on the star during intermission, she said, "Excuse me, for looking so bad, but I was raped twice coming here and so suffered a nervous collapse." And the Swiss reporter goes on to say that it is so customary in Berlin for women to be raped, coming and going, that one hardly notices it anymore! And President Truman sees all that, drinks champagne with Stalin, and agrees to rob and expel twelve million German men, women and children from their homes and homelands as a punishment for so-called German crimes! Where is American chivalry gone to? What if our women were so treated? "When you hear the tales of the brutalizing of women from the eastern front," writes novelist Dos Passos, "you think with a shudder of those you love and cherish at home." (*Life*, op. cit.). If a conqueror violated our women, then we would begin to understand what real atrocities are. Perhaps it will come someday. If it does, we will have deserved it, we who screamed when the Germans executed the British-sent murderers of a governor and their concealers at Lidice, and don't raise a whimper when the Russians violate a million women and hundreds of nuns!

THE AUSTRIANS, TOO, WERE LIBERATED—AND RAVISHED

"We Allies are no monsters," said Churchill, "and we come to liberate you, especially you Austrians!" Well, a British chaplain reports that in one Austrian town he knew of were 3000 women under treatment for rape ("Listening In," op. cit.). In Vienna, supplementing Cardinal Griffin's charge that 100,000 women had been raped many times, John Dos Passos, three months in Europe as a correspondent for *Life*, reports:

The Viennese tell you of the savagery of the Russian armies. They came like the ancient Mongol hordes out of the steppes, with the flimsiest supply. The people in the working-class districts had felt that when the Russians came that they at least would be spared. But not at all. In the working class districts the troops were allowed to rape and murder and loot at will. When the victims complained, the Russians answered, "You are too well off to be workers. You are bourgeoisie" (op. cit., p. 24).

And we have asked these people to help us prosecute seven million Germans for alleged war crimes!

IN HUNGARY FEW WOMEN FROM 10 TO 70 ESCAPE

In Hungary something dramatic enough finally happened to get some little space in the daily press. An Hungarian bishop was shot! That was something. For years the Nazis had been cursed as savage anti-Christians — but in all that time they had not killed one single bishop, and in fact not even put one single bishop in jail! Here now the holy crusaders for Christianity had shot a bishop. Even then the story came out only as a sort of compliment to the Russians, headlined: “Reds Punish Men Who Killed Bishop.” Recently in Rome Cardinal Mindszenty explained that:

. . . Bishop William Apor of Győr, and 53 priests were killed by the Russians . . . The Bishop was killed when he tried to protect a group of women and children who had taken refuge in his palace.” (*Tablet*, March 2, 1946).

Last summer the KAP News Service carried a report by the Swiss Legation, therefore as reliable a source as is possible, in which we read:

“Beside looting, it is especially the raping of women which has caused the most suffering to the Hungarian population. These violations were so general (from the age of 10 up to 70 years) that there are practically few women in Hungary who could escape this fate. Acts of incredible brutality have been registered and many women prefer to commit suicide in order to escape monstrosities. Even now, when order is more or less re-established, Russian soldiers will watch houses where women live and they will return there at night to take them away.” (*Tablet*, July 28, 1945).

A FATE WORSE THAN DEATH IS WHAT AMERICA BROUGHT EUROPE'S WOMEN

These things should make any decent American sick to death. Christian Europe had never before experienced such far-flung violation of its women. When in 1683, the Mohammedans threatened such a fate to European women at the gates of Vienna, Christian Europe rose horrified to prevent such a mass atrocity.

It was left for America, not only to bomb Monte Cassino and Rome, to wreck more churches than any vandals have ever wrecked, to blast a whole city of women and children merely to try out a new weapon, but also to apply to a whole Christian people *the policy of unconditional surrender in such a way as to cause them to be helplessly looted and raped by the most viciously totalitarian hordes of all time* — and to invite these mass violators of women as sanctified fellow-persecutors of what now emerges as the most decent army of World War II.

In fact, as a reward it would seem for their mass looting and raping all over Central Europe, our other partner, Britain, through its Foreign Secretary Bevin as late as March 16, 1946, offered the Russian looters an “extension of the Anglo-Soviet treaty to 50 years.” Think of that! If the mass violations of women is nauseating, supporting the violators with military alliances should make even the beasts of the jungle cry “shame.”

Truly, the self-appointed re-educators of the Germans are having a wonderful time — growing more and more foul!

WHY DON'T WE PROTEST THIS HARSH-PEACE DEBAUCHERY OF WOMEN?

The apostolic "Four Freedoms" interventionists have brought Christian women the foulest mass tragedy in history. The Pope, the German bishops, two or three bishops here and in Britain, the Quakers, a few clergymen, scholars and socialists protest in agony — but the self-righteous re-educators of Germany leeringly cry for more harsh peace, and work unctuously to bring Spain's Christian women to the same debauchery!

If we let them, they will finally bring our own to it!

THE BIG THREE DEPORTATION CRIME

Looting and Deporting Twelve Million Germans and Sudetens*

POPE SAYS VICTORS MUST NOT DO WHAT THEY DENOUNCE IN THE VANQUISHED

Dear Sir: Regarding the present war criminal proceedings, the Holy Father in his Christmas Eve allocution said pointedly,

Anyone, then, who exacts the expiation of crime through the just punishment of criminals because of their misdeeds should take good care not to do himself what he denounces in others as misdeeds or crimes.

OUTRAGING GERMAN WOMEN WORST UNOFFICIAL ATROCITY OF THE VICTORS

The most shocking mass atrocity in the history of Europe, is, of course, the violation of an estimated million German, Austrian, and Hungarian women, including nuns, by the Russians, which moved the Holy Father to lament:

The base injuries and misadventures which German women and girls have had to suffer.

But while this mass orgy against Christian women was committed without American protest, it did not have official American endorsement.

ALLIED EXPULSION OF MILLIONS OF GERMANS THE MOST ENORMOUS CRIME IN ALL HISTORY

To all humane persons the most abominable *official*, planned and approved Big Three atrocity has been *the brutal expulsion and utter robbery of twelve million people, fathers, mothers, children, from Eastern Germany and the Sudetenland*. As to vastness of scale, this makes all other recorded atrocities in the whole of human history look like minor league stuff. The previous world-shaking mass exodus, that of the Jews from Egypt under Moses, was "about six hundred thousand men on foot beside children" (*Exodus*, 12:38).

*First published as a letter to the *Brooklyn Tablet*, May 25, 1946.

IF THE NAZIS HAS EXPELLED ALL BELGIANS FROM BELGIUM (WHICH THEY DIDN'T!)

To see how enormous a crime our expulsion of twelve million Germans and Sudetens is, let us mark how it would have looked to us if the Nazis had done something as big. *If the Nazis, when they occupied Norway (2,937,553 people) had under pain of death ordered every last Norwegian, 2,937,00 of them, and every last Belgian, 8,386,553 of them, to get out of their country immediately, on foot, and to leave their homes, cattle, furniture, even their jewels and personal valuables behind as loot for the invaders, then the Nazis would have committed a large scale atrocity not quite as vast as that which the Big Three ordered at Potsdam and have since executed!*

BIG THREE KNOW THAT EXPULSIONISTS DESERVE THE DEATH PENALTY

When the Allied leaders ordered such a *tragedy on a prodigious scale*, as Churchill¹ has since called it, some people might like to argue that these leaders, having perhaps been deprived of catechism training in their youth, did not know any better! But an AP Dispatch, dated Nuremberg, Jan. 16, shows that they know very well that the expulsion of peoples is a war crime deserving of the death penalty.

THE HOLY BIG THREE PROSECUTORS WANT TO HANG A NAZI WHO DEPORTED 60,000 JEWS FROM VIENNA

At Nuremberg, an American assistant prosecutor asked for the death penalty against Baldur von Schirach, leader of Hitler's youth movement, "for deportation to Poland of 60,000 Vienna Jews." The American prosecutor charged that "as Nazi district leader of Vienna he had driven 'tens of thousands upon tens of thousands of Jews into the Ghetto of the East.'"

WHEN WILL THESE BIG THREE PROSECUTORS HANG THEMSELVES FOR DEPORTING 12,000,000 CHRISTIANS

Now what is to be done to the American, British, Russian statesmen who deported not merely tens and tens of thousands of peoples, but *twelve millions of them — utterly robbed, starved, and drove them from their*

1. In Missouri, March 5, 1946, he said, "the Russian-dominated Polish government has been encouraged to make enormous and wrongful inroads upon Germany, and mass expulsions of millions of Germans on a scale grievous and undreamed of are now taking place." Previously, British Foreign Secretary Ernest Bevin, after seeing what the Allies were doing in Germany to "some 15 million German 'displaced persons,' " pillaged and driven from their homelands, exclaimed in Parliament, "I felt, my God, that is the price of man's stupidity . . . It was the most awful sight" (*Time*, Nov. 5, 1945). But so far Mr. Stalin, and Mr. Morgenthau, and Mr. Truman have not expressed any awe about it!

lands? Will these enormous war criminals be brought to book on this earth — or will their case wait until the Last Judgment?

THE CURSE OF ANGLO-AMERICAN POWER POLITICS

Vicious Ethics, Not Too Much Ethics, Caused American Diplomacy To Fail*

This title first appeared as an article in *The Voice of the Federation*, August 15, 1952. It met with such favorable response as to induce the author to publish it as a pamphlet. It had already appeared in a German translation made by Dr. E. J. Reichenberger (Kolping House, 811 Oakdale Ave., Chicago, IL) in many German-language papers, such as *Nord-Amerika* (Sept. 4, 1952), *Nord-Dakota Herald* Aug. 29, 1952), St. Louis *Wochenschrift* (Sept. 11, 1952), *Der Nordwesten* (Manitoba, Canada, Sept. 10, 1952), *Der Courier* (Regina, Canada, Sept. 17, 1952), with others still to follow.

The article was a by-product of my largely very favorable review in *Magnificat* (Nov., 1951) of George F. Kennan's *American Diplomacy 1900-1950* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1951, 154 pp.). Mr. Kennan is now ambassador to Moscow. My review began:

This is by far the wisest and most honest book so far produced by anyone who, as a member of this government, has had any part in fashioning the disastrous foreign policies of the last ten years.

For example, refuting the sole-guilt clause levelled at Germany at Versailles, Kennan writes:

If you tried to compute the various degrees of guilt, you got a rather fuzzy pattern; the Austrians and the Russians no doubt in first place, the Germans with less but certainly with a goodly share, and no one with none at all. (p. 58)

Another honest and wise paragraph that should be painted on every flagpole is Kennan's summary of "the total result of these two world wars in Europe . . . fought at the price . . . of the destruction of the balance of forces on the Continent—at the price of rendering western Europe dangerously, perhaps fatefully, vulnerable to Soviet power" (p. 55). With rare honesty and insight this former "director of the Policy Planning Staff of the State Department" writes of the world wars into which our interventionists and power politicians tricked the American people:

*First published as an article in *The Voice of Federation*, August 15, 1952.

Both wars were fought, really, with a view to changing Germany: to correcting her behavior, to making the Germans something different from what they were. Yet, today, if one were offered the chance of having back again the Germany of 1913 —a Germany run by conservative but relatively moderate people, no Nazis and no Communists, a vigorous Germany, united and unoccupied, full of energy and confidence, able to play a part again in the balancing-off of Russian power in Europe—well . . . it wouldn't sound so bad, in comparison with our problems of today . . . we can only assume, some great miscalculations must have been made somewhere . . . (p. 56).

Miscalculations indeed! This American intervention against Germany was the greatest imaginable disaster for the human race. Instead of making the world safe for democracy or promoting the Four Freedoms, it delivered a million Christian women to Bolshevik rape, and betrayed 800,000,000 people into Soviet tyranny, and brought this great nation, according to no less a man than General Dwight Eisenhower in his speech before the American Legion in New York, August 25, 1952, "in greater peril than at any time in our history." And even now the power-political, hypocritical Western peace dictators do not declare for "a vigorous Germany, united and unoccupied!"

And Kennan who wrote this honest and wise paragraph yet suffers from the grotesque American holier-than-thou-ism. He attributed to American innocence and virtue in a wicked world the crying tragedy American intervention and peace dictation produced! He sighs that our "legalistic-moralistic approach to international problems," (p. 95) caused our failure. And this blinded soul, while talking of American morality versus expediency, in one sentence makes himself the rankest pro-British power politician! He says:

And if you finally had to intervene to save the British from final defeat (which I am quite prepared to accept as a valid ground for intervention), then you could have gone in frankly . . . (p. 71).

In short, America was to help Britain not only when she was right and Germany wrong, but right or wrong! And this is precisely what America did—it intervened against Germany for Britain when Britain was wrong (as in the case of Danzig), and the wages of this sin is that now Britain and the United States quail in the shadow of the Soviet rapists, plunderers, and slavers!

The article enumerated some of the immoralities that brought Britain and our unhappy Wilsonian and Rooseveltian America to this tragic pass. May the American people at long last ballot morality once again into our administration and replace a hypocritical foreign policy with an ethical one!

VICIOUS ETHICS, NOT TOO MUCH ETHICS, CAUSED AMERICAN DIPLOMACY TO FAIL

In his generally excellent book, *American Diplomacy, 1900-1950*, the former State Department counselor, George F. Kennan, complains that our

diplomacy had failed because of our "legalistic-moralistic approach to international problems" (p. 95). In other words, after our intervention in two European wars, we have less security than before, because in a wicked world, our American statesmen were too pure, legal, and moral! An echo of this flattering assumption seems to run through American journalism. Even Dorothy Thompson, one of the most honorable and clear-headed of pre-Pearl-Harbor interventionists, speculating how our "crusades" to "make the world safe for democracy," and to establish the "Four Freedoms," backfired into the mess we are in now, sighs: "... human life is essentially tragic. The essence of tragedy is that man, seeking the good, accomplishes evil" (*Washington Star*, March 22, 1948).

One feels like exclaiming, "Indeed! How cruel of God that whenever we Americans feel the 'call' to rush over to Europe to kill a lot of Germans, He should always during our bloodfest allow our Fourteen Points and Atlantic Charters to be travestied into Versailles treaties and Morgenthau plans! Indeed."

It is of the utmost importance that Americans pull off the rose-colored glasses regarding their innocence! Our "crusades" went wrong, not because we wanted to do good, but because we could not have got into them at all if our diplomats had been honest and just. God did not tell America to get into European wars in order to promote the Four Freedoms, but He did say, *Thou shalt not lie!* Our idealistic pronouncements, like the Atlantic Charter, went wrong, not because they were too noble, but because they were calculated swindles to trick our people into armed intervention, the purpose of which was not "legalistic-moralistic," but the dirty power-political one of destroying the Germans, not because they were wrong, but because they were strong.

INSTANCES OF OUR STATESMEN BEING, NOT TOO MORAL, BUT SHAMEFULLY IMMORAL

It is urgent that we the people realize that American diplomacy did not fail because it was too moral, but because it was hair-raisingly immoral. And we must realize that it was immoral because its secret motive was the power politics of keeping Britain on top in Europe and Asia at any price. We should recognize that our power-politicians naturally tried to fool the people about their gangster-like motives by building up their wars as crusades, by glossing over the sins of Britain and of our Allies, and by blowing up to huge proportions the sins of the Germans. But that is not morality, that is paganism, drenched with hypocrisy!

In the war with Spain in 1898, was it moral to accuse Spain falsely of blowing up the *Maine* (Kennan, p. 10) and using this slander as a provocation to attack Spain? Was it moral, professedly in retaliation for alleged Spanish cruelty in Cuba, to take the Philippines and in the following three years to slaughter more Filipinos for opposing our "liberation" than Spain had killed in three hundred years?

In World War I, was it moral, while professing neutrality, to ship munitions to Britain on the *Lusitania*, and when the Germans sank it, to use the sinking as a pretext for attacking Germany? Was it moral to spread such atrocity lies about the Germans as that they hacked off the hands of Belgian babies? Was it moral while having the Czar of Russia as an ally to represent the kaiser as a monster of Absolutism?

After the World War I Armistice, was it moral, when it was actually Serbia and Austria-Hungary which had started the shooting, to continue the hunger blockade until the Germans had signed the flagrantly false sole-guilt clause (p. 58)? Was it moral to promise the world and the vanquished a peace based on self-determination, then to use the principle to destroy Austria-Hungary, but in violation of it, to tear 250,000 Tyrolese from Austria, 300,000 Danzigers from Prussia, and to force over three million Slovaks and over three million Sudetens under the heel of the Czechs, to deny even a customs union to the Austrians and Germans, and to brush off with a sneer the Irish appeal to it for release from their century-old British bondage?

Were these things "legalistic-moralistic," or were they immorality compounded by hypocrisy? Were they idealism, or were they a power-political sacrifice of honor and justice in order to help Britain win the war and to keep her top-dog after the war?

As regards Japan and Korea, was it moral, while there was an Anglo-Japanese alliance, to assign Korea to Japan in 1905, and in 1919, while using the principle of self-determination to destroy Austria-Hungary, not to apply it to Korea but to confirm Japan in her possession of Korea? Was it consistent or moral, after the rupture of the Anglo-Japanese alliance, abruptly in 1945, again without a plebiscite, to rip Korea from Japan and to give half of it to Red Russia? Or was it rather shameless pro-British power-politics, for the sin of which the wages are proving death, unfortunately however not for the guilty power-politicians but for "our boys"?

In World War II, was it moral, while professing neutrality, to repair British warships in our ports, to depth bomb German submarines, to signal to the British the course of the *Bismarck*, to hand the British fifty reconditioned destroyers? Or was it an immoral abuse of the principle of neutrality in order, as Mr. Kennan justifies, "to save the British from final defeat" (p. 71)?

In fighting World War II, was it moral to lie that the Germans occupied Monte Cassino in order to "moralize" the total Allied destruction of this ancient Christian shrine? Was it moral to shift from strategic to area bombing, aimed at homes and churches, women and children? Was it moral to bomb Dresden, the art city, at the end of the war, precisely when a half-million non-military refugees had fled into it to escape the rape of the Soviets? Was it moral, instead of accepting Japanese peace overtures, to drop the atom bombs on Hiroshima and on Nagasaki, incidentally the most Christian city in Japan?

After the German surrender, in World War II, when the principles of the Atlantic Charter should have been put into effect, was it moral to hold German prisoners of war as reparation slaves and even to barter them to the British and French for slavery purposes? Was it moral at Potsdam, not only to approve the theft of truly German territory, but to compound the crime by sanctioning the expulsion of millions of East and Sudeten and Danube Germans? Was it moral, while these expellees were shelterless in bombed-out West Germany, to blow up barracks, bunkers, and factories? Was it moral, in total disregard of the rights of private property and of natural and even international law, to dismantle hundreds of German factories and to ship them to the Soviets, who had raped a million German women?

As regards the self-determination pledged in the Fourteen Points and in the Atlantic Charter, was it moral without vote to place the German Saar under French domination, and instead of letting the Sudetens determine the status of their Sudetenland to order their expulsion? Was it moral, instead of letting the Austrians vote as to their relation to Germany, simply to order their separation and to drop a brass curtain between them so that for years even relatives on opposite sides of the border could not visit each other?

Were these repressive measures, and dozens of others a "legalistic-moralistic approach"? Or were they rather gangsterism legally, and war crimes morally?

PEACE-CRUSADERS SINNED BECAUSE THEY "FEARED THE MIGHT OF A UNITED GERMANY"!

But why did our peace-makers commit these crimes which lost the peace? Why did they substitute Morgenthauism for the Atlantic Charter? Was it because they preferred being war criminal in their approach, rather than "legalistic-moralistic"? Not at all. Except where they were motivated by a non-Christian, revenge-lusty and often pro-communistic minority, these peace-making crimes were the blood offerings on the altar of power politics, basically pro-British power politics.

While Roosevelt and Churchill were screaming about German guilt, as the peace-makers in 1918 had done, like two Macbeths smearing "the sleepy grooms with blood," they disowned the Atlantic Charter and ordered dismemberment for Germany, expulsions, dismantlings and reparation slavery. Was it to destroy Nazism? De-Nazification proceedings were supposed to do that! Was it to make Germany democratic? Since when is gangsterism a schoolmarm for democracy? Surely the peacemaking crimes could be supposed to achieve only the opposite, as they had done in 1919.

No, the motive was not to make Germany democratic, but to make it weak. It was to make it and to keep it weaker than Britain. Churchill, who often lets the cat out of the bag, and who also once said, "In war-time truth is so precious that she should always be attended by a bodyguard of lies," lets us in on the secret why, after having pledged not to transfer territories without the consent of the people, these self-acclaimed peace-crusaders

nevertheless dismembered Germany. He confesses:

We all feared the might of a united Germany. Prussia had a great history of her own. It would be possible, I thought, to make a stern but honorable peace with her, and at the same time to recreate in modern forms what had been in general outline the Austro-Hungarian Empire (Churchill, *The Second World War*, vol. 5, N.Y. Times, Oct. 20, 1951).

In other words, not so much the Russians but he, the part-father of the Atlantic Charter, wanted to dismember the German people. Because they were so wicked? No, because they were so strong. In fact, he was willing to treat the Prussians, always propagandized as the most wicked of the Germans, quite honorably—once they were isolated enough from the other Germans to be weaker than Britain! He goes on to explain that Roosevelt “proposed to split Germany into five parts, while three sections, Kiel and Hamburg, the Saar, and the Ruhr, were to be governed by the United Nations” (see *The Wanderer*, Oct. 25, 1951).

Now, was this Rooseveltian proposal moral? Or was it a war-criminal denial of self-determination? Was it not plain territorial theft? And why? Why precisely were Kiel and Hamburg, the Saar, and the Ruhr, to be stolen? Because their inhabitants were more wicked or less democratic than those of Berchtesgaden or Bamberg, or were perhaps even of doubtful German nationality? Or was it because they were thought essential elements in German strength? Obviously because they were elements in German strength!

Again, therefore, American diplomacy was based, not on the “legalistic-moralistic,” but on power politics, the black old pagan technique, not of treating a nation right, but of keeping it weak, by fair means if possible, by the foulest, if not! And such power politics, smoke-screened from the public by powerful vapors of hypocritical crusadism, had been the spring of American diplomacy—and its undoing.

Not too much morality, but no morality at all, a worse than Machiavellian power politics, camouflaged as morality, made shambles out of Europe and a Red satellite out of half of Asia!

EXCERPTS FROM A SPEECH DELIVERED BY MAJOR GENERAL CHARLES A. WILLOUGHBY

at the Steuben National Convention in Washington, D.C., 1956*

“ . . . And I know as uppermost in his [General MacArthur’s] mind throughout his campaign, sailors and airmen gave their lives without questioning the wisdom or folly of the statesmen who are the background of wars

Today we are paying the price of the follies of yesterday: 1956 is directly traceable to 1933 and further back to 1918. Reflections on those dates lead into the field of German-American relations, a subject in which you are vitally interested . . .

It is well that citizens of German descent of which the Steuben Society is a most representative cross-section, take an active interest in the clarification of modern history and in a study of comparative cause and effect . . .

Indeed you have a right to clarification, your people have contributed heavily to America in its pioneering phase when the going was rough . . .

Another great quality of Americans is their conscience, their ultimate integrity that survived two decades of “brain-washing” to demand a re-examination of historical facts in the interest of truth . . .

Napoleon I once said that ‘history is a collection of lies statesmen have agreed upon’ . . .

The most casual survey of European wars, from the fall of Napoleon I in 1815 to World War I in 1914 not only demonstrates the incorrigible warmaking tendencies of Western powers but denies the propaganda picture of Imperial Germany as a war-crazed monster. This is the record:

Great Britain	10 Wars
France	7 Wars
Russia	7 Wars
Germany	3 Wars

Responsible historians are in complete accord that the conditions for World War I were created by the “Entente Cordiale,” an aggressive combination of France, Britain and Russia openly directed against Germany.

*First published in 1956.

By 1917 the Entente was practically defeated and the United States intervened. The people did not want this war. Wilson was primarily elected on a slogan that "he kept us out of war."

All our present-day problems are traceable to that fatal intervention [1917].

The Versailles Peace conditions were notoriously punitive. The defeated nations nursed hatred and resentments—much as the Confederate South refused to forget Appotomattox.

Versailles of 1918 spawned World War II and set the pace for Teheran, Yalta and Potsdam and the doom of millions . . .

The beginning of World War II was much like 1917. The nation did not want war. Perhaps you remember a smooth fireside voice . . . 'I say it again and again, your boys are not going to be sent into any foreign war . . .'

We had no hand in starting it. England backed the Poles on the 'Polish Corridor,' a strip of land which was German for centuries . . . and handed to the Poles at Versailles!—However, in 1941, the British acquiesced in Stalin taking half of Eastern Poland—and without a murmur!

We knocked out Hitler—only to promote Stalin . . . We eliminated Nazism—only to reinforce a virulent Communism and a far greater menace."

**WHAT GENERAL WILLOUGHBY SAID IN 1956 IS TRUE TODAY
AND SHOULD NEVER BE FORGOTTEN.**

THE BOMBING ATROCITY OF DRESDEN*

DRESDEN BOMBING — WORST MASSACRE IN HISTORY

The nationally known magazine *Esquire* in November, 1963, carried the best article so far to appear on the Allied air war on civilians, *Apocalypse at Dresden* by R.H.S. Crossman, an Englishman, sub-titled his essay, "The long suppressed story of the worst massacre in the history of the world." He writes:

The devastation of Dresden in February, 1945, was one of those crimes against humanity whose authors would have been arraigned at Nuremberg if that Court had not been perverted.

When, because the Red Army was approaching only 60 miles away, a million refugees had swollen the population of Dresden, a totally non-military art city and hospital center, to 1,250,000, British and American bombers loosed three waves of bomb attacks within twelve hours and followed up with Mustang divers to snipe off any fleeing survivors. Out of 28,410 houses in the inner city, they left 24,866 destroyed, eleven square miles devastated, 35,000 recognizable corpses, and an estimated 100,000 unrecognizable ones! By contrast, Hiroshima's atom bomb casualties were 71,879.

WOMEN AND CHILDREN MASSACRED TO IMPRESS STALIN!

How did this Allied mass atrocity come to be perpetrated? Because Churchill wanted "a thunderclap of Anglo-American aerial annihilation so frightful" as to impress Stalin on the eve of the Yalta conference! On January 25, 1945, the order to wipe out Dresden was given, and the night designated for it was February 13, when on Shrove Tuesday a maximum of children in Carnival garb might be expected about! So at 10:09 p.m., while "gangs of little girls (were) wandering about in fancy dress," the British for 24 minutes created a fire storm over the city, ripping off roofs, sucking oxygen out of air-raid shelters, converting the inner city "into a sea of flames."

When by 1:22 a.m. some 10,000 wretched survivors had crowded into the Grosse Garten, the second wave of bombers deliberately directed their

*First published in *The Voice of the Federation*, December, 1963.

terror on this garden, and on the hospitals. "Of its nineteen hospitals, sixteen were badly damaged and three, including the main maternity clinic, totally destroyed." Heroic nurses managed to drag "thousands of crippled survivors . . . to the banks of the River Elbe, where they were laid in rows on the grass to await daylight."

THE CLIMAX OF BARBARISM— SNIPING DOWN WOUNDED CIVILIANS

But the Anglo-American "re-educators" of Germany had not enough sadism yet. At 11:30 a.m., 211 American Flying Fortresses blasted what was left of the city and parks so that for five days and nights the city burned. Yet even this third all-out massacre did not content the Churchill-Roosevelt-Morgenthau sadists. So that if possible not one single woman or child might drag themselves to safety, they sent in:

. . . scores of Mustang fighters diving low over the bodies huddled on the banks of the Elbe, as well as on the larger lawns of the Grosse Garten in order to shoot them up. Other Mustangs chose as their targets the serried crowd that blocked every road out of Dresden. No one knows how many women and children were actually killed by those dive-bombing attacks. But . . . they have become the symbol of Yankee sadism and brutality. (p. 151)

WHAT IS HONORABLE AMERICA DOING TO RECOVER ITS HONOR?

So much for the *Esquire* article, for which all decent Americans ought to be grateful. I myself know a survivor who was in a straggling group pursued by these Mustangs. Every few minutes they had to fall flat. One old man beside her reacted too slowly — and died of a low-diving sniper's bullet, just as mortally as President Kennedy died of Communist Oswald's shots. And of a deed that was every bit as much of a murder.

Those Allied leaders who ordered such sniping from low-diving Mustangs are war criminals! Those who obeyed such orders, the snipers in the fighter planes, are war criminals too, every bit as much so as Eichmann (who also obeyed orders)! It is high time that Britain, America, and Israel acted to bring these war criminals to judgement — and in the meanwhile be too ashamed to keep harping on Nazi crimes (for which the German people have atoned bitterly and tried to make restitutions)!

ANTI-SEMITISM, A PHONEY BOGEY

**Ideology, Not Race, Causes Trouble: Even the
Nazis Respected Christianized Jews*¹**

NO ONE THINKS OF GOLDWATER AS SEMITIC

When virtually no Gentile had been thinking of Barry Goldwater as Jewish, along came Max Lerner, "a Jew himself," according to *Time* (Nov. 22, 1963), columnist for the Jewish, leftist, Morgenthauistic *New York Post*, to exclaim:

I happen to believe that it isn't healthy either for the country or the Jews, to stay away from the subject of Goldwater's Jewishness.

One's first impulse is to gasp: Now that Goldwater had become popular, Lerner and the *Post* suddenly want to enroll him as a Jew! We are used to seeing Einstein and Marx referred to as "Germans" whenever their "Marxism" is deplored but as "Jews" when their genius is acclaimed!

But Max Lerner goes on to complain:

The irony of it is that Goldwater's following, which must have a large proportion of people who regard Jews as foreigners and perhaps as Communists, are quite ready to swallow his Jewishness and like it.

What an encouraging observation! It affirms that the type of conservative whom Lerner and the *Post* and the Anti-Defamation League are so prone to suspect of anti-Semitism seems not even to be *conscious* of "Jewishness" in someone who is as honorable and anti-communistic as Goldwater!

BOGEY OF ANTI-SEMITISM CAUSES U.S. TO LOSE COLD WAR

Max Lerner's observation can spell new hope for America and the Free World. For what is it that cripples America in the Cold War with the Soviets? What prevents an honest elimination from public influence and government posts of subversives and pro-Communists in the way real and even alleged pro-Nazis and Fascists were eliminated in Roosevelt's days?

* First published on December 15, 1963.

1. Although this pamphlet is a well-reasoned reaction against anti-Semitism, in fact considers opposition to Jews and Arabs merely because of their Semitic blood virtually unthinkable and wholly evil, its author is not Jewish but Christian. However, he has a record of sympathy for minorities, especially Jews, so much so that in March 1938 the President of the University of Scranton appointed him "to conduct a weekly religion class for Jewish students." (See *Aquinas*, March 11, 1938).

Who keeps agitating to destroy the House Un-American Activities Committee?

As everybody knows, but what no public figure dares to say for fear of being smear-terrorized as anti-Semitic, it is the soft-on-Communism policy of the people among whom Lerner wants to enroll Goldwater. While they affect an expedient sham anti-Communism, they thwart all aggressive anti-Communists as a potential threat to their own prestige and security. They go around protecting the likes of the Rosenbergs, Gerhard Eislers, Oppenheimers, Harry Dexter Whites and devising smear campaigns against the McCarrans, Nixons, McCarthys, and the Committee for Un-American Activities. They counsel appeasement of Red Russia, admission of Red China into the U.N., and co-existence with Castro's Red Cuba and Moscow's base upon it. Would they have counseled a similar appeasement of Nazi Germany? Would they not have burst all their propaganda blood vessels and cried for immediate military action had Hitler established a Nazi Cuba and from there pointed his V-1s and V-2s against Florida?

Why the double standard? Because Communist propaganda has overtly or sub-consciously convinced the generality of Jews that their interests and ideology have affinity with that of Communism and Soviet Russia — and that all true, active anti-Communists (and conservatives) tend to be anti-Semitic! Leftists keep frightening Jews into believing that they are disliked because of their race (which they cannot help).

BUT FEAR OF ANTI-SEMITISM IS UNJUSTIFIED

To the Leftist propaganda that the race, not the ideology, matters, Max Lerner makes the sour but significant contribution that somehow those nasty anti-communist and conservatives (whom Fellow Travelers itch to label anti-Semitic) do not even advert to Goldwater's Jewishness at all! He laments further: "To be sure, he is only a half-Jew by heredity and a converted Jew — an Episcopalian — by his practicing faith."

We take note! Here Lerner introduces a new factor. This Goldwater, whose Semitic blood the Conservatives, to Lerner's distress, ignore, happens to be a practicing, bona fide Christian! In other words, he is ideologically not Talmudic at all but has a true Christian's abhorrence of Bolshevism!

We ask pointedly: Can it be that the fear of anti-Semitism the Leftists keep whipping up among Jews is a fraud, a phoney bogey? That conservatives, anti-Communists, Christians are not anti-Semitic at all, are never against Jews because of their Semitic blood, as little as they are ever against Arabs because of *their* Semitic blood? That, for example, it is not an expediency but an intrinsic logic which causes the John Birch Society, so far the most effective anti-Communist effort in the country, explicitly and categorically to reject any and all anti-Semitism? That if there ever develops any tension between anti-Communists and Jews, it is because of ideology (which can be reformed), not race (which cannot be)?

But Max Lerner insists:

. . . the experience of Jews throughout history has been that even when they are only partly Jewish, and even if they or their parents are converted, the world thinks of them as Jews — and so does history. The case of the assimilated Jews in public life is a familiar one, and the question of whether or not he is also converted is not the crucial question.

This is a contention one would have expected from the popular image of Goebbels rather than from Max Lerner! But is it valid?

CONVERSION TO CHRISTIAN IDEOLOGY IS “THE CRUCIAL QUESTION”

Is it true as Lerner argues that the world tends to think of Jews honestly converted to Christianity as essentially Jewish? Does the world, for example, think of Jesus of Nazareth, of Joseph and Mary, of Saints Peter and Paul, of St. Stephen primarily as “Early Jews” or rather as “Early Christians”? In our own time, how many people think of David Lawrence of *U.S. News*, fair, conservative, anti-Communistic, as Jewish? Practically none. Similarly, the author of an excellent book entitled, *Czecho-Slovakia: A Critical Study* (Caxton, 1961) is half Jewish with a notably Jewish name, yet because he is Christian and anti-Communistic, so little would anyone think of him as Jewish that it seems unfair here to identify him by name. For, as Max Lerner observes of Goldwater, “But speak of him as a Jew, and both of you catch a whiff of possible anti-Semitism in the air.” Tragically, the vicious witch-hunting for alleged anti-Semites by Anti-Defamation Leaguers has made the word, as Lerner implies, too “dirty” to apply recklessly! As another instance, is it not true that ever since the politically prominent Wagner family of New York had become Christian (and coincidentally also so genuinely anti-Communistic that when Fellow-Travellers wanted for Communist Tito the same red-carpet treatment for him in New York they had secured for him in Washington, Mayor Wagner opposed it), fewer and fewer people think of them as Jewish?

In short, Max Lerner is wrong in asserting that “that question of whether or not” a Jew “is also converted [to Christianity] is not a crucial question.” It seems to be *the* crucial question — provided the conversion is sincere, instinct with true Christian ideology and an abhorrence of Communism, which is the antithesis of everything wholesome, decent, and Christian.

BUT WHAT ABOUT NAZI GERMANY?

However, sly Leftist propagandists, often themselves Jews, frantically trying to tie world Jewry to the Communist ideology, cry theatrically, “What about Hitler’s gassing of six million Jews? What did that have to do with ideology?” And honorable citizens, without requiring proof of the alleged gassing or of the shamelessly bloated statistics, echo uneasily: Yes, wasn’t Hitler’s trying to squeeze 600,000 Jews out of Germany pure

racialism? And his herding millions of them into concentration camps in World War II, liquidating many of them? What could that have had to do with ideology? Did those Communist-hating Nazis distinguish between Christian and Talmudic Jews?

Strange as it may seem, they very definitely did!

Prejudiced propagandists have consistently presented the Nazi transfers and alleged executions of European Jews as brute racialism, as the wanton lust to persecute Jews merely because they were Semites, an heredity they could not help.

But Eichmann, during his illegal trial, made the Nazi action at least understandable. He said that because as early as 1934 international Jewry had literally declared war on Germany, the Nazi government felt constrained to take measures against them as "potential enemies."² Ironically those were the identical words used by the Rooseveltians in 1942 to justify their herding the West Coast Japanese Americans, men, women and children, some 112,000 of them, into concentration camps. General J.L. DeWitt, of the West Coast Defense Command, on February 14, 1942, declared that "the Japanese race is an enemy race," that the West Coast Nisei constitute "over 112,000 potential enemies of Japanese extraction," and demanded their forcible removal to ten distant "relocation centers"—a euphemism for concentration camps," as *Time* puts it (Aug. 11, 1961). Earl Warren and California Governor Culbert Olson approving, Roosevelt on February 19, 1942, gave the orders to round up the 112,000 West Coast Japanese-Americans out of their homes into concentration camps and made Milton Eisenhower director of what *Time* calls "the sorry mass evacuation," without a charge, or trial, or a hearing! All these men, who

²Eichmann seems justified in holding on to the premise that World Jews had declared war on Germany. As early as January, 1934, before Nazis had persecuted, the Irgun terrorist Vladimir Jabotinsky, wrote:

The fight against Germany had been carried on for months by every Jewish community, conference, congress, trade organization, by every Jew in the world . . . We shall let loose a spiritual and material war of the whole world against Germany . . . Our Jewish interests . . . demand the complete destruction of Germany.

Jabotinsky did not except the 600,000 Jews who should have been pledged to loyal German citizenship. On Nov. 7, 1938, the Polish Jew Feibel Herschel Gruenspan cold-bloodedly assassinated the German diplomat Ernst vom Rath, ironically an anti-Nazi, in the German Embassy in Paris. Now that the U.S. has had the traumatic experience of a cold-blooded Communist assassination of our Chief Executive, the angry German reaction in 1938 is more understandable!

According to the *Toronto Evening Telegram* (February 26, 1940) Rabbi M. Perlzweig, head of the British Section of the World Jewish Congress, said in Canada: "The World Jewish Congress has been at war with Germany for seven years."

And the *London Jewish Chronicle* (May 8, 1942) stated: "We have been at war with him [Hitler] from the first day that he gained power."

These statements never exempted Central European Jews from these declarations of war, which would certainly explain Hitler's considering European Jews "potential enemies" every bit as much as Roosevelt's considering West Coast Japanese-Americans as "potential enemies" and putting them in concentration camps.

wanted war criminal treatment for Nazis who put Jews in concentration camps on the ground of being "potential enemies" of Germany, employed precisely that ideological argument, and no other, for persecuting the whole West Coast Japanese-American population. It is well here to point out that Eichmann was not accused of executing Jews, but merely of rounding them up as Milton Eisenhower rounded up the Nisei, for transfer to concentration camps!

NAZIS LESS TOTAL THAN ROOSEVELTIANS

But what one would least expect, the Nazis were less totalitarian than Roosevelt-Warren-DeWitt. Whereas the latter included absolutely all West Coast Americans of Japanese extraction, with total disregard of their religious affiliations, the Nazis gave preferential treatment to Jews converted to Christianity or married to a Christian. They in fact spared such converts entirely, including those converted during the war, until many such conversions were shown to be insincere and phony. We have the best Jewish authorities to substantiate this policy of trying to spare Jews ideologically Christian — both in Germany and in the occupied countries.

Considering the brainwashing propaganda of total Nazi racism, the facts derivable from such books as Philip Friedman's *Their Brothers' Keepers*, sub-titled, "The Christian Heroes and Heroines Who Helped the Oppressed Escape the Nazi Terror." (Crown Publishers, N.Y., 1957) are revealing. This book bristles with charges like Hitler's "avowed purpose of annihilating Jews" (p. 13), and "a people marked for extinction," "deliberate, systematic savagery," and "the liquidation of the Jewish population."

THOSE OF MIXED BLOOD OR MARRIED TO CHRISTIANS TENDED TO BE SPARED

Yet in such a book we learn that "a large number of Germans . . . displayed strong partisanship in favor of *Mischlinge* and members of mixed marriages." To cite only one instance:

When, on February 27, 1943, the 10,000 Jews remaining in Berlin were being deported, the Christian wives of those arrested, supporting German public opinion, were able to wring concessions from the Nazis, who released the men. The Nazis further yielded to the clamor of the populace, excepting Jewish "Mischlinge" and members of mixed marriages from the anti-Jewish laws. (p. 93)

Here we see where some Christian assimilation made Jews ideologically less likely to be "potential enemies" of Gentile Germany, even the Nazis ignored their race and weighed their likely *Weltanschauung*. There is no record that Roosevelt-Warren-DeWitt accorded such preferential treatment to Christianized or mixed-married Nisei on the West Coast! Referring to Berlin and presumably the Reich, Philip Friedman writes: "Eventually, after many church protests, the *Mischlinge* [half-Jews] were exempted from wearing the Star" (p. 36).

BUT WHAT THE NAZIS MOST RESPECTED WAS BAPTISM

Precisely contradicting Max Lerner's contention that conversion to Christianity is "not the crucial question," Friedman tells us that "priests and nuns of Jewish descent [were] exempted from wearing the badge in France," (p. 39). In Yugoslavia the Nazis "rescinded the order" for priests and nuns of Jewish descent to wear the Star of David (p. 41).

In general, all Jews who were baptized received exemptions and privileges such as no Sudetens or East Prussians or Silesians received for whatever cause when in 1945 Soviet-dominated Poles and Czechs expelled them. Friedman reports for Italy, the Nazi-dominated ally of Germany: "In view of the fact that baptized Jews were exempted from the Nazi-like decrees, 4,500 Jews embraced Christianity," (p. 73). It seems the Nazis so valued conversion that even when they could not have been blamed for being sceptical about its sincerity, they accorded it exemptions! In Hungary, Friedman tells us:

The government issued an order on July 12, 1944, allowing converts to found their own organization, Union of Baptized Jews. More than 70,000 persons received membership certificates in the Union during the initial week of its founding and thus were saved from deportation, at least temporarily. New converts streamed into its ranks by the thousands. (p. 86)

EVEN PHONEY CONVERSIONS WON SECURITY

Whereas, for example, all of California's 112,000 Japanese-Americans were clamped into concentration camps, in Bulgaria, according to *Their Brothers' Keepers*, "no more than 10 percent reached German concentration camps," (p. 105). The author explains:

One tactic that proved successful was the veritable epidemic of "mercy baptisms" during the German occupation. Another was mixed marriages; in the years 1938-41, approximately 10,000 mixed marriages were concluded between Christian Bulgarians and Jews trying to evade Nazi decrees. After 1941 conversions were administered on a mass scale with both parties tacitly agreeing that the convert could renounce his vow after the war.

As a consequence of such phony conversions, "several priests were censured and removed by the government for being too generous with their conversions," and not surprisingly, "A government order placed a deadline on conversions . . ."

But certainly with such data no one can say that conversions were not "a crucial matter" even with the Nazis. Obviously it was ideology that essentially motivated their treatment of Jews, not race! Incidentally, one recalls that it was similar phoney conversions, indicating that ideology mattered more than race, which precipitated the disaster of what is called the Spanish Inquisition! The *Denver Register* (July 20, 1958) explains that:

Torquemada faced the problem of ferreting out non-Christians (particularly Jews) who pretended to be Christians for their own personal gain.

The problem was analogous to that of Jews who pretend to be American but are crypto-Communists. But in the Spain of the Inquisition sincere Jewish converts were not molested. We read:

... by the end of 1492 — Torquemada had succeeded in evicting from Spain every Jew who was not a bona fide convert to Christianity.

Even Drew Pearson, who has a Jewish wife and is a notorious German-hater, unwittingly testifies that the Nazis respect Jews whose ideology was not Talmudic but Christian. Trying to praise Pope John for working "with the Joint Jewish Committee to get 15,000 Jews out of Nazi-occupied Romania," he states:

They could leave as Christians, but not as Jews. So overnight the Pope, then Archbishop Roncalli, made them Catholics. As such they were evacuated from Romania. (Column, "Pope a 'Rebel'," Dec. 5, 1959)

As a final proof that the Nazis were motivated not essentially by racism but by ideology, just as America executed the Rosenbergs not for race but for subversion, we again quote Philip Friedman: "In Lwow, after the Nazis occupied the city, not less than 4,000 Jews attempted to evade the German net by baptism . . ." (*Their Brothers' Keepers*, p. 125).

HITLER HIMSELF CITES IDEOLOGY, NOT RACE

This "veritable epidemic of 'mercy baptisms' during the German occupation" which Friedman instances (p. 105), tends to give credence to the claim of Hitler, himself possibly part Jewish racially, that he did not spurn Jews because of their blood but feared them because of their subversive Communist leanings. When the quantum theory physicist and Nobel prize winner Max Planck remonstrated with him, Hitler unequivocally declared:

I have nothing at all against the Jews themselves. But the Jews are all Communists, and these are my enemies — it is against these that I am fighting . . . all Jews stick together like burrs . . . It is up to the Jews themselves to draw a dividing line between the different kinds. But they have not done that, and therefore I must proceed uniformly against all Jews. (From "Max Planck and Adolf Hitler," *Bulletin of American Assn. of University Professors*, Autumn, 1956, p. 439)

As anyone who honestly traces the history of international Bolshevism since 1918 knows, Jews had an affinity with Communism and a sly sympathy for Soviet Russia long before the Nazis menaced them. The Nazis did not make Jews pro-Communist. It was the other way around: the Nazis were anti-Jewish because so many Jews during the Weimar Republic had been Communists. And when anyone tried to curb the Communist, subversive ones, most of the other Jews unwisely defended them, just as in America most Jews even rushed to the defense of the Rosenbergs!

That is the real and understandable, if insufficient reason why the Nazis treated non-Christian Jews as "potential enemies," as likely fifth-

columnists, as America would be tempted to do if the cold war with Soviet Russia became hot, and as the Rooseveltians, ironically the most civil righteous ones, with virtually no justification, did in fact treat the West Coast Japanese-Americans!

ANTI-COMMUNISM, ANTI-MORGENTHAUISM THE ANTIDOTES TO ANTI-SEMITISM

One thing history certainly shows, even the deplorable Nazi history, is that Jews are not really disliked for their race but feared because of their ideology, their Talmudism, their Communist leanings. For the Free World to win the Cold War against Communism it is most important to enlist the full cooperation of at least all Western Jews.

And Europe cannot be saved without Germany. What most prevents a spirit of justice for Germany and a completely solid cooperation is the Soviet-encouraged Jewish Morgenthauism against things German, which is in fact a Talmudic eye-for-an-eye-ism.

It is this Talmudic vengefulness as embodied in the Morgenthau policy which caused the peace to be lost, Germany to be divided, the Iron Curtain to be drawn down the middle of Europe. These disasters of the peace must be corrected — and will be either by moral pressure or by a Third World War. But moral pressure cannot be effectively applied unless the powerful Jewish publicity channels can be converted from their sly pro-communism and Talmudic vindictiveness towards Christian Central Europe to charity, justice, Godliness — the principles of the Atlantic Charter and Wilson's Fourteen Points!

Honorable Jews must come to realize that their Morgenthauism simply makes them catspaws of Soviet Russia, that those who fire their eye-for-an-eye-ism towards Germany are perverting them into becoming unwitting "potential enemies" of the Free, essentially Christian, World.

Forgiving one's former enemies is the supreme mark of Christianity; eye-for-an-eye-ism the worst tendency of Talmudism. It is what continues to spew forth in books, movies, and on television, hatred and slanders against the German people. And all this hate-propaganda, consciously or otherwise, directly aids and abets Soviet policy for Europe! It is also this eye-for-an-eye-ism which more than anything else throughout history had engendered what is falsely called anti-Semitism.

Let Jewish spokesmen become honestly fair and just in international matters, let them sincerely promote an Atlantic Charter peace in Europe and Asia, let them abhor Communism for the anti-Godliness that it is, then their "Jewishness" will soon be as little noted as Max Lerner complains Goldwater's is — and not at all resented.

The most loved and revered personages in the world are Jews, Jews converted to Christianity and Christian justice and charity — Jesus of Nazareth, Mary his Mother, St. Joseph, and all the apostles except Judas! The world does not really bother long with race — but with character, ideas, and culture!

OPEN LETTER TO PRESIDENT NIXON URGING AN ATLANTIC CHARTER PEACE FOR GERMANY A PRIORITY OBLIGATION*

This Open Letter was originally sent to President-Elect Richard Nixon on January 6, 1969, and copies of it to some forty governmental personages and a few publications. The response from many of these makes it seem advisable to publish the letter. It constitutes a blueprint of the kind of peace for the German people that was pledged in the Atlantic Charter—and that the law of God and man call for, and on which the right to hope for an avoidance of a third world war must be based.

It will not be the German people who will cause history to repeat itself, but the victors, who as a consequence of the gangster peace they made at Yalta, will fight over the vacuum, the Iron Curtain, the Berlin Wall, they left in the center of Europe. A third world war will come if the Red Frankenstein American Morgenthauism let loose over Christian Europe cannot soon be induced to pull back. This letter prescribes as the minimal American duty towards this end the loud and clear demand for a just peace for Germany: reunification; boundaries determined by self-determination; restitution of homes and homelands to the Oder-Neisse and Sudeten Expellees; the levelling of the Berlin Wall and the Iron Curtain. Only if our President and Congress say so and keep saying so can the Germans be expected to believe that we want a just peace for them—and will the Soviet Russians believe it. Only so will public opinion be aroused world wide to which even the Red tyranny will have to bow.

This Open Letter, therefore, is valid and pertinent until the victors are really ready to redeem their pledge of an Atlantic Charter peace for the German people. Therefore it is herewith published:

Dear Mr. President:

In a brilliant and wise campaign, Mr. Nixon, you have won the election and by the time this is published you will be our President, the chief of the most powerful nation in the world. In that campaign, many critical problems were treated—by all three parties—law and order at home, the Vietnam War, the gold crisis, the tension between the Free World and the Red bloc.

*This letter was addressed to President-elect Nixon on January 6, 1969.

But the top priority moral imperative for America, namely, the achievement of a just peace after World War II, the rectification of the ghastly injustices of the Yalta pact, the re-unification of Germany in the boundaries demanded by self-determination, these top moral priorities, all the candidates by-passed.

THE FESTERING INJUSTICE OF VERSAILLES FINALLY CAUSED WORLD WAR II

Twenty years had passed when the wrongs of Versailles, uncorrected at Danzig and the Corridor, led to World War II. Twenty-four years have now passed with not even an attempted correction of the far greater wrongs of Morgenthauism and Yaltaism—and the statesmen of the United States, the nation that alone made these wrongs possible and in part even prescribed them, do not raise their voice to right them, talk in fact as if they did not exist!

The Versailles peace treaty imposed by the Allies on the German people was so unjust that it predictably caused World War II. The Morgenthauistic Yalta and Potsdam pacts imposed on the German people in 1945 are so much more monstrously unjust that unless radically corrected soon they can well be expected to destroy the civilized world. The East-West tensions, the Cold War are all the direct consequences of America's Morgenthauistic encouragement of, or acquiescence in, the monstrous wrongs perpetrated on the German people at Yalta. These wrongs after World War II have not only blinded and bound like Samson the German people but have betrayed one-third of the world into Soviet Russian and Communistic tyranny—so that now not only all of Western Europe feels threatened but even this hemisphere.

LET THE WRONGS AFTER WORLD WAR II NOT LEAD TO WORLD WAR III

Mr. Nixon, as you assume the mightiest office in the world, your responsibility is enormous, and more is expected of you than any man can hope to accomplish. Many indeed expect of you what is in fact unethical, many what is problematic, and some what is clearly moral but seemingly impossible, and certainly impolitic, even indeed hateful to the forces of evil.

It is with the greatest reluctance that, bound in conscience, I urge such a one upon you. But time is running out: the wrongs of Yalta are crying for retribution—or for rectification. It will not be the Germans who will make history repeat itself, but the Red Frankenstein our Unconditional Surrender and Morgenthau Plan created. And so far Washington, namely, the U.S. government, and New York, namely, the mass media, have not done anything, nor shown any convincing signs of wanting to do anything to undo the betrayals of Yalta. History is well on the road to repeating itself in all its horror.

A JUST PEACE MUST HAVE TOP PRIORITY AFTER A WORLD WAR

Common sense, as well as ethics, declares that after a world war a just and fair peace settlement remains the top priority of what must be done. But twenty-four years after World War II, the unconditionally surrendered Germans have not yet been given a peace treaty at all and are still suffering the division and the expulsions committed under the impact of America's Morgenthau Plan!

In 1917 the U.S. promised the Germans and Austrians—and the world—a peace founded on self-determination and the Fourteen Points. But at Versailles, the U.S. invoked self-determination whenever it might amputate German or Austrian territory, never where it might benefit Germany. There the victors even flagrantly denied it. Alsace was given to France without a plebiscite. South Tyrol was torn from Austria and given to Italy in total violation of the Wilsonian principle of self-determination. Austria itself, pleading with the victors to self-determine itself into union with Germany, was ruthlessly denied this right.

THE MUNICH PACT—JUSTICE TOO BELATED

The hypocritical victors, Washington included, who moon about a *United Europe* and even *One World*, were too power-political to allow the morally imperative *One Germany*! The 3½ million Germans of the Sudetenland, for 700 years part of Austria, pleaded to remain a part of Austria and Germany, but were ruthlessly denied their self-determination and forced under the dominion of the Czechs. Nor did one important American statesman during the Weimar Republic clearly demand a rectification of this wrong. Only under the impetus of Hitler in the Munich Pact of 1938 was the right of self-determination finally achieved for the Sudeten Germans. But 1938 was late, very late, and it was achieved without America's morally obligatory help!

The Munich Pact was not appeasement, it was a long overdue righting of a gross denial by the Allies and the United States of the Sudeten German right of self-determination. But what a terrible pity that this rectification was not the result of America's sense of Justice instead of Hitler's!

THE INTOLERABLE WRONGS OF DANZIG AND THE CORRIDOR

War was by a hair avoided on the Sudeten wrong. But unfortunately not on the even more flagrant Danzig and Corridor wrongs. Flatly denying the right of self-determination to Danzig, 95% German, the victors had made it a Free City under Polish control, under the pretext that Poland must be given a port. At the very same time, the hypocritical Versailles peace-dictators tore Trieste from Austria, to deprive it of the only access to the sea it had had for centuries! Not only did they give Danzig to Poland but they tore a whole province out of Germany, whose majority was German, and without a plebiscite gave it to Poland. The corridor split Germany in two in

a way in which positively no self-respecting nation would allow itself to be rent asunder!

And it was here, at Danzig and the Corridor, that World War II predictably erupted. Only a scoundrel or a Communist will maintain that Germany was not totally justified in demanding self-determination for Danzig and at least a corridor through the Corridor! Nor can any nation, least of all the United States, or the Israel, England, and France of 1956 maintain that fighting to liberate stolen territory is more wrong than fighting to keep holding stolen territory!

IF AMERICA HAD CHAMPIONED JUSTICE FOR DANZIG!

But, Mr. Nixon, is it probable that Poland would have mobilized and fought to keep Danzig on the Corridor had the United States between 1919 and 1939 clearly and publically condemned the wrong there and demanded self-determination and restitution for Danzig and the Corridor?

Furthermore, had one American President in that era, had President Herbert Hoover, for example, the only one who had a sense of justice and who wanted it realized for the German people, generated the courage unequivocally to declare that by American standards of self-determination, Danzig should belong to Germany, is it probable that then during the crisis of 1939 President Roosevelt would have dared to urge the Poles and the British to risk a world war rather than allow the righting of a twenty-year old wrong?

A U.S. CALL FOR JUSTICE NOW MAY PREVENT WORLD WAR III

Mr. Nixon, what no U.S. President did between 1919 and 1939 with regard to the wrongs of Versailles, I implore you to do now with regard to the infinitely greater territorial atrocities of Yalta!

To have prevented World War II, the U.S. would not have had to do more than merely to enunciate unequivocally what was right. It needed only to say what is right. But neither the President, nor Congress, nor any cabinet member ever did so between 1919 and 1939!

But in 1945 far greater injustices were committed, the greatest territorial atrocities in Christian history, and continue in effect, and no U.S. President, nor the Congress, nor any Cabinet member has so far enunciated the truth and justice of the matter.

In short, history is repeating itself!

It appears, Mr. Nixon, if you do not speak up for rectification, you who better than any other U.S. President know the ethnic and geographic complexion of Europe, then history will repeat itself—very possibly to a nuclear end!

YALTA AND POTSDAM AGGRAVATED ALL THE WRONGS OF VERSAILLES

In 1941 the U.S. pledged itself to secure an Atlantic Charter peace. That

means a peace based on the self-determination of peoples involved. It also means, that, in as much as in 1919 the Allies had taken from Germany and Austria positively every foot of territory warranted by self-determination, plus Danzig, the Corridor, the Sudetenland, and South Tyrol, no more at all could justly be taken by Atlantic Charter standards, the only standards for civilized nations.

Then in 1944 revenge-minded or communistic political characters substituted the Morgenthau Plan for the Atlantic Charter. While this was the official American policy, the most monstrous violations of self-determination in the history of Europe, and considering the expulsions, in the history of the world, occurred.

All the injustices of Versailles were re-implemented—and unimaginable new ones added. South Tyrol was torn from Austria again and given to Italy. Austria itself, while the victors mooned about *One World*, was again torn from Germany—without a plebiscite. The hypocritical victors again would not tolerate *One Germany*!

THE GENOCIDIC EXPULSION OF THE SUDETENS COMPOUNDS OLD WRONG

But these re-implementations of Versailles, in violation of the U.S. ideal of self-determination, pale before the monster atrocity committed against the Sudeten Germans. Not only was their land—without a plebiscite—torn from Germany and given to the Czechs, but all 3½ million of them, who had cultivated and lived in the land for almost twice as long as whites have inhabited the U.S., were murderously driven out, totally robbed of their farms and homes and belongings, and beaten and decimated. A quarter of a million were done to death along the way. And all this was acquiesced in by the U.S. to permit the Czechs to circumvent the Wilsonian principle of self-determination! It means that the American idealism that converted Europe's war in 1917 into a world war and again in 1941 into the Second World War precipitated next the greatest and most brutal mass expulsion so far in history.

WE NEED A PRESIDENT WHO WILL CONDEMN THIS SUDETEN WRONG

But so far no American President had condemned this mass genocidic atrocity—nor insisted that justice requires the restitution of their homeland and their homes to the Sudeten Germans, along with their right of self-determination!

Mr. Nixon, this is what I am pleading with you to do. You may or may not have the courage to do so. But morally you do not have an option. It is your moral obligation to do so. America is co-responsible for this mass atrocity. America must publically demand that the wrong be righted. It is a moral imperative for America, and for you, Mr. Nixon, as our President.

When this barbarous mass expulsion was taking place, only one important American diplomat, as far as I know, tried valiantly to moderate

it, and to make it more humane, if he could not prevent it. He is the man, I am happy to recognize, whom you appointed your personal representative in foreign policy matters, Mr. Robert Murphy. It is this appointment, Mr. Nixon, which strengthened my conviction that you have not only the knowledge to demand justice but also the good will!

It is of the utmost importance that an American President emphatically and unequivocally declare that the expulsion of the Sudeten Germans compounded the injustice of enforced territorial transfer and may never be made a legal precedent by ratification. At present when the 3½ million expelled Sudeten Germans demand their homeland and self-determination, the Communists, and some Czechs, and the American Morgenthauists call them "revanchists." And these same ethical barbarians call any German or any American who demands this elementary justice a "neo-Nazi."

Such slanders could not long endure once the president of the United States gives them the lie! And the process of justice would be started.

EXPULSION COMPOUNDS THE 1919 WRONG TO DANZIG AND THE CORRIDOR

But quantitatively a more horrendous contravention of the American pledge of self-determination took place in Eastern Germany. Not only were the wrongs of Versailles, the robberies of Upper Silesia and West Prussia (the corridor) and Danzig re-affirmed, but this time all their German inhabitants, there since the Middle Ages, were expelled, totally robbed, and decimated on the way.

Even if this were the full extent of the atrocity, if only the lands stolen in 1919 had now been subjected to expulsion, it would cry to heaven for reversal. But it isn't. If all the inhabitants of the New England States had been expelled and totally robbed and ten percent of them murdered it would not equal the "tragedy on a prodigious scale," as Churchill in a moral moment called it, which was visited upon East Prussia, Pomerania, and Silesia. These Oder-Neisse lands, comprising one-fourth of Germany and some 44,000 square miles are so anciently and indisputably German that even the perpetrators of the Versailles Treaty had not questioned them.

THE MONSTER TERRITORIAL ATROCITY OF ALL TIME

In 1945, however, after twenty-five years of Wilsonianism and after Wilson's and Roosevelt's interventions to make the world safe for democracy and wars to end all war, their ten million anciently Germanic men, women, and children were uprooted, driven empty-handed like criminals from their homesteads into bombed-out, dismantled, amputated Germany. On the way their women were raped, and over two million men, women, and children done to death—the greatest single act of genocide in human history, worse in barbarity and in extent than what befell the Jews in Central Europe. And the wrong done to Jews was done during a total war, while fear of espionage, treason, bombings, and the Morgenthau Plan deranged people. The genocide expulsion of the Oder-Neisse Germans were

committed after the Germans had Unconditionally Surrendered, after the National Socialistic leaders were dead or on the dock at Nuremberg! The East and West Prussians, Pomeranians, and Silesians were driven from their homes and homelands, not because they had done or were accused of having done any wrong, but only because the Soviet Russians and Poles wanted their indisputably German lands. They, therefore, grotesquely compounded the crime of denying self-determination by not only transferring territory but totally confiscating the homes and personal property of all the inhabitants and brutally often to the point of murder, driving out the rightful owners.

Clearly, this is a monstrous perversion of our Wilsonian principle of self-determination. This expulsion of the inhabitants to take over their lands is virtually a new monstrosity in history. And the crying shame of it is that it was committed largely according to the Morgenthau Plan blueprint (which called for the expulsion of the German population from the Ruhr valley) and with the acquiescence, if not the blessings, of American Presidents at Yalta and Potsdam.

ODER-NEISSE EXPULSIONS A THREATENING PRECEDENT TO AMERICAN SOUTHWEST

This policy of expulsions to rob territories is also the most explosive and dangerous precedent for the future, for all the world, but also particularly for the United States. No part of the United States is as American as the Oder-Neisse lands are German. The whole American southwest, Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, California, could have their English-speaking populations expelled with far more plausibility, for they are relatively new American lands and still have a sizeable number of native Mexican inhabitants. The Oder-Neisse lands have been German since time immemorial and had no Russian or Polish inhabitants. Not even the vicious peace-dictators of Versailles questioned the totally German character of the Oder-Neisse territories.

But so far no American President, nor the Congress, nor cabinet members have formally denounced this genocidic grab for German territory, nor insisted that it must be rectified, and never ratified. None had declared that the nine million surviving Oder-Neisse Expellees must have their homes and homelands restored to them in freedom and self-determination.

SOME AMERICANS PRESSURE EXPELLEES INSTEAD OF THE GENOCIDIC PERPETRATORS

Shockingly, some American politicians and columnists, instead of urging the Soviet Russians and Poles to restore the stolen lands and homes to the expelled owners and to try to make amends for the 2,100,000 men, women, and children brutally done to death, are rather pressuring the West German government to ratify the Oder-Neisse boundaries and declare the victims forever dislodged from their ancient homelands. And they collaborate with

the Communists in calling the Expellees when they peacefully plead for justice "revanchists" and neo-Nazis!

Would such shockingly perverted, ethically warped Americans continue to urge ratification of the Oder-Neisse boundary, thus making the expulsion of native populations in order to circumvent the principle of self-determination an accepted legal precedent, if you, Mr. President, were clearly and forcefully to demand justice for the Oder-Neisse Expellees!

AMERICA MUST TESTIFY TO ROLLING BACK THE EXPULSIONS

What is important to keep history from repeating itself is not so much that America forthwith try to *enforce* justice but that America go unequivocally *on record* in favor of justice. Yet such a declaration is a minimal moral imperative for America. Unconditional Surrender, the Morgenthau Plan, and the Yalta pact make America co-responsible for the monstrous atrocity of the expulsions.

I beg you, therefore, Mr. President, consider righting those wrongs as America's most urgent responsibility. I beg you to rise to this top priority responsibility by calling for a roll back of the genocidal expulsions of the Oder-Neisse and Sudeten Germans, by calling for German reunification within the boundaries demanded by self-determination, by calling for a peace treaty with the German people in harmony with the pledges of the Atlantic Charter.

THE RIGHT PRESIDENTIAL WORDS NOW CAN AVERT HISTORY'S REPEATING ITSELF

If you speak up unequivocally for Atlantic Charter justice in Europe now, you may keep history from repeating itself. You will be doing the most practical thing to avert the dangers of a third world war with the Soviet Russians to whom the Rooseveltians at Yalta betrayed half of Germany and a third of the world! Even these Red totalitarians will begin to bow to the demands of elementary justice, if your clear call for it mobilizes all people of good will, even those within the Red orbit, to demand it from them.

I humbly beg you, Mr. Nixon, as you assume the most powerful office in the world, do not let the history of the two world wars repeat itself!

Sincerely and respectfully,

Austin J. App

RED GENOCIDE IN GERMAN VILLAGE

Reds Crucify Women, Rape and Kill Everybody in Nemmersdorf, East Prussia*

When U.S. soldiers destroyed the partisan hideout of My Lai, and allegedly killed 109 Vietnam civilians, Communists from Moscow to Peking—also New York—wailed about American brutality. When further one Vietnamese girl was found to have been raped by a G.I., *one*, not *100,000* as were raped by the Red barbarians in Berlin (see *Time*, April 1, 1966), they professed numb shock and blind rage.

However, these Communists and Morgenthauists—and their fellow-traveling liberals—never yet have expressed shock, nor denounced as crimes against humanity the bestial mass raping the Soviet Russians committed in 1945 in Christian Germany. They have not demanded war-crimes trials for Stalin, Ilya Ehrenburg, and Marshal Zhukov, who all but ordered the rape of German women.

ILYA EHRENBURG'S FRENZIED RAPE-DIRECTIVE

Ilya Ehrenburg, Stalin's propaganda minister of racial and ideological affinity with Theodore N. Kaufman (sterilize all Germans) and Henry Morgenthau, Jr. (starve Germans to death in a goat pasture), kept inflaming the lust and rapine of the Red Army with directives such as this:

Kill. In Germany, nothing is guiltless. Neither the living nor the yet unborn.
... Crush forever in its den the fascist beast. Violently break the racial pride
of the German women. Ravish them as booty. Kill, you gallant Red soldiers!

Thus the Stalin-Ehrenburg genocidists, who had already killed cold-bloodedly 11,000 commissioned Polish prisoners-of-war, ordered the hot-blooded rape of German women and children and the murder of husbands, fathers, clergymen who tried to protect them.

THE RED HYPOCRISY ABOUT MY LAI

And when in My Lai 109 pro-partisan civilians were killed and *one* women raped, *Izvestia* screamed, "Barbarism," and, according to *Time* (Dec. 12, 1969) "24 Soviet intellectuals, including Composer Dmitri Shostakovich and Nobel Physicist Nikolai Semenov" in "singularly shrill"

*This article was first published on April 15, 1970.

tones, screeched: "The U.S. military followed in the tracks of the Nazi criminals."

The hypocrites! The fortunate truth is that neither the American nor the German military followed in the tracks of the Soviet-Russian military! Compared to these looting, raping, murdering barbarians, both the Americans and the Germans were and are gentlemen. To compare My Lai or the Fall of Paris with Katyn or the Rape of Berlin is perversion—it is the sort of dishonesty which only Satan, Bolsheviks, and American liberals are capable of!

The Russian armies were not always thus. Under the Christian, if autocratic Czars, from the Napoleonic war to World War I, they could in chivalry and decency compare favorably with the Austrian, French, Prussian, and British armies. But under their twenty-five years of atheistic Communism they were perverted into the most bestial mass rapists in European history. That is the advance to barbarism Communism everywhere—whether in Russia or China—generates!

WHEN THE RED BARBARIANS FIRST HIT GERMAN SOIL

Here is how the first contingent to reach a German Village carried out Ilya Ehrenburg's directive.¹

In October, 1944, Soviet-Russian troops for the first time were able to push into German Territory—the village of Nemmersdorf in East Prussia. Their atrocities were a grim sample of the terror and brutality that awaited Eastern Germany at the hands of Roosevelt's atheistic lend-lease pals.

Actually in Nemmersdorf, the Red genocidists killed everybody, just as at Katyn, so as not to be embarrassed by surviving eyewitnesses. Even when they raped, they ended by killing. We know what happened in Nemmersdorf only because the German army drove the Reds out again before the dead and mutilated civilians had been removed. The eyewitnesses we have are after the event, they tell us what they found after Ehrenburg's "gallant heroes" had come and gone.

One such testified on July 5, 1946, before an American tribunal in Neu-Ulm. He was the former chief of staff of the East Prussian Fourth Army, Major General Dethleffsen. He said:

When in October, 1944, Russian units temporarily entered Nemmersdorf, they tortured the civilians, specifically they nailed them to barn doors, and then shot them. A large number of women were raped and then shot. During this massacre, the Russian soldiers also shot some fifty French prisoners of war. Within forty-eight hours the Germans re-occupied the area.

1. This account of the Soviet-Russian invasion of the first German village is based on, and largely translated from, the three-page article, entitled, "Nemmersdorf: Ein Dorf wurde ausgerottet—Berichte aus amtlichen Archiven" (A Village Exterminated—As Reported in Official Archives), published by the Pressdienst der Landsmannschaft Ostpreussen (January 22, 1970). Quotations and facts are from the Pressdienst, but editorial comment is by Dr. App.

TANKS WALTZ CIVILIANS DOWN, SOLDIERS BLUDGEON AND KILL THEM ALL

Another, a first lieutenant of the reserves, Dr. H. Amberger, chief of a counter-attacking parachute company, declared under oath:

The rumors regarding Russian massacre of civilians I saw fully confirmed. On the road through Nemmersdorf, near the bridge over the brook Angerapp, I saw where a whole trek of refugees had simply been rolled over by Russian tanks; not only the wagons and teams, but a goodly number of civilians, mostly women and children had been squashed flat by the tanks. At the edge of the road and in the farm yards lay quantities of corpses of civilians who apparently had not been killed in battle but murdered systematically.

At the edge of a street and old woman sat hunched up, killed by a bullet in the neck. Near her lay a baby, killed by a shot at close range through the forehead. A number of men, with no other marks of fatal wounds, had been killed by blows in the face with shovels or gun butts; their faces were completely bashed in. At least one was nailed to a barn door.

Not only in Nemmersdorf itself but also in the nearby places between Angerapp and Rominten, when they were cleared by German counter-attacks, similar cases were noted [of people nailed to doors]. Neither in Nemmersdorf nor in the other villages did I find a single living German civilian, despite the fact that the Russian invasion was so much of a surprise that no appreciable number of civilians could have fled . . .

WOMEN CRUCIFIED NAKED; BABIES BASHED

From Koenigsberg, the chief of the reserve was sent with his company to Nemmersdorf for clean-up operations. His report is in the official archives:

In the farmyard near the Gasthaus Weisser Krug stood a rackwagon. To it, in a cruciform position, were four naked women nailed through their hands. Behind this inn, toward Gumbinnen, is a square with a monument to the Unknown Soldier. Beyond it is another large inn, Roter Krug. Near it, parallel with the road, was a hay barn. To each of its two doors a woman was nailed through the hands, dead, in a crucified posture.

Then in the dwellings we found seventy-two women, including children, and one old man, 74, all dead, nearly all murdered in a bestial manner, except only for a few who had bullet holes in their necks. Some children in diapers had their heads bashed in. In one room we found a woman, over 80, sitting on a sofa, half of whose head had been sheared off with an ax or a spade.

MEDICAL COMMISSION FINDS EVERY WOMAN RAPED; AND GIRLS EIGHT TO TWELVE

The reserve officer from Koenigsberg continues his awesome report:

We carried the corpses to the cemetery where they lay three days to await a foreign medical commission. In the meantime, a nurse from Insterburg came, a native of Nemmersdorf, who looked for her parents. Among the corpses were her mother, 72, and her father, 74, the only man among the dead. She also established that all the dead were from Nemmersdorf.

On the fourth day the bodies were buried in two graves. Only on the following day did the medical commission arrive, and the tombs had to be re-

opened. Barn doors were set on blocks on which to lay the bodies so that the commission could examine them. This foreign commission unanimously established that all the women, as well as the girls from eight to twelve years, had been raped. Not even the woman 84-years-old had been spared.

After the examination by the commission, the bodies were again buried.

THE FRIGHTFUL LEGACY OF UNCONDITIONAL SURRENDER AND MORGENTHAUISM

This is how Ilya Ehrenburg's "crusaders" carried on when they got into Christian Germany! They did not just shoot civilians down, as were reported to have been done at My Lai. No, they beat them and then shot them, they clubbed them, and axed them. Not only that, they stripped women naked, then nailed them, literally, alive, through the hands to barn doors! And they raped all the women, till they were dead. And not only women, little girls eight years old! All of them! That is the sort of beasts or Rooseveltian Unconditional Surrenderists, our Morgenthauists, lend-leased into Christian Germany.

Not only that. They did not want the war to end until the Red barbarians had a crack at a maximum of German women and girls. They required of Eisenhower that they absolutely not accept any German surrender that might protect the German population from the Soviet Russians. They rather prolonged the war by six months and had thousands of Americans killed. And more than that, they required Eisenhower to order General Patton and our men by no means to deprive the Ilya Ehrenburg beasts of getting into Berlin first—so the Marshal Zhukov could give "full liberty for the Red Army to do as it pleased . . ."

MAY GOD HOLD THE MORGENTHAUISTS, NOT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE RESPONSIBLE

May the All Merciful God remember in his Wrath that it was not we, the American people, who wanted the German people castrated, that it was Roosevelt, and Morgenthau, and Theodore Kaufman! And it was not our soldiers who mass raped the German women, it was the Soviet-Russian hordes, commanded by Atheist Stalin, and incited to rape by his propaganda minister Ilya Ehrenburg!

May the All-knowing King of Heaven and Earth recollect that it is the self-same Communists, leftists, and Morgenthauists, who keep harping on, and exaggerating German crimes, who now blow up the My Lai episode into a monster atrocity, but who passed over "in charity" the thirty times greater Hue atrocity of the Vietcong, and whose Morgenthauism and Unconditional Surrenderism gave the Soviet-Russians pretext and justification for the worst orgy of rape and genocide in European history.

May the All-just Father in heaven not blame us, the American people, but our betrayers and traducers, not General MacArthur, Charles Lindbergh, Senator Robert Taft, Herbert Hoover, Senator Joseph McCarthy, Father

Coughlin, but Harry Dexter White, Alger Hiss, Henry Morgenthau, Jr., Bernard Baruch, and Franklin Delano Roosevelt!

MASSACRE IN A CULVERT, NOT EVEN NUNS SPARED

When the spirit of the Morgenthau plan was implemented by Ilya Ehrenburg's Red hordes upon their first invasion of Germany, the result was total atrocity. The Captian of the German Reserves, Herminghaus, reported:

When the German troops reoccupied Nemmersdorf, a frightful scene greeted them. This for the first time showed the German people what everyone had to expect who fell into the hands of the Red Army. All the women surprised in the village, including several nuns, had been herded together, then abused and raped. They were cut up bestially, and stabbed to death or shot.

This surpassed in barbarism anything the German Wehrmacht had so far experienced in any of its far-flung battle areas. The Army immediately invited the neutral press. Reporters from Switzerland and Sweden, also Spaniards and Frenchmen, came to witness the frightful scene.

In one culvert, women with their children and also old men had hidden themselves. When the Red soldiers discovered them, they shot into them with machine guns, and then threw hand grenades on them. In Nemmersdorf 60, in the Schulzenwalde area 95 murdered victims were found.

HOW ONE GERMAN WOMAN SURVIVED

In the whole area, only one German survived, a woman, Frau Grimm of the Schroederhof, half a kilometer from Nemmersdorf. By what chance she did is itself proof of the total genocide the Ehrenburg soldiery was intent upon. Frau Grimm and her husband employed Polish workers, "Gastarbeiter." When the Reds came, they pulled her husband from his wagon and shot him—no charge, no trial, just murdered him the way the Stalinists had shot 11,000 Polish officers at Katyn!

But Frau Grimm escaped. How? Her Polish employees threw their Polish shawls about her and before the Russians treated her as Polish like themselves. For twenty-four hours she remained thus disguised. Then with their help, she buried her husband in the garden—and fled westward, away from the Communist brutes Roosevelt was lend-leasing into Christian Europe.

THE TERRIBLE FATE OF THE ODER-NEISSE GERMANS

In that Soviet-Russian advance into Germany—all the way into Berlin, which Eisenhower insisted on letting the Soviets take, not us Americans—at least a million German women and girls were raped, thousands murdered. In Berlin alone, without the slightest protest from Crusader Eisenhower and his Morgenthau bosses, some 100,000 are estimated to have been raped. But the Red barbarians did not only loot and burn and rape. They also murdered, often in the most bestial ways.

From Eastern Germany, now called the Oder-Neisse lands, they drove out and totally robbed its 9,575,000 inhabitants, there since long before

Columbus discovered America. And they did not only drive out these native German peoples and rape their women, they also did 2,111,000 of them to death! And now these phony humanitarians who profess shock at My Lai want to annex those lands, do not even now want to return them to the surviving Expellees and rightful owners!

A FINAL PRAYER

May the Just and Almighty God not blame us, the American people, for these genocidal rapes, murders and expulsions. May He keep in mind that it was the atheistic Communists who committed these crimes against humanity, and that only a small clique of American Morgenthauists encouraged and applauded them. And may He note that the characters and power politicians who would ratify those rapes, murders and expulsions by rewarding the perpetrators with the Oder-Neisse lands are not true Americans, not Atlantic-Charter Americans, nor honest Christians, that they are rather thieves and murderers at heart, and traitors to the American ideals of self-determination and justice.

But may God and his crucified Son—crucified by the same ideologists who nailed the Christian women of Nemmersdorf to barn doors—find the American people as a whole not guilty, but worthy of His Beatitude:

“Blessed are they that hunger and thirst for justice, for they shall be satisfied.”

AMERICAN NUNCIO CARDINAL ALOISIUS MUENCH

A Book Review*

It should delight all members and friends of the Catholic Central Union that a fine biography has appeared of its most illustrious deceased member and benefactor, Cardinal Muench, Bishop of Fargo. Its author, Father Barry, president of St. John's University, Minnesota, recognized for his *The Catholic Church and German Americans* (1953), is ideally qualified to relate the life of this midwest, German-American priest and Cardinal. He did it unpretentiously, expertly, and superbly!

Perhaps never in history has the son of humble immigrants proved more of a providential blessing to the land of his forebears than Bishop Muench as apostolic visitor, military vicar delegate, and Papal Nuncio to vanquished, bombed-out, dismantled, dismembered, Morgenthauized Germany.

Aloisius Muench was born in Milwaukee in 1889, the oldest of eight children. His father Joseph was an immigrant cabinet-maker from the Sudetenland, his mother, Teresa Kraus Muench, had immigrated from the Palatinate, Bavaria. In the Muench home the language was more often German than English. This proved decisive for his career in post-war Germany: "The quality of Bishop Muench that drew first attention day by day was the quite obvious fact that he could speak German . . . his free and natural if somewhat nasal and Americanized accent brought instant smiles and expressions of gratitude from a beleaguered people." (p. 66) Barry adds that "not one of the top-ranking American officers in the Office of Military Government of the United States, OMGUS, could speak German."

The boy, destined to become a Cardinal, went to a parochial school, Saint Boniface, had a paper route 5:30 to 7:30 a.m., the German *Milwaukee Herald*, for \$8.00 a month, to supplement the family treasury, of which he got ten cents on Sunday, and managed to learn to swim and fish and play *Schafskopf* (cards). A religious home and wise teachers inspired a priestly vocation in him. He entered Saint Francis Seminary and was ordained in 1913. After several years as assistant pastor at St. Michael's parish,

*This review of *American Nuncio: Cardinal Aloisius Muench*, by Colman J. Barry, O.S.B., (Collegeville, NM: St. John's University Press), 1969, was first published in *Social Justice Review* (May, 1970).

Milwaukee, he was appointed assistant to the Newman Club at the University of Wisconsin and in two years earned an M.A. in economics. He was then sent to the University of Fribourg, Switzerland, from which in July, 1921, he was granted the Ph.D. in social sciences, *magna cum laude*.

Muench remained all his life both a priest and a scholar. In 1922 he returned to Saint Francis Seminary, as professor of sociology and dogma. He was also the moderator of the German literary society, The Albertus Magnus Society. In 1929 he became the rector. Then, in 1935, he was appointed Bishop of Fargo, from which base his great destiny began. Ever since ordination he had written scholarly and pastoral articles, many for the *Social Justice Review*. As bishop, he wrote annual Lenten pastorals, which were sizeable pamphlets. When Unconditional Surrender, the Morgenthau Plan, and the Teheran and Yalta conferences made another vindictive peace probable, worse even than that of Versailles, Bishop Muench like another John the Baptist warned against it. In *Pitfalls for Peace*, Lent, 1945, he pleaded for a generous peace, which "does not indict a whole people . . . will not dismember a nation . . . will not deport huge sections of populations," will not condemn millions to "forced labor." In *One World in Charity*, 1946, he charged that "official regulations of powerful governments were making the exercise of Christian charity impossible in Europe and Asia," (p. 77) refuted the "thesis that the German and Japanese people shared collective responsibility for the War," and condemned "the Morgenthau Plan and Bernard Baruch's attempt to make Germany a nation of goat-herders and foresters." (p. 78)

Only one who lived through those years of fanatical vindictiveness towards the Germans can appreciate the courage it took to want justice and charity for them, what smear-terrorism it provoked, how it endangered one's career and reputation. Bishop Muench's greatness is that he had this courage when virtually no one else in a high place had it. Almost certainly through the grace of God, these writings had reached the eyes of most of the few important fair-minded persons here and abroad but not yet the livers of the Morgenthauists, so that before the latter could destroy him the former had moved Pius XII to appoint him on June 27, 1946, Apostolic Visitor to Germany.

From then on, as he was elevated to the Apostolic Nunciature in 1949, to titular Archbishop in 1950, to papal Nuncio to Germany in 1951, and finally to Cardinal in 1959, until he died at 72 in Rome on February 15, 1963, he can be called the Reconstruction apostle of Germany. Happily, in contrast to the vindictiveness of much of the press and of the second-line "re-educators," several top diplomats like Robert D. Murphy and top military men like General Lucius D. Clay were sympathetic towards Bishop Muench's mission of justice and charity for the vanquished. The Vatican, of course, ever inspired and tried to implement the rehabilitation of Central Europe.

The chaos there when Bishop Muench arrived was heartrending and

catastrophic. Father Barry, in Chapter III, "Mission of Charity," (pp. 89-112) graphically portrays the starvation, the dismantling aggravating the destruction of the bombing, the flooding into rump Germany of fourteen million expellees from the Oder-Neisse and Sudeten lands. And then finally getting the Allied governments to permit relief shipment—and the following manna of CARE Packages. The German *Volksbote* (Munich, Feb. 21, 1959) gratefully reported that "in the first two years in office the Visitor organized 10,000 CARE packages—at that time more important than diplomatic routine." Father Barry gives credit lines to some of the donors, which includes the Catholic Central Union. This almost spontaneous collection and flow of parcels to the vanquished is the one evidence of Christianity in the otherwise stark record of man's inhumanity to man that characterized the Yalta and Potsdam peace!

But Bishop Muench did not let charity alone substitute for justice. He helped Senator William Langer and others to modify the immigration law so as to admit German expellees. He wanted to arouse public opinion in favor of the German soldiers held as slave laborers in Russia. He was concerned for the German soldiers unfairly convicted in the Malmedy Case and imprisoned in Landsberg. Even individual cases wrung his charitable heart; in a letter to this reviewer he hoped "at least for a commutation of sentence for Hans Schmidt . . . who had been condemned to death in the Dachau trial." (Sept. 27, 1949)

He quietly worked for the restoration of German sovereignty; urged consulates for Germany, diplomatic representation, and a foreign ministry. He used all his influence and diplomacy to get some of the collective guilt out of the insane de-Nazification program. Father Barry gives a good account of his efforts to get the U.S. to recognize the Reich-Vatican Concordat. The Military Government in Munich wanted to secularize the schools, extend the *Volksschule* (grammar school) from four to six years, and opposed the customary segregation after grade school of academic and science students from craft and trade students. Cardinal Faulhaber firmly and courageously resisted, and so did Bavarian Education Minister Dr. Alois Hundhammer. Faulhaber, who recognized this "re-education" as socialistic and in fact anti-Christian, "questioned the right of a victor power to impose cultural reforms on a vanquished nation unless it were indisputably shown that such reform was essential to democracy." (p. 124) Bishop Muench recognized the justness of Dr. Hundhammer's and Cardinal Faulhaber's resistance and was so visibly irritated with the breed of "re-educators" that he referred to some of them as "snakes in the grass." (p. 335, note 8) When as last "van Wagoner was replaced as land commissioner by George Shuster," he wrote, "our school troubles were settled." (p. 129)

But Bishop Muench's chief preoccupation was with the millions of uprooted, totally robbed East and Sudeten and Balkan expellees. He tried to make them welcome in desperately crowded, roofless and truncated

Germany, to facilitate the emigration of some, to get the others integrated into the economy, to build churches for them, and find and help their priests and ministers. He indefatigably made wearisome journeys to preach and administer to them. He must be given much credit for keeping up their spirit and their Faith.

His heart bled for them. He appealed everywhere for relief for them. But when he preached to them he pleaded with them to be patient with their heavy lot, to trust that God had not forsaken them, not to hate those who expelled them but to pray for them. They gratefully heard and were consoled by him, for they felt instinctively that he suffered with them and appreciated the frightful injustice wreaked on them—by victors who had mooned about self-determination. They knew that he had denounced the wrong to them more passionately in America than any other high dignitary, lay or religious, anywhere in the world:

The forced migration of millions of people is the greatest crime of this age. There is nothing in all history to equal it, except perhaps the fact that there is neither an outcry against these atrocities by the peoples of other nations nor any action by governments with power to take it. (*Catholic Action News*, Fargo, N.D., Nov., 1946)

For the heart to feel this way and the courage to say it—in those vicious anti-German days—Bishop Muench was revered not only by the German expellees, not only by the German people, but by all informed Christians and men of good will everywhere.

As time goes on, his name will only become more illustrious on its scroll. And Father Barry's biography is a worthy commemoration of him. One could wish that a great many people might read it, that all important libraries would stock it. Cardinal Muench was a great priest, a wonderful teacher, a fine scholar—and one of the greatest bishops and Cardinals America has been blessed with. Father Barry's biography is a mine of rich information in the text, as well as in the uniquely interesting 78 pages of documents and notes. And in addition, there are 127 reproductions of pictures, sensibly labeled, and arranged to illustrate each step in Bishop Muench's grand earthly pilgrimage—from his home in Milwaukee, to his death in Rome, and his burial in Fargo, North Dakota.

CAN CHRISTIANITY SURVIVE WHEN JEWS CONTROL THE MEDIA AND THE MONEY?*

The unique aspect of the 1972 election campaign was that the candidates of both major parties kept accusing the other of not doing enough for Israel. *Time* had an article, "Catering to the Jewish Vote" (Oct. 16, 1972). Buckley had one entitled, "Reaching for the Jewish Vote" (*The Star*, Oct. 10). The chief reason, according to *Time*, why politicians are willing even to sacrifice American interests to butter-up Israel is that Jews "are predominantly represented in the press and the academic profession." Buckley adds, "The Jewish vote . . . means for one thing, money."

It may be of value and interest to supply some figures as to the influence of Jews in the U.S. and to reflect whether America can retain or revive its Christian way of life when Jews control the media, the banks, and the professions. Most of the figures are taken, with permission, from Wilmot Robertson's *The Dispossessed Majority* (Howard Allen, 584 pp.). Dr. Revilo P. Oliver calls this "politically the most important book published in this country since 1939—perhaps since 1917."

JEWISH ANTI-CHRISTIAN, SOCIALISTIC AND COMMUNISTIC ACTIVITY

According to the *N.Y. Times* (July 6, 1962) the American Jewish Congress bitterly condemned the U.S. Post Office for issuing a stamp which contained a reproduction of Hans Memling's great Renaissance painting, "Madonna and Child."

The Wall Street Journal (July 6, 1962) reported that the *Jewish Advocate* in an editorial agreed with the amendment prohibiting prayer and suggested "it might logically be extended to bar the traditional display at Christmas of the nativity scene and other religious symbols at any public gathering or party."

On August 22, 1972, the Massachusetts State Board of Education "enacted anti-religious-observance guidelines," which prohibit "religious service of any kind . . . The display of religious objects or symbols . . . The presentation of religious music." In place of Christ at Christmas, there should be substituted "gift-giving, Santa Claus, and Rudolph the Red-

*This article was originally published in 1973.

Nosed Reindeer.” Rabbi Samuel J. Fox, president of the Massachusetts Council of Rabbis, said: “We should like them (the guidelines) adopted state wide. But Christian protesters asked: “Why simple Christian observance can’t be allowed in the schools . . . once Christmas has been outlawed, it’s very simple to ban all other holidays . . .”

(See “School Board Discourages Christmas Observances,” *The Wanderer*, Dec. 14, 1972. This news reveals Rabbinical power at high tide. In short, *Rabbis want Christ out of Christmas, but when Christ is out of Christmas Christianity is strangled in the country.* Must we—may we—tolerate this?)

Van den Haag, in *The Jewish Mystique* (p. 98) wrote, “The literate American mind had come in some measure to think Jewish, to respond Jewishly. It has been taught to . . .”

“Even as late as 1947 it was estimated that 39.3 percent of American Communist Party activists were Jewish,” 13 times more than the Jewish proportion of the population; . . . the proportion of Jews in the Party in the 1920’s and 1930’s was staggering” (*The Dispossessed Majority*, p. 348).

As of 1969, “American Jews composed ‘at least half of the active protestors among the New Left,’ and ‘the nonpopulist brand of radicalism is noisy, intellectual, ideological, and primarily Jewish.’ ” (See James Yaffe, *The American Jews*, p. 285, and p. 349.)

THE SMEAR-TACTIC OF DENOUNCING CRITICISM AS ANTI-SEMITIC

“ . . . any candid and effective writing about the Jewish problem . . . Any critical discussion of Jewish wealth—or for that matter any critical discussion of an aspect of Jewish power—immediately expose the author or speaker to charges of anti-Semitism” (*The Dispossessed Majority*, p. 179).

“ . . . anti-Semitism is the great heresy of modern times” so that “a person so accused is immediately subjected to such doses of social ostracism and economic attrition that any normal private life or successful public career is closed to him” (*Ibid.*, p. 179).

Many Jewish intellectuals who believe “passionately in the rights of free speech and peaceful assembly for all,” rejoice “when permits are refused for (alleged) anti-Semitic meetings and rocks crack against the skulls of (alleged) anti-Semitic speakers” (*Ibid.*, p. 180).

OVER-REPRESENTATION IN THE PROFESSIONS

In New York City “already half of the doctors are Jewish.” Of 14,000 applications a year to medical school “5000 to 7000 (are) from Jews” (*Ibid.*, p. 178).

Of the 313,000 lawyers in the country, “Nationwide, 20 percent of all lawyers are Jewish—in New York City, 60 percent. In the top law schools, Jews account now for almost a third of the entering class. At Harvard . . . almost half the faculty is Jewish . . . 25 percent of the nation’s law

professors are Harvard alumni" (*Ibid.*, p. 380).

"... in the realm of ideas Jews are just as firmly embedded as elsewhere," namely, leading economists, historians and political scientists, sociologists, jurists, anthropologists, psychiatrists, philosophers, the physical sciences (*Ibid.*, 170).

MONOPOLY OF THE DRAMATIC MEDIA

Jews have "commanding positions . . . in television, radio and motion picture industries," e.g., Columbia and National, and American Broadcasting Companies, and the Radio Corporation (*Ibid.*, p. 168).

In "television programming, most of the dramatic shows, 'specials' and documentaries are the brain children of Jewish production companies like Screen Gems and Talent Associates" (*Ibid.*, p. 168).

In Broadway, "there has been an almost fantastic over-representation of Jews" (*Ibid.*, p. 169). "Jews own almost all the theater houses, comprise most of the producers and almost half the directors, and furnish 50 percent of the audience and playwrights" (Robertson, p. 240).

"Hollywood from its inception had been overwhelmingly Jewish . . . the new breed is also largely Jewish" (*Ibid.*, p. 168).

CONTROL OF THE NEWS MEDIA

"Jewish over-representation is overwhelming . . . in the opinion-forming sanctuaries of American society" (Robertson, p. 168).

"The controlling interest" in the three newspapers "read and taken to heart by the powers that be," "the *New York Times*, the *Washington Post*, and the *St. Louis Post Dispatch*," "is held by Jews" (*Ibid.*).

"One single editorial in the *New York Times* carries more weight than the dispatches of twenty ambassadors" (Robertson, p. 443).

Newsweek, the *New York Post*, the *Philadelphia Inquirer*, the *San Francisco Chronicle* and many other such media are controlled or owned by Jews (*Ibid.*, p. 165).

"The list of magazines controlled by Jews is voluminous and includes *American Home*, *Commentary*, *Esquire*, *Ladies Home Journal*, *McCall's*, *Nation*, *New York Review of Books*, the *New Yorker*, and *U.S. News*" (*Ibid.*, pp. 165-66—of these *U.S. News* is fair enough to seem Christian).

"... practically all important American publications vie with each other in procuring the services of Jewish reporters," such as in our time, Walter Lippman, Max Lerner, Walter Winchell, Ann Landers, "Dear Abby," Herblock, Al Capp, etc., (*Ibid.*, p. 166). We ask, can the Christian American way of life be maintained or revived when such reporters dominate the media?

"American literary and political 'highbrow' magazines . . . are dominated by what may be called the Jewish cultural establishment." (See Van den Haag, *The Jewish Mystique*, p. 129, quoted in Robertson, p. 241). That is the Establishment that wants Christ out of Christmas: "The way, the truth, and the life."

JEWS DOMINATE BOOK PUBLISHING

“... the largest wholesale book firms ... are Jewish controlled”; “... the leading distributor of paperbacks, magazines and newspapers to news stands and drug stores is the near monopoly of Henry Garfinkle,” who is also “a close associate of Mafia figures,” (Robertson, p. 167).

“... practically all leading publishers vigorously promote works of Jewish authors and employ Jews in high editorial positions,” for example, Jeremiah Kaplan is currently president of Macmillan; Knopf and Random House, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Liverright, Viking, Simon and Schuster are Jewish firms (Robertson, p. 167).

As a result of media image-publishing, “when a Jew and a non-Jew have made similar accomplishments in a similar line of work, the former is likely to receive more attention and recognition than the latter,” e.g., physicist Max Planck was hardly known but Albert Einstein was publicized into “the object of the American public’s warmest esteem” (Robertson, p. 170).

JEWS PREDOMINATE IN EDUCATION

“Half of all the teachers in the public schools in New York are Jewish and the majority of directors and principals” (see “Die Juden in New York,” *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, June 24, 1972).

Harvard is dominated by Jews but also “15 to 20 percent of the faculty of other American universities are Jewish” (Robertson, p. 288). NOTE: the founder of Harvard said: “. . . the main end of his (a student’s) life and studies is, to know God and Jesus Christ which is eternal life . . .” Will a Jewish faculty so recognize Christ?

OVER-REPRESENTED IN THE GOVERNMENT

“... considering that Jews are less than 3 percent of the American population, the number of Jews in the inner circles of government is clearly out of proportion” (Robertson, p. 16).

President Nixon’s “chief foreign policy advisor, Henry Kissinger was born in Germany of Jewish parents”; the “Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board,” Arthur J. Burns, was “born in Austria of Jewish parents” (Robertson, p. 163).

Murray Chotiner, Jewish, may be “regarded as the key political figure in the White House” (Robertson, p. 163).

“... half the membership of the Peace Corps, perhaps the most liberal agency of the U.S. government, is Jewish” (James Yaffe, *The American Jews*, pp. 245-6).

JEWISH POWER IN BANKING AND BUSINESS

Though they were (in 1955) only 2.9 percent of the population, “more than 20 percent of the American millionaires were Jewish, and Jews accounted for 10 percent of the personal income of all Americans” (Robertson, p. 172).

Year by year, the "great Jewish banking houses and investment companies . . . Goldman, Sachs & Co., Kuhn, Loeb & Co., Lazard Freres, Lehman Brothers, Lehman Corporation, and others are pushing their financial control deeper and deeper into the nation's economy" (Robertson, p. 173). NOTE: It is these financial institutions that played a tragic part in promoting both world wars and instigating unjust and vindictive peace treaties afterwards!

The two largest distillers, "many of the largest textile companies, shoe firms, and the two leading tobacco companies are Jewish controlled" (Robertson, p. 174).

"The clothing industry, most of the nation's department and furniture stores and cosmetic firms are Jewish controlled" (Robertson, p. 174).

"Jewelry and precious stones are practically a Jewish monopoly" (Robertson, p. 174).

"Of 107 tax-exempt foundations," for example in Maryland, whose population is only three percent Jewish, over half, namely "fifty-seven were Jewish, almost all with specifically Jewish objectives" (Robertson, p. 368).

CONCLUDING COMMENT

These sample statistics revealing Jewish control and power explain why our politicians—for example in the election of 1971—talk more as if they represented Israel than the U.S., or as Bill Buckley suggested, as if Israel were our fifty-first state and our capital had moved from Washington to Tel Aviv!

But these statistics should also induce American religious, educational, and industrial leaders to reflect whether America can long remain the Christian country its founders envisioned—and which must remain the prime objective of our Christian people, for Christ is the way, the truth, and the life, if our press, our theaters, our journalists and teachers, our top level political advisers, and our most powerful financial institutions are predominantly Jewish! It would seem necessary that either Jews will Christianize, or Christians will re-assert their influence!

One should pray for Jews to Christianize. They were once the Chosen People; but Christians are the Chosen People now. For 2000 years Christian theologians, scholars, and writers have created Western civilization, the only real civilization there is. Virtually all learned men these 2000 years have accepted the central truth of this civilization: that Christ born in the year one was the messiah, was crucified, and rose again. For Jews, in the face of this virtual consensus of learned persons, to reject this central truth—to reject Christ and in effect to hate him even unto trying to get him out of Christmas—is not noble, it is arrogant and impudent persistence in error! Jews scorn the scholars who for a short time rejected Galileo and his theory, yet they have for 2000 years rejected the central truth of civilization, namely, that Christ is the messiah promised in their own Old Testament. To persist in this gross fallacy is no more noble than a savage's persisting in his cannibalism.

Therefore Jews have been a source of trouble and tragedy wherever they tried to settle. For they have have fed like a cancer on the body of Christianity. Even when not breaking the law, they overreach Christians by dealing merely so as to avoid the clutches of the law. For Christians, the law is the minimum, for Jews the norm. It is high time they reformed their arrogant denial of Christ and accepted him meekly as the promised Messiah, foretold in the Old Testament, and realized in the New.

A P P E N D I X

OPEN LETTER TO THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

October 26, 1966

To the Editor, *the Wall Street Journal*:

In your valuable article, "Jews and Jobs," the American Jewish Committee is cited as demanding that since Jews are 3% of the population, they should also have 3% of the "top managerial posts in the major public corporations."

If this proportional principle is valid for assuring more managerial posts to Jews, then—it is well to reflect—it is also valid conversely, that is, for justifying Gentiles and Negroes in trying to reduce to 3% the quota of Jews in the judiciary, the city halls, the Federal government, education, medicine, the theater, and in movies, radio, television, and press.

Indeed, Christians could argue that to keep the American culture what it was founded to be and has been, fundamentally Christian, it is of the first importance to staff these latter areas with no more non-Christians than the 3% quota would call for!

Respectfully yours,

Austin J. App, Ph.D.

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE (added Nov. 13, 1966)

Those of us who revere just and honest Jews would do well to caution them against the dangerous implications of the American Jewish Committee's policy of high-pressuring proportional representation for Jews in top-level posts. Obviously, if the American Jewish Committee may require the three percent quota of Jews among the executives in the banking and auto industries, then Gentiles may require *no more* than three percent in the movie, television, and newspaper industries! And also they may require the *full quota* of three per cent in such occupations as trash collecting—and in the front lines as privates in Vietnam!

In fact, the AJC belatedly justifies the quota principle Hitler wanted to enforce. In Weimar Germany, 1933, one per cent of Jews accounted for 16% of lawyers and notaries, 15% of realtors, 11% of doctors, but only 0.01% of carpenters and bricklayers! In Berlin, 4.3% of Jews were 50% of all lawyers and nearly 50% of all doctors. In the Berlin Chamber of Commerce, of 95 members, fifty were Jews. When Hitler urged better balance, Talmudists incited a world-wide boycott against Germany!

GYPSIES AND THE THIRD REICH*

INTRODUCTORY COMMENT

The purpose of this essay is not to depict the tribe of Gypsies as an international menace, but to counteract the defamation of The Third Reich as having persecuted its Gypsies without reason, and to explode the propaganda that it "gassed" a half million of them. Gypsies, in a civilized country, are not a menace, only an irritation. Jews as an international Talmudic fraternity of learning, wealth, and power, are a menace, a cancer on the body of Christianity. Gypsies, with their petty thievery, fortune telling and nomadism, are merely an itch.

But when a country is fighting for its life against the barbarity of Unconditional Surrender, as the Third Reich was, Gypsies, unproductive, nomadic, thieving and spying, were indeed a menace. Certainly more than were the Nisei, whom Roosevelt put into concentration camps. The Third Reich was a hundred times more justified in putting its Gypsies in work camps. These were no more "death camps" than were Roosevelt's camps for the Nisei.

And to escalate the lie of "death camps" into the extermination of half a million Gypsies is as false and outrageous as the swindle of the "gassing" of six million Jews. Fairminded Gypsies will recognize the motive behind this necessarily unflattering portrait of their tribe: no "anti-Romanism" is intended; only the exploding of a nasty wartime lie.

GYPSIES IN THE NEWS

The Washington Star, (Dec. 10, 1973) entitled an item, "Princess's Death Rallies Gypsies." It tells how the death of Gypsy Princess Mary Johnson brought several hundred Gypsies to the funeral. The funeral "lifted the curtain of secrecy" from their "traditionally anonymous life-style and momentarily from their tendency to disguise their being Gypsies from non-Gypsies. The news report explained, "but the Gypsies all know each other and if one gets sick the others know almost immediately." One might add, also, if one of them gets arrested. The article confided:

Nationally, many Gypsies still build their family incomes around fortune telling or palm reading, even though this is frowned upon by many police departments.

*This article was originally published November 1, 1973.

THE THIRD REICH ALSO FROWNED UPON GYPSIES

The Third Reich is continually represented as having been the world's most evil form of government, for really no other reason than that it stood in confrontation with Jews. Before it had hurt a single one of them, one Jew had burned down the Reichstag, and another had assassinated an innocent German diplomat in Paris.*

But the Third Reich stood in a similar confrontation with Gypsies. And just as with Jews, the propagandists have set bloated figures of casualties, alleged or real. They allege that the Third Reich—which be it emphasized, was fighting for its life—had executed 500,000 Gypsies, members of a tribe even our U.S. police *frowns* upon! *The Catholic Digest*, "The Gypsies Are Coming," (Derek A. Tipler, Nov., 1969) reports blandly, "More than a half million Gypsies died in concentration camps." It quotes one Shandor Taikon:

The persecutions of the past were mere pinpricks compared with those set in motion by the Nazis, whose object was to wipe us off the face of the earth.

THE THIRD REICH HARSH ON THIEVES IN WARTIME

When one hears propagandists rave about the determination of the Nazis to wipe Jews and Gypsies off the face of the earth, and when one reflects how the world still has far more around than it wants, one must conclude that the Third Reich was the most inefficient police state in the history of the world!

Nevertheless, we affirm that if the Third Reich executed any Gypsies who were loyal, hardworking and honest people, it was a tragic wrong. If they executed Gypsies who were nomads, liars and cheats, beggars and bums, spies and subversives, then they punished them more severely than their misdemeanors and felonies seem to have deserved.

However, during a war for survival, governments customarily take far harsher measures than in peacetime. The U.S. in peacetime would not have put 112,000 Nisei, not convicted of any wrong, into concentration camps! Hitler, in a wonderful "Table Conversation" about law and order in wartime said that a youth snatching a purse from a lady in peacetime should obviously not be punished harshly as in wartime. However, in war when there are blackouts, and when many women must work late and walk home alone, such purse snatching could easily become epidemic and must therefore, from the start, be punished severely. If anyone, he said, steals what an air raid scattered about he might have to be shot, otherwise soon everybody would try to protect his belongings instead of helping to check the conflagration. (See: Hans Severus Ziegler, *Wer War Hitler?*, 1970, pp. 274-77).

*Editor's note: Dr. App is misinformed. There is no evidence that Marinus Van der Lubbe, the Reichstag arsonist, was a Jew.

CRUX: DID THE THIRD REICH PUNISH THE INNOCENT?

Hitler's words explain why the Third Reich, during the war, punished Gypsies so harshly as to have been virtually an atrocity. But even that would not prove the Third Reich evil in principle, merely that, terrified of losing the war to Unconditional Surrenderists, it punished too extremely. But again, what is "too extreme" is relative and subjective.

Objectively, the crux of the matter is whether it was extreme in punishing something virtuous, honest, loyal; or on the contrary, something that really was a misdemeanor, if not a felony. Were Gypsies fine and worthy people, or were they by and large petty larcenists, liars, thieves, cheats—and fortune tellers!

GYPSIES FROWNED ON BY THE BEST OF GOVERNMENTS DOWN THE AGES

The same article in the *Catholic Digest*, quoted above, tells us that the Gypsies reached Germany in 1422 and a hundred years later were all over Europe and "their real persecutions began." Hungary impaled them alive, Rumania sold them as slaves, Russia and Poland killed them outright:

The Spanish government condemned them to death as "Protestant spies," while at the same time the English, under Elizabeth I, killed them as "spies of Rome." (Nov. 1969, p. 120)

Incidentally, the Third Reich accused them of spying for the Allies. The accusation that in Spain they spied for England, and in England for Spain is not farfetched. Gypsies have no loyalty but to their own tribe and will spy for whoever will pay! The nations named were all in principle very good Christian types of governments, the most civilized in their time. If they were in confrontation with Gypsies in times of peace one must assume that the Gypsies are bad and are social liabilities, not that the governments were bad. The Third Reich in its confrontation with them was therefore not evil, but simply in the groove of European tradition!

ARE GYPSIES GENERALLY LAWFUL AND ORDERLY?

Are Gypsies generally on the side of law and order, or are they instead on the wrong side of the law? *The Wall Street Journal*, in "The Gypsy Way: Nomadic Romanies," (Feb. 12, 1968), even though trying to romanticize them, admits nevertheless:

Witchcraft remains a "proven fact" to the Romanies. Fortune telling, chicken stealing and begging are still among the most common ways to make a living . . . Many Gypsies live honest lives, but thievery abounds. "The majority of rural Gypsies who live near farms steal chickens". . . Another custom is lying to the payo . . . When Czechoslovakia recently moved some of the wanderers into modern apartments, they lighted campfires on the parquet floors.

Could the Third Reich, subjected to nightly bombings, when one lighted candle in an attic might bring death to a whole city, allow such people as

The Wall Street Journal describes to roam around nomadically and thievingly during the war?

EVEN RED GOVERNMENTS DURING PEACE HAVE CONFRONTATION WITH THE GYPSIES

As *The Wall Street Journal* indicates, those great "humanitarians," the Reds, have had to bear down on their "beloved Gypsies." What crocodile tears they had shed about the alleged extermination of Gypsies by the Third Reich! Here we present some facts from "Totale Pleite mit Zigeuner-Ansiedlung erlebt" (*Volksbote*, Munich, April 28, 1972). In 1938, Czechoslovakia had 60,000 gypsies. After those wicked Nazis had exterminated all Gypsies in World War II, 226,000 swarmed into the land, of whom 157,000 converged on Slovakia. By January 22, 1970 300,000 gypsies were registered in Czechoslovakia.

This great "humanitarian" Bolshevik regime then got worried about these rapidly multiplying nomads, who despised schooling, and 85 percent of whom were unable to read and write! In 1958, it promulgated Law No. 47. The nomad way of life was declared illegal. Then the Gypsies were moved into the solid homes of the former Sudeten Germans who had been robbed and expelled from them. But to the anger of the regime, the Gypsies still kept moving around; they had more children than even the Slovaks, and they knew how to get family subsistence from the government to enable them to get by without working! In Slovakia, only 26 percent of Gypsies work, and even these are considered lazy and unreliable. We read:

The Gypsies are lazy, lack morality, and have a propensity to wrongdoing and crime . . . 13 percent of all illegal actions in Slovakia are committed by the Gypsies. Harsher measures are being demanded, including a law to sterilize gypsies for "ethnic, health, and social reasons."

Here the Red regime in peacetime considers itself provoked to the harsh measures which the Third Reich felt forced to invoke during its war of survival!

THE MYTH OF THE HALF MILLION

Though the Third Reich did not sterilize the Gypsies, did not normally kill them, but did put them to work, even *The Wall Street Journal* is guilty of the half million myth: "Hitler's Nazis exterminated about 500,000 of them, but the Romanies survived the purge." They survived, like the six million Jews allegedly "gassed," because they were exterminated, *not* in fact, *but* only in the hot and defamatory imaginations of the Germanophobes! If the Nazis had wanted to exterminate the Gypsies, 226,000 of them could not have swarmed into Czech-Slovakia in 1945! The Third Reich was perhaps the most efficient governmental machine in history. If it had wanted to kill off all Gypsies, it would have done it—and not one would have escaped. As it is, it only wanted them to work; and stop stealing, and spying.

But one can state factually that if the Third Reich had executed a half million Gypsies, it is improbable that anyone innocent of lying, cheating, and stealing would have been involved! One can insist that if the Third Reich properly wanted to protect its honest citizens, it had to harass a lot of Gypsies and put them into concentration camps. And punishing any of them, no matter how justly, would have had all the non-guilty ones defend the crooks among them—and so become guilty, too! The German-language paper, *Volkstribune* (Omaha, Neb., Aug. 22, 1969) reports their cliquish support of their own law-breakers as follows:

If a Gypsy wanderer is involved in a punishable misdemeanor, it requires a detective expert, to elicit one word from him or against him from his fellow-gypsies.

ANY GOVERNMENT PROTECTIVE OF ITS CITIZENS MUST CONFRONT GYPSIES

In short, every government in the world that protects the good citizen more than the thief, stands in constant confrontation with the Gypsies, and will be accused of persecuting them. That includes the law-enforcing agencies of the United States, despite its “perfect” government that presumed to re-educate the wicked Nazis! *The New York Times* carried an item, “Gypsies in Luck: Foe Is Promoted” (Oct. 13, 1963):

For more than 10 years the detective, Allen Gore, questioned, followed, suspected, frisked and arrested thousands of Gypsies involved or thought to be involved in confidence games, handkerchief switches, fortune-telling and pickpocketing.

He estimated 100,000 Gypsies to be in the country, “10,000 of them in the metropolitan area.” If he arrested thousands of these, one can judge the low level of innocence among them! The news that honest detective, Allen Gore, was being transferred spread across the country—for they are all in collusion. Two days later one “Big George” Gypsy from Los Angeles called Allen Gore and said: “Congratulations . . . Now maybe we’re free. Maybe now the pressure is off and I’ll come back to New York.”

In short, the fact that the Third Reich harassed Gypsies does not prove it evil in principle. It only proves it to have been in the tradition of good policing and good government, of the kind of government that did its duty of protecting the citizenry against petty larcenists and cheats!

WILL THE ETHNICALLY POLISH POPE JOHN PAUL II PROMOTE TRUTH AND JUSTICE FOR THE GERMAN EXPELLEES?*

When the Cardinals elected the Archbishop of Krakow, Poland, to the papacy, the first non-Italian in 455 years, they spotlighted Poland with new international importance, and in fact upstaged the world behind the Iron Curtain. In any case their choice reflected the enormous and growing power of the Eastern Bloc. The Cardinals probably also wanted to signal to the Comintern that the Catholic Church is able and willing to co-exist with a Red regime, but that they also hope that a Pope from behind the Iron Curtain will secure an increasing tolerance, if not benevolence, from the Red regimes.

The Christian Faithful, of course, both West and East, must anxiously trust that in this process it will not be the Church that will turn benevolent toward Communism, instead of the other way around. Obviously, Poland has been elevated to a principle mediator between East and West. When Pope John Paul II, as a native of Poland, and the Vatican deal with foreign policies, they will be presumed to have the support, if not of the Communistic government of Warsaw, at least of the Catholic population of Poland. What also remains absolutely expected of the Vatican, of the Church, is that it be universal, that it be above ethnic chauvinism, that it be impartial, objective, just—in all international questions and situations.

WHEN IS A POPE INTERNATIONALLY “ABOVE SUSPICION?”

The question arises, is Poland, is a Polish pope in a good position to press for international justice? Ideally, that country is in an ideal position to plead for justice, which itself enjoys acceptable boundaries—upon which other nations have no valid claims and which itself claims nothing from its neighbors. Switzerland would be such a country. A Swiss pope, for example, could urge self-determination without having his Swiss fellow Christians complain that he was betraying Swiss interests. But can a Polish pope do so?

World War II erupted because Polish Catholics refused to honor the

*First published in the *Christian News*, November 13, 1978.

principle of self-determination to Danzig and the Corridor. They also refused to apply it to the 180,000 square kilometers east of the Curzon Line whose majority was Ukraininan and White Russian. On the pretext of re-uniting this province to the Ukraine, Stalin and Molotov invaded Poland from the east while Germany re-captured Danzig and the Corridor from the west and north.

POLAND'S CATASTROPHIC CHAUVINISM

Poland's chauvinistic disregard of self-determination after World War I had terrible consequences for the world, and not least for Poland itself. After World War II, which it caused, an Allied victory, had Poland learned its lesson and practiced the principle of the Fourteen Points and the Atlantic Charter? No. On the contrary, not only did it re-annex Danzig and the Corridor, it also pressured Roosevelt, Churchill, and Stalin to let it annex half of East Prussia, and Pomerania and Silesia as a compensation for the Ukrainian province east of the Curzon Line which Stalin claimed for the Ukraine and to which Poland had had no right in the first place.

The east-German territories, reaching to the Oder-Neisse rivers, which Poland now occupied, were so thoroughly German for over 700 years that even the peace-dictators of 1918 had not been shameless enough to amputate them from what became Weimar Germany. But at Yalta, Roosevelt, Churchill, and Stalin, and later at Potsdam, Truman, Attlee, and Stalin put these 45,000 square miles of old German territory under Polish Administration. And the Poles annexed them.

RETURN TO PRE-CHRISTIAN BARBARISM

However, Danzig and the Sudetenland had shown that annexing territories while the native populations remain the majority will only lead to a future demand for a plebiscite. Thus, ironically, Wilson's proclamation of the principle of self-determination triggered a reversion to an atrocity that antedated what Wilson had called the bartering about "of peoples and provinces . . . from sovereignty to sovereignty." The victors resurrected an old pre-Christian genocide—robbing, expelling, killing the native populations. It was how the British colonists had got America from the Indians. The victors decreed that "the transfer to Germany of German populations or elements thereof, remaining in Poland, Czechoslovakia, and Hungary will have to be undertaken."

The Balkan nations and Poland pounced on this as a mandate to rob, dispossess, do to death, and drive out all the Germans among them and annex those ancient German lands they wanted. With Poland taking the lead, and Dr. Benes's Czechoslovakia a runner-up, the largest mass atrocity in history was about to begin—with the blessings of the U.S. and Britain, the self-proclaimed "crusaders" for freedom and democracy.

THE POLISH ATROCITY OF THE EXPULSION OF ODER-NEISSE GERMANS BEGINS

Poland, with the approval of the Potsdam pact, occupied the Oder-Neisse territories, one-fourth of all of Weimar Germany. It ordered all its German inhabitants to leave their farms, their shops, their homes, their belongings, and try to make their way mostly on foot towards the shrunken three-fourths of Germany. Nine million men, women, and children, not counting the three-plus million Sudeten Germans expelled from Czechoslovakia, were driven, and clubbed, often raped and murdered, on their horrible journey into the bombed-out Soviet zone and West Germany. *Time Magazine*, America's top news magazine, in a rare flash of fact, on August 13, 1945, reported:

In what was once eastern Germany, an anguished tide of humanity, one of the greatest mass movements of Germans in history, flowed toward the border of the shrunken Reich. At least 10,000,000 hungry Germans were being uprooted from their old homes in East Prussia, Pomerania, Silesia, Sudetenland by the new Polish, Czech and Russian owners.

The wanderers choked the roads in Russian-occupied Germany. Ragged, barefoot, with children in their arms, and the shabby remains of homes stacked on perambulators, carts and wheelbarrows, they trudged westward.

On October 2, 1945, *Time Magazine*, again describing "at least nine million Germans" expelled, added: "It is a tale of horror, old men starving on the roads, young girls raped in boxcars." British Foreign Secretary Ernest Bevin spoke of "some 15 million German 'displaced persons,'" and cried in Parliament, "It was the most awful sight." And Churchill, in a belated thought of truth and humanity called the expulsions a "tragedy on a prodigious scale." He also called it "not a good augury for the future of Europe" that the western frontier of Poland should comprise "one-quarter of the arable land of Germany" (*Time*, Aug. 27, 1945).

THESE INNOCENT GERMANS DRIVEN FROM HIGHLY CULTIVATED LANDS

These unfortunate East Germans had done no wrong and had not even been accused of having done any. Yet they were totally robbed, driven out of their homelands, and over two million clubbed and raped and starved to death only because the Poles wanted their territories, lands the Germans had for seven and more centuries converted from a wilderness to flourishing cities and countrysides, like our own New England states, and which had produced a like number of great poets and scientists and statesmen—like Bismarck, Hauptmann, Emanuel Kant, Copernicus, and Virchow.

Their expulsion by the Poles and genocidal murder of some twenty per cent of them ranks as one of most brutal, and certainly the largest mass atrocity in history. The Catholic bishops in America in November 1946 called it "new in the annals of recorded history" that "the victors" forced "millions of Germans . . . from their homes." Archbishop Alois J.

Muench, North Dakota, wrote (Lent, 1946) “. . . never has anything so tragic happened on so colossal a scale as in these forced migrations.” Senator William Langer, also of North Dakota, said on April 5, 1949, “Nowhere in recorded history has such a grim chapter of brutality been written.”

A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY CRYING FOR RESTITUTION

Anne O'Hare McCormick, in the *New York Times* (Oct. 23, 1946), called it, “Without precedent in history . . . a crime against humanity for which history will exact a terrible retribution.” *The Houston Chronicle* (March 28, 1947), referring to it as “The Crime of Eastern Germany,” editorialized:

The expulsion of the entire native population of Eastern Germany by the puppet government of Poland is a crime against humanity for which restitution must be made.

But so far, in the thirty-three years since at the Potsdam Conference what Anne O'Hare McCormick called “the most unhuman decision ever made by governments” (Nov. 13, 1946) not Poland, nor the other victors have manifested the slightest impulse to make restitution, to return the surviving millions of Expellees back to their homes and their lands and to give them self-determination.

The U.N. has not passed the shadow of a resolution, as it creditably has done in favor of the Palestinians expelled by the Israelis, to rectify the wrong to the German Expellees. The U.S. government, which still encourages a world-wide, mostly dishonest propaganda to force West Germany to pay, so far, more than twenty billion dollars of reparations to Israel and Jews for the largely mythical, certainly unsubstantiated murder of six million Jews by the Third Reich, has not once raised its voice to demand self-determination and restitution for the nine million surviving East Germans and reparations for the three million of them brutally done to death, verifiably done to death!

POPE PIUS XII HAS PLEADED FOR RESTITUTION, WILL POPE JOHN PAUL II DO SO?

Though not the victors, not the perpetrating Poles and Czechs, have ever expressed regrets for their “crime against humanity” and sympathy for the survivors, Pope XII, the predecessor of Pope John Paul II, in speaking to an American Congressional Committee (Oct. 3, 1949), referred sadly to the “plight of millions who must answer to the hideous appellation of ‘expellees.’ ” Further, the papal news organ, *Osservatore Romano* (August, 1945) wrote:

The Potsdam Conference sanctions the principle of transferment, that is, the elimination of minorities. It is contrary to the law of nature to remove millions and millions of persons from their homes.

This, however, is the colossal atrocity, unrepented and unatoned, that rests upon the Poland which Pope John Paul II claims as his native country.

When the Communistic puppet government of Poland wants him to encourage tourists to Auschwitz to propagate the alleged atrocities of the Germans, to propagate the monster lie of the four million Jews and Poles "gassed" there, will he rise up to modify their colossal Germanophobic lies? Most of all, when he has to confront international justice, will he dare allude to the very provable mass atrocity of history, the expulsion of nine million East Germans by the Poles—and over three million by the Czechs—and the murder of some three million of them, provable casualties?

Will he rise to call for self-determination and restitution for these unfortunate expellees, will he suggest a revision of boundaries to conform to the Atlantic Charter? The Holy Father is the most authoritative moral voice for truth and justice in the world. Will he risk the resentment not only of the Communists of Warsaw and Moscow, but also of his chauvinistic fellow Poles by declaring that repentance and restitution for the horrendous crime of the expulsion of Germans must be made—and is long overdue?

A well-established author and scholar of English literature at the outbreak of World War II, the late Austin J. App soon turned his pen to heartfelt calls for a just and humane policy toward Germany. The appalling and unnecessary suffering inflicted on the German nation *after* the war, coupled with the cynical betrayal of the Atlantic Charter principles proclaimed by America and its Allies, launched Professor App on a 35-year crusade for historical truth.

Here, for the first time under one cover, are fourteen of Austin App's hardest-hitting Revisionist booklets and pamphlets. They run the gamut from searing indictments of the crimes of the Allies to compassionate pleas for justice and mercy for the victims of Yalta and Potsdam. Each is a cry from the heart—and the head—bursting with facts and insights that the Establishment's media and academia have long sought to suppress. Dr. App shirks no theme of contemporary World War II Revisionism, from the Holocaust hoax to Operation Keelhaul. Everyone who reads this vital little volume will learn why, for this courageous Revisionist pioneer, America's postwar years of complacency were **NO TIME FOR SILENCE**.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR . . .

Dr. App, born and raised in Wisconsin, held an M.A. and Ph.D. in English literature from the Catholic University of America in Washington, D.C. and was instructor or professor of English at several colleges, including the Catholic University, the University of Scranton and LaSalle College. He wrote over a thousand articles, columns and reviews, eight books, and published scores of pamphlets.

In 1939 he was awarded the University of Scranton Faculty Gold Medal as "Outstanding Educator of Men." In 1975 he was awarded the "European Freedom Prize" in Munich, Germany. For ten years he served as chairman of the Pastorius Unit of the Steuben Society and for six years as national president of the Federation of American Citizens of German Descent. An honorary member of the German-American National Congress and one of the founding members of the Institute for Historical Review's Editorial Advisory Committee, Dr. App also served as chairman of the Greater Philadelphia Captive Nations Committee, considering the liberation of those nations, including the Soviet Zone of Germany, and the dissolution of Soviet colonialism the only hope for preventing World War III.



INSTITUTE FOR HISTORICAL REVIEW

ISBN 0-939484-24-2